iJRASET
International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH
IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 13 Issue: VII Month of publication: July 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.73123

Watershed Delineation Techniques: Traditional Methods vs. Modern GIS-Based Approaches

Aditya Chougala[1], A Abhishek[2], Priya S Hugar[3], Mohammed Iliyas[4], Gowtham Prasad M E[5]
[1, 2, 3, 4]*UG, Research Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, RV College of Engineering, Bengaluru – 560059, Karnataka, India*
[5]*Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, RV College of Engineering, Bengaluru – 560059, Karnataka, India*

Abstract: Watershed delineation is a basic requirement in hydrological modeling, environmental planning, and water resource management. Historically, delineation was carried out manually with the aid of contour lines and topographic maps, a tedious and error-prone process. As Geographic Information System (GIS) technology improved and high-resolution Digital Elevation Models (DEMs) were made available, contemporary delineation methods have become quicker, more precise, and scalable. This review critically assesses the development from traditional to GIS-based watershed delineation methodologies. It enlists major methodologies, software tools used, required data, and algorithmic frameworks like D8 flow direction and accumulation models. Case studies and comparative analyses illustrate the superiority of contemporary techniques in terms of accuracy, efficiency, and reproducibility. Although flat terrain and data preprocessing pose some difficulties, GIS-based approaches are a major leap forward from conventional techniques and are crucial for future hydrological analysis advances.
Keywords: Watershed Delineation, GIS, Digital Elevation Model (DEM), Hydrological Modeling, Flow Direction Algorithm, Manual Delineation, Spatial Analysis, Catchment Mapping, D8 Algorithm, Remote Sensing.

I. INTRODUCTION

Watershed delineation is a vital operation in hydrological modeling, water resource planning, flood management, and land-use evaluation. It is the process of determining the geographic area supplying surface runoff to a given outlet point within a drainage system. In the past, this operation was typically done manually with contour lines and topographic maps by tracing the ridge lines and stream networks on the basis of elevation differences. Although this technique offered the fundamental appreciation of catchment behaviour, it is subject to being time-consuming, labour-intensive, and prone to interpretive variability [2][3].

The introduction of Geographic Information System (GIS) technologies has transformed watershed delineation by making possible automated, reproducible, and scalable procedures. Advanced GIS-based delineation methods use Digital Elevation Models (DEMs) to calculate flow directions, accumulations, and watershed limits using hydrological algorithms like D8, D-Infinity, and Rho8 [1][4][9]. Not only are they more efficient but also deliver much finer spatial resolution and precision, particularly in areas with difficult or flat topography [4][6].

Many GIS platforms and software packages, including ArcGIS, QGIS, ILWIS, and GRASS GIS, can support watershed delineation with DEMs of different resolutions (e.g., 90 m SRTM, 30 m ASTER, and LiDAR). The Automated Geospatial Watershed Assessment (AGWA) [9][10] and online solutions [1] currently provide real-time delineation for both desktop and cloud-based systems, lessening the reliance on costly commercial software packages and improving accessibility in the developing world.

Even with the advantages of automation, GIS-based methods continue to need preprocessing actions like pit filling, stream burning, and judicious outlet placement to yield hydrologically sound results [4][7]. Data availability, resolution constraints, and the choice of algorithms for various environments also remain problematic [6][8].

This review article seeks to examine and contrast conventional and GIS-based methods of watershed delineation, identify their relative strengths and weaknesses, and present a critical analysis of existing tools, algorithms, and case studies in the literature. The article further addresses the importance of precise delineation within the general framework of hydrological modelling and environmental management.

II. METHODS AND MATERIAL

The approach of this review follows a comparative examination of the conventional and contemporary watershed delineation methods, based on a synthesis of peer-reviewed journal papers, technical reports, and case studies. The key materials utilized for this research comprise research papers, which consider manual delineation through topographic maps and automated delineation through GIS and remote sensing technology.

A. Collection of Literature

A thorough literature search was done by utilizing research papers published in journals like Water Resources Research, Environmental Modelling & Software, Applied Water Science, and Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology. The papers were chosen in relation to the practices, tools, and innovations of watershed delineation both historically and contemporarily [1][10].

B. . Traditional Watershed Delineation

Traditional techniques are based on visual interpretation of topographic map contour lines to determine ridge lines and stream networks. Tracing watershed boundaries manually and estimating area by planimeters or grid-counting is done by this method [2][3]. Such methods are suitable for small watersheds or educational purposes but are vulnerable to user inaccuracies and not reproducible [7].

C. GIS-Based Watershed Delineation

Advanced methods employ GIS-based software to automate delineation via DEM preprocessing and hydrological modelling. The major steps involved in this process are:

1) DEM Acquisition and Preprocessing: Some of the frequently used DEMs are SRTM (90 m), ASTER (30 m), and LiDAR (1–10 m) with preprocessing involving sink filling and depression filling to provide continuous flow paths [4][6].
2) Flow Direction and Accumulation: Algorithms such as D8 and Rho8 allocate flow directions following the steepest descent approach [1][4]. Flow accumulation delineates stream networks.
3) Outlet Definition and Watershed Boundary Extraction: Outlets are defined by the user, and the GIS software delineates upstream contributing areas using flow routing algorithms [5][9].
4) Software Tools: Some of the popular tools are ArcGIS Hydrology Toolbox, QGIS with GRASS plugins, ILWIS 3.7.1, and AGWA [1][5][7][10]. Some tools, such as AGWA and DotAGWA, provide web-based delineation for better accessibility [1].

D. Evaluation Criteria

For both conventional and GIS-based approaches, the following parameters were evaluated to compare their performance:

1) Accuracy of boundary delineation
2) Time and Labor efficiency
3) Reproducibility
4) Scalability for large basins
5) Ease of use and accessibility

It is this methodological strategy that allows for an extensive comparison of the two paradigms, setting the stage for the Results and Discussion section, where tool performance and case studies are analysed in depth.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section presents a comparative evaluation of traditional and GIS-based watershed delineation techniques based on parameters such as accuracy, efficiency, scalability, and real-world applicability. Findings from various case studies and research articles are synthesized to highlight strengths, limitations, and areas of improvement in both approaches.

A. Precision and Accuracy

Hand methods of watershed delineation used to be the norm, based on an individual's skill at reading contour lines on a topographic map. But such methods always carried with them errors — particularly if map quality was poor or the individual doing the boundary drawing did not have experience [2][3].

Meanwhile, GIS methods utilize digital elevation models (DEMs) and flow direction algorithms such as D8 or D-Infinity to automatically demarcate boundaries with much higher precision [1][4]. The software can identify very subtle terrain variations that would be difficult to identify by eye, particularly in regions with broken or intricate topography [6].

B. Time Efficiency and Reproducibility

Tracing watersheds by hand on a map could take hours, even for a small catchment. It is not only time-consuming but also hard to reproduce — varying individuals will draw slightly different lines.

GIS software addresses this problem by making the process automatic. With access to data such as a DEM, software such as ArcGIS or QGIS can delineate entire watersheds in seconds [1][5]. And since the process is computer-based and rule-based, it's completely replicable — the same data and parameters will always result in the same outcome [3][7].

C. Tools and Accessibility

As shown in table 1, GIS-based approaches is the diversity of tools. For professionals, ArcGIS is well-known for its strong functionality, even though it comes at a high cost. For free alternatives, QGIS (in conjunction with GRASS or SAGA) and ILWIS have powerful solutions that are within the reach of students and researchers [7].

Specialized software such as AGWA is intended solely for use in watershed modeling and may link directly to hydro-logic models such as SWAT or KINEROS2 [9][10]. More recently, web tools such as DotAGWA and online Python libraries make it possible to conduct watershed delineation directly in one's browser — no need for installation [1].

D. What Case Studies Show

Real-world examples highlight how GIS tools outperform traditional methods in both scope and quality.

In India's Kangra region, one study found that delineating watersheds using ILWIS software resulted in a larger and more accurate area compared to manual methods — mostly due to better elevation modeling [2].

In Australia, researchers working in the Fitzroy River Basin were able to not only delineate watersheds but also extract river cross-sections directly from DEMs, helping with flood modeling and planning [6].

In the UK, a study using over 900 watershed outlets showed that correcting small errors in outlet positioning (using a method called AORA) dramatically improved accuracy. This was especially helpful in large datasets where small mistakes could affect the entire model [4].

E. Limitations of GIS Methods

While GIS offers many benefits, it's not without limitations. For example:

1) In flat terrains, it can be hard for DEM-based tools to decide the correct flow direction, which affects boundary accuracy [4][6].
2) The quality of the DEM matters — coarse or outdated elevation data can lead to incorrect watershed shapes.
3) And most importantly, GIS tools are sensitive to where you place the outlet point. If it's off by even a small amount, your entire watershed could be wrong. That's why some newer techniques focus on improving how outlets are selected or corrected automatically [4].

TABLE I

COMPARISON OF TRADITIONAL VS. GIS-BASED WATERSHED DELINEATION

Criteria	Traditional Method	GIS-Based Method	Remarks
Accuracy	Depends on human interpretation	Depends on DEM resolution and algorithm	GIS is more consistent
Time Required	High	Low	GIS is faster and scalable
Reproducibility	Low	High	GIS offers consistent results
Skill Required	Topographic map reading	Basic GIS knowledge	GIS is easier with training
Cost	Low (if maps available)	Variable (some tools free)	Free GIS tools reduce cost

IV. CONCLUSION

Watershed delineation is a straightforward hydrological modelling and water resource planning procedure. As has been explained in this review, a shift from past, labour-intensive approaches to costly GIS-based methods reflects important developments in the areas of accuracy, efficiency, and scalability.

Manual methods, while useful for small-area instruction and use, are time-consuming and extremely human-knowledge-based. They are unreplaceable and rapidly become impracticable for larger or more complex areas. GIS-based methods, on the other hand, mechanize the delineation process from digital elevation data and orientation of flow vectors to produce watershed boundaries faster, more uniformly, and more reliably.

Software like ArcGIS, QGIS, ILWIS, and AGWA has also made it even more inclusive by enabling even low-resource users to carry out high-quality watershed analysis. GIS-based approaches are, however, not limitation free. Issues like flat area errors, outlet error, and DEM quality issues need to be carefully pre-processed and validated to enable meaningful results.

Overall, GIS-based delineation is now routine practice in professional and research applications. Its compatibility with modeling packages and large-scale environmental decision-making makes it a necessary tool. Future advancements in cloud computing, machine learning, and real-time data processing will further enhance its functionality to yield more intelligent, more efficient, and more accessible watershed analysis in different fields.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Sit, Y. Sermet, and I. Demir, “Optimized Watershed Delineation Library for Server-Side and Client-Side Web Applications,” Open Geospat. Data Softw. Stand., vol. 4, no. 8, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s40965-019-0068-9.

[2] A. Kumar and R. Dhiman, “Manual and Automated Delineation of Watershed Boundaries – A Case Study from Kangra Region of Western Himalaya, India,” Int. J. Environ. Sci., vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–7, 2014.

[3] R. Islam, “A Review on Watershed Delineation Using GIS Tools,” University of Manitoba, Canada, 2004.

[4] J. B. Lindsay, J. J. Rothwell, and H. Davies, “Mapping Outlet Points Used for Watershed Delineation onto DEM-Derived Stream Networks,” Water Resour. Res., vol. 44, no. W08442, 2008. DOI: 10.1029/2007WR006507.

[5] S. Sharma and A. K. Mahajan, “GIS-Based Sub-Watershed Prioritization Through Morphometric Analysis in the Outer Himalayan Region of India,” Appl. Water Sci., vol. 10, p. 163, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-020-01250-2.

[6] M. S. I. I. Amir, M. M. K. Khan, M. G. Rasul, R. H. Sharma, and F. Akram, “Watershed Delineation and Cross-Section Extraction from DEM for Flood Modelling,” in Proc. 19th Australas. Fluid Mech. Conf., Melbourne, Australia, 2014.

[7] R. E. Daffi and I. I. Ahuchaogu, “Delineation of River Watershed and Stream Network Using ILWIS 3.7.1 Academic,” Asian J. Environ. Ecol., vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 1–8, 2017. DOI: 10.9734/AJEE/2017/35972.

[8] S. Sukristiyanti, R. Maria, and H. Lestiana, “Watershed-Based Morphometric Analysis: A Review,” IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci., vol. 118, no. 012028, 2018. DOI: 10.1088/1755-1315/118/1/012028.

[9] S. N. Miller, D. J. Semmens, D. C. Goodrich, M. Hernandez, R. C. Miller, W. G. Kepner, and D. P. Guertin, “The Automated Geospatial Watershed Assessment Tool,” Environ. Model. Softw., vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 365–377, 2007.

[10] S. N. Miller et al., GIS-Based Hydrologic Modeling: The Automated Geospatial Watershed Assessment Tool (AGWA), USDA-ARS & US EPA, Technical Report.