



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 5 Issue: IX Month of publication: September 2017 DOI: http://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2017.9159

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🕥 08813907089 🔰 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com



Determination of Water Quality Index (WQI) During Mass Bathing in Different Ghats of River Ganga in Howrah and North 24 Parganas District, West Bengal, India

Sayanti Kar¹, Indrajit Ghosh², Amitava Ghosh³, Pritam Aitch⁴, Gupinath Bhandari⁵

^{1, 4, 5} Department of Civil Engineering, Jadavpur University, Kolkata 700032, West Bengal, India

^{1, 2} Department of Environmental Science, Asutosh College, Kolkata 700026, West Bengal, India ³Department of Botany, Asutosh College, Kolkata 700026, West Bengal, India

Abstract: The present study was carried out to assess the impact of mass bathing on water quality of Ganga River during the festival Mahalaya, 2016. For Hindus, the festival Mahalaya was considered to honour the soul of departed ancestors by taking a dip in Holy River. An examination of river water from river Hooghly, West Bengal, India was carried out at Mahalaya Festival to estimate the impact of mass bathing during new moon day (called as Amavasya in India) in terms of the Water Quality Index (WQI). WQI provides a single number that helps to express overall water quality based on water quality parameters. The sampling was done from the three selected popular ghats in Howrah and North 24 Parganas District, West Bengal during the event of mass bathing, pre-mass bathing and post-mass bathing. The value of WQI was found in between 56.87-78.24 for each case in all three ghats. WQI value showed very poor water quality in the most popular Dakhinewswar ghat during mass bathing. Keywords: Ganga, Mahalaya, Mass bathing, Water quality, WQI.

I. INTRODUCTION

River Ganga is considered one of the most sacred rivers in Hinduism. Millions of devotes take a dip during different occasion. Mass Bathing, an old age ritual in India is one of the main causes for increasing organic loads of the river. The reasons identified for the deterioration of water quality of river are due to domestic, industrial effluents direct discharge into river and various human activities along the banks of the river [1]. The study of impact of mass bathing in different parts of India shows the least used ghats for bathing remains least disturbed [2]. Offering goods like flower, milk, rice, ghee, oil, bananas leaves, fruits, polythene, water bottle etc. as well as the use of soaps, shampooand detergents are responsible for water pollution [3]. The level of changes of DO, BOD, COD due to mass bathing in *Amawashya* have been observed [4, 5].During the festive occasion of *Guru Poornima*, the amount of DO is decreased at Har-Ki-Pauri and similar results areobserved by other researchers in Nkoro River [6].Polluted river is responsible for the spreading of different diseases and record says in developing countries 1.8 million children die every year as a result of waterborne diseases [7]. A significant level of microbial parameters like fecal coliform (FC) and total coliform (TC) was found after mass bathing. Other than domestic dischargesreligious activities are identified one of the major sources of river pollution. The improvement of river quality may be achieved by creating public awareness [8].

In Hinduism festival like *Mahalaya* an event to worship the ancestors of family mass bathing with offerings like ghee, flowers and other religious items take place in different ghats of the river Ganga as it is the most sacred river of India to perform such deities. A prime tributary of the river Ganga is Hooghly River where such activities were assessed in the light of its effect on the water quality, by recording organic matter, physico-chemical and biological characteristics. Examinations and assessment were conducted in different ghats of Howrah and North 24 Parganas. The Water Quality Index (WQI) provides a single number that helps to express overall water quality based on water quality parameters [9]. The aim of the research is to evaluate how river water quality is affected during mass bathing in the occasion of *Mahalaya* in river Ganga in Howrah and 24 Parganas District.

II. STUDY AREA

River Gangarises in the Himalayan Mountains from the Gangotri glacier and is flowing about 2,525 km generally eastward through a vast plain to the Bay of Bengal. The water samples were collected from three different ghats in Howrah and North 24 Parganas Districtswhich are two important districts in West Bengal. On the basis of gathering with a large number of pilgrims', three ghats



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor:6.887 Volume 5 Issue IX, September 2017- Available at www.ijraset.com

e.g., Belur Math Ghat (Howrah), Bally Pathak Ghat (Howrah) and Dakhineswar Ghat (North 24 Parganas) were selected for sampling.



Image 1: Map of India ,West Bengal ,Block Map of Howrah District ,Block Map of North 24 Parganas District



Image 2: Sampling Sites

Samples were collected by different headings as before the occasion, during the occasion and after of the mass bathing at different sampling time from areas of three selected ghats [Table-1].

Occasion	Sampling Date	Sampling Time	Sampling Area					
Pre Mass Bathing	29.09.2016	4.00 pm	Dakhineswar Ghat					
		4.30 pm	BallyPathak Ghat					
		5.00 pm	Belur Math Ghat					
During Mass Bathing	30.09.2016	7.00 am	Dakhineswar Ghat					
		7.30 am	BallyPathak Ghat					
		8.00 am	Belur Math Ghat					
Post Mass Bathing	01.10.2016	4.00 pm	Dakhineswar Ghat					
		4.30 pm	BallyPathak Ghat					
		5.00 pm	Belur Math Ghat					

Table: 1. Sampling Details



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor:6.887 Volume 5 Issue IX, September 2017- Available at www.ijraset.com

III. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Water samples were collected from three different ghats in River Hooghly in Howrah and North 24 Parganas District during the occasion of *Mahalaya*, 2016. Considering the occasion of mass bathing water samples were collected from each ghat three times [Table 1].The samples were collected in polyethylene containers of 2.5 litters and were analyzed for physico-chemical parameters like pH, conductivity, BOD, COD, Hardness, Alkalinity, Chloride, DO, Total Suspended Solid and Total Dissolved Solid by following APHA and Trivedi *et. al.* [10,11].

A. Water Quality Index (WQI) Calculation

To calculate water quality index ten different parameters were chosen. The WQI has been calculated by using the standards of drinking water quality recommended by the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) [12], World Health Organization (WHO) [7], and Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS) [13] for these above mentioned three ghats [Table 2]. A comparative account of WQI of the selected area was made. A rating scale from zero to hundred in WQI provides the information regarding quality of water. Higher the value of WQI indicates lower the quality and lower the value indicates better the quality of water. Brown et al, 1972 gave the status and grading of water quality in respect to WQI (Table3) [14]. A comparison of WQI with different water quality standards helps to understand the actual scenario [15].

Ten water parameters were considered for calculation of water quality index

Water Quality Index (WQI) = \sum Wnqn

Where qn (water quality rating) = 100 X (Va-Vi) / (Vs-Vi),

When Va= actual value present in the water sample

Vi = ideal value (0 for all parameters except pH and DO which are 7.0 and 14.6 mg L^{-1} respectively).

Vs = standard value.

If quality rating qn =0 means complete absence of pollutants,

While 0 < qn < 100 implies that, the pollutants are within the prescribed standard.

Wn=K/Sn

Where K (constant) = 1/Vs1 + 1/Vs2 + 1/Vs3 + 1/Vs4 + 1/Vsn

Sn = 'n' number of standard values.

Table: 2. Standards for Drinking Water Quality by Recommended Agency

		e .
Parameters	Standard Value	Recommended Agency
pH	6.5-8.5	BIS
Conductivity(mS cm ⁻¹)	300	ICMR
BOD (mg/L)	5	ICMR
COD (mg/L)	10	WHO
Hardness(mg CaCO ₃ / L)	200	BIS
Alkalinity(mg CaCO ₃ / L)	120	ICMR
Chloride (mg/L)	250	BIS
DO (mg/L)	5	ICMR/BIS
TSS (mg/L)	500	WHO
TDS (mg/L)	500	ICMR/BIS

Water Quality	Water Quality Status	Grading
Index Level		
0-25	Excellent water quality	А
26-50	Good water quality	В
51-75	Poor water quality	С
76-100	Very poor water quality	D
Above 100	Unsuitable for drinking and fish culture	E



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor:6.887 Volume 5 Issue IX, September 2017- Available at www.ijraset.com

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table: 4. Assessment of WQI in Dakhineswar Ghat during Pre Mass Bathing

			Standard value	Unit Weight	Quality Rating	
SL.No.	Parameters	Observed Value	(Sn)	(Wn)	(qn)	Wnqn
1	pH	7.30	8.5	0.184	20.0	3.67
2	Conductivity(mS cm-1)	387	300	0.005	129.0	0.67
3	BOD (mg/L)	3.37	5	0.312	67.4	21.03
4	COD (mg/L)	8.25	10	0.156	82.5	12.87
5	Hardness (mg CaCO ₃ / L)	136.44	200	0.008	68.2	0.53
6	Alkalinity (mg CaCO ₃ / L)	135	120	0.013	112.5	1.46
7	Chloride(mg/L)	25	250	0.006	10.0	0.06
8	DO(mg/L)	4.69	5	0.312	103.2	32.21
9	TSS(mg/L)	10	500	0.003	2.0	0.01
10	TDS(mg/L)	254.65	500	0.003	50.9	0.16
		•		Σ Wn=1.002	$\Sigma qn=645.8$	Σ Wnqn=72.67

Table: 5. Assessment of WQI in Dakhineswar Ghat during Mass Bathing

			Standard	Unit Weight	Quality	
SL.No.	Parameters	Observed Value	value (Sn)	(Wn)	Rating (qn)	Wnqn
1	pН	7.6	8.5	0.184	40.0	7.34
	Conductivity(mS cm-					
2	1)	445	300	0.005	148.3	0.77
3	BOD (mg/L)	3.13	5	0.312	62.6	19.53
4	COD (mg/L)	9	10	0.156	90.0	14.04
	Hardness(mg CaCO ₃ /					
5	L)	143.53	200	0.008	71.8	0.56
	Alkalinity (mg					
6	CaCO ₃ / L)	142	120	0.013	118.3	1.54
7	Chloride(mg/L)	30	250	0.006	12.0	0.07
8	DO(mg/L)	4.08	5	0.312	109.6	34.19
9	TSS(mg/L)	14	500	0.003	2.8	0.01
10	TDS(mg/L)	292.81	500	0.003	58.6	0.18
				Σ Wn=1.002	\sum qn=714.0	$\Sigma Wnqn=78.24$

Table: 6. Assessment of WQI in Dakhineswar Ghat during Post Mass Bathing

		Observed	Standard	Unit Weight	Quality Rating	
SL.No.	Parameters	Value	value (Sn)	(Wn)	(qn)	Wnqn
1	pН	7.1	8.5	0.184	6.7	1.22
2	Conductivity(mS cm-1)	425	300	0.005	141.7	0.74
3	BOD (mg/L)	3.11	5	0.312	62.2	19.41
4	COD (mg/L)	8.5	10	0.156	85.0	13.26
5	Hardness (mg CaCO ₃ / L)	139.98	200	0.008	70.0	0.55
	Alkalinity (mg CaCO ₃ /					
6	L)	136	120	0.013	113.3	1.47
7	Chloride(mg/L)	28	250	0.006	11.2	0.07
8	DO(mg/L)	4.45	5	0.312	105.7	32.99
9	TSS(mg/L)	12	500	0.003	2.4	0.01
10	TDS(mg/L)	279.65	500	0.003	55.9	0.17
				$\sum Wn=1.002$	$\sum qn=654.1$	\sum Wnqn=69.89



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor:6.887 Volume 5 Issue IX, September 2017- Available at www.ijraset.com

Table: 7. Assessment of WQI in Belur Math Ghat during Pre Mass Bathing

			Standard value	Unit Weight	Quality Rating	
SL.No.	Parameters	Observed Value	(Sn)	(Wn)	(qn)	Wnqn
1	pН	7.60	8.5	0.184	40.0	7.34
2	Conductivity(mS cm-1)	403	300	0.005	134.3	0.70
3	BOD (mg/L)	1.35	5	0.312	27.0	8.42
4	COD (mg/L)	3.75	10	0.156	37.5	5.85
	Hardness (mg CaCO ₃ /					
5	L)	139.99	200	0.008	70.0	0.55
	Alkalinity (mg CaCO ₃ /					
6	L)	145	120	0.013	120.8	1.57
7	Chloride(mg/L)	27	250	0.006	10.8	0.07
8	DO(mg/L)	4.12	5	0.312	109.2	34.06
9	TSS(mg/L)	11	500	0.003	2.2	0.01
10	TDS(mg/L)	265.17	500	0.003	53.0	0.17
	•			$\Sigma Wn=1.002$	$\Sigma qn = 604.9$	Σ Wnqn=58.73

Table: 8. Assessment of WQI in Belur Math Ghat during Mass Bathing

			Standard value	Unit Weight	Quality Rating	
SL.No.	Parameters	Observed Value	(Sn)	(Wn)	(qn)	Wnqn
1	pН	7.7	8.5	0.184	46.7	8.56
2	Conductivity(mS cm-1)	395	300	0.005	131.7	0.68
3	BOD (mg/L)	2.89	5	0.312	57.8	18.03
4	COD (mg/L)	5.52	10	0.156	55.2	8.61
	Hardness (mg CaCO ₃ /					
5	L)	139.98	200	0.008	70.0	0.55
	Alkalinity (mg CaCO ₃ /					
6	L)	147	120	0.013	122.5	1.59
7	Chloride(mg/L)	23	250	0.006	9.2	0.06
8	DO(mg/L)	4.69	5	0.312	103.2	32.21
9	TSS(mg/L)	12	500	0.003	2.4	0.01
10	TDS(mg/L)	259.91	500	0.003	52.0	0.16
				∑Wn=1.002	∑qn=650.6	Σ Wnqn=70.47

Table: 9. Assessment of WQI in Belur Math Ghat Post Mass Bathing

		Observed	Standard	Unit Weight	Quality Rating	
SL.No.	Parameters	Value	value (Sn)	(Wn)	(qn)	Wnqn
1	pH	7.5	8.5	0.184	33.3	6.12
2	Conductivity(mS cm-1)	369	300	0.005	123.0	0.64
3	BOD (mg/L)	1.76	5	0.312	35.2	10.98
4	COD (mg/L)	4.65	10	0.156	46.5	7.25
	Hardness					
5	(mg CaCO ₃ / L)	127.59	200	0.008	63.8	0.50
	Alkalinity (mg CaCO ₃ /					
6	L)	140	120	0.013	116.7	1.52
7	Chloride(mg/L)	21	250	0.006	8.4	0.05
8	DO(mg/L)	4.65	5	0.312	103.6	32.34
9	TSS(mg/L)	9	500	0.003	1.8	0.01
10	TDS(mg/L)	242.80	500	0.003	48.6	0.15
				\sum Wn=1.002	∑qn=580.9	\sum Wnqn=59.55



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor:6.887 Volume 5 Issue IX, September 2017- Available at www.ijraset.com

Wnqn 1.22
1.22
0.63
15.10
7.77
0.55
0.27
0.37
30.81
0.01
0.15
\sum Wnqn=56.87

Table: 10. Assessment of WQI in Bally Pathak Ghat Pre Mass Bathing

Table: 11. Assessment of WQI in Bally Pathak Ghat during Mass Bathing

			Standard	Unit Weight	Quality Rating	
SL.No.	Parameters	Observed Value	value (Sn)	(Wn)	(qn)	Wnqn
1	pН	7.8	8.5	0.184	53.3	9.79
2	Conductivity(mS cm-1)	567	300	0.005	189.0	0.98
3	BOD (mg/L)	1.25	5	0.312	25.0	7.80
4	COD (mg/L)	3.3	10	0.156	33.0	5.15
	Hardness					
5	(mg CaCO ₃ / L)	171.88	200	0.008	85.9	0.67
6	Alkalinity (mg CaCO ₃ / L)	32	120	0.013	26.7	0.35
7	Chloride(mg/L)	153	250	0.006	61.2	0.38
8	DO(mg/L)	4.19	5	0.312	108.4	33.83
9	TSS(mg/L)	11	500	0.003	2.2	0.01
10	TDS(mg/L)	373.09	500	0.003	74.6	0.23
				∑Wn=1.002	∑qn=659.4	∑Wnqn=59.19

Table: 12. Assessment of WQI in Bally Pathak Ghat during Mass Bathing

			Standard	Unit Weight	Quality Rating	
SL.No.	Parameters	Observed Value	value (Sn)	(Wn)	(qn)	Wnqn
1	pН	7.1	8.5	0.184	6.7	1.22
2	Conductivity(mS cm-1)	362	300	0.005	120.7	0.63
3	BOD (mg/L)	2.42	5	0.312	48.4	15.10
4	COD (mg/L)	4.98	10	0.156	49.8	7.77
	Hardness					
5	(mg CaCO ₃ / L)	139.9	200	0.008	70.0	0.55
	Alkalinity (mg CaCO ₃ /					
6	L)	25	120	0.013	20.8	0.27
7	Chloride(mg/L)	148	250	0.006	59.2	0.37
8	DO(mg/L)	5.12	5	0.312	98.8	30.81
9	TSS(mg/L)	9	500	0.003	1.8	0.01
10	TDS(mg/L)	238.20	500	0.003	47.6	0.15
				∑Wn=1.002	∑qn=523.7	Σ Wnqn=56.87



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor:6.887 Volume 5 Issue IX, September 2017- Available at www.ijraset.com

Table: 13. Comparison between WQI in three different Ghats during Pre Mas	iss Bathing, Mass bathing, Post Mass Bathing
---	--

Ghat	Pre Mass Bathing	During Mass Bathing	Post Mass Bathing
Dakhineswar Ghat	72.67	78.24	69.89
Belur Math Ghat	58.73	70.47	59.55
BallyPathak Ghat	56.87	59.19	56.87



Fig. 1: Graphical representation of Comparison between WQI in three different Ghats during Pre Mass Bathing, Mass bathing, Post Mass Bathing

Table: 14. water quality status and grading during Pre Mass Balming, Mass batming, Post I

Ghat		Water Quality Status	Grading
	Pre Mass Bathing	Poor water quality	С
Dakhineswar Ghat			
		Poor water quality	С
Belur Math Ghat			
		Poor water quality	С
Bally Pathak Ghat			
	During Mass Bathing	Very poor water quality	D
Dakhineswar Ghat			
		Poor water quality	С
Belur Math Ghat			
		Poor water quality	С
Bally Pathak Ghat			
	Post Mass Bathing	Poor water quality	С
Dakhineswar Ghat			
Belur Math Ghat		Poor water quality	С
Bally Pathak Ghat]	Poor water quality	С

V. CONCLUSION

During royal bathing in river Ganga in the *Mahalaya* festival, the monitoring of few physico-chemical parameters had been done. The study involved the assessment on the basis of WQI showed mass bathing makes the water unfit for drinking and bathing purposes. Previous finding showed that mass bathing tends to disturb riverine ecology of Ganga by decreasing DO and increasing BOD, hardness and TDS [2]. Pilgrim related activities were already identified reasons for water quality degradation[16]. Similar results obtained for this case. Comparing with standards (Table 2) poor water quality is reported for each three ghats before, after and during mass bathing. The result for Dakhineswar ghat where the gathering of pilgrims were the highest, reported very poor



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor:6.887 Volume 5 Issue IX, September 2017- Available at www.ijraset.com

water quality during the occasion. Interestingly a study on colonies in Haridwar city shows people with higher financial status of life style generates roughly twice as much solid garbage as people from slum areas [17].

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Authors thank Department of Civil Engineering, Jadavpur University and Department of Environmental Science, Asutosh College for their laboratory support.

REFERENCE

- P. Malviya and A. K. Dwivedi "Physico-chemical parameters of Narmada River Water: A review", International Journal of Chemical Studies, vol. 3(2), pp. 01-04, 2015.
- [2] V. Sharma, S. Bhadula and B. D. Joshi, "Impact of Mass Bathing on water quality of Ganga River during Maha Kumbh-2010", Nature and Science, vol. 10(6), 2012.
- [3] G. S. Gupta, L. N. Gupta, S. P. Parihar and J. S. Parihar, "Mass bathing assessment of river Mandakini water quality, during Deepawali fair event at Chitrakoot", International Journal of Surface and Groundwater Management, vol. 1(2), 2014.
- [4] C. Sadhana and R. Karan, "Assessment of Water Quality of River Mandakini during Amawashya in Chitrakoot, India", International Research Journal of Environment Sciences, vol. 4(2), pp. 54-57, 2015.
- [5] A. Bhatnagar and P. Sangwan, "Impact of Mass Bathing on Water Quality", Int. J. Environ. Res., vol. 3(2), pp. 247-252, 2009.
- [6] J. F. N. Abowei, "Salinity, Dissolved oxygen, pH and surface water temperature condition in Nkoro River, Niger Delta, Nigeria", Adv. J. food Sci. Tech., vol. 2(1), pp. 36-40, 2010.
- [7] WHO Report, International standards for drinking water, Geneva, 2004.
- [8] S. Bhasin, A. N. Shukla and S. Shrivastava, "Impact of mass bathing on water quality of river Kshipra at Triveni, Ujjain, M.P. India", International Journal of Advanced Life Sciences (IJALS), vol. 8 (1), 2015.
- [9] S. K. Pathak, S. Prasad and T. Pathak, "Determination of Water Quality Index River Bhagirathi in Uttarkashi, Uttarakhand, India", Social Issues and Environmental Problems, vol. 3(9), 2015.
- [10] APHA, Standard methods for the examination of the water and waste water (20th Ed). New York, 1998.
- [11] R. K. Trivedi, and P. K. Goel, Chemical and biological methods for water pollution studies. Environmental publication Karad, 1986.
- [12] ICMR, Manual of standards of quality for drinking water supplies. Indian Council of Medical Research. Special Report No. 44 27. 1975.
- [13] BIS, IS: 10500, 1991.
- [14] R. M. Brown, N. J. McCleiland, R. A. Deininger and M. F. O'Connor, "A Water Quality Index Crossing the Psychological Barrier" Proc. Int. Conf. on Water Poll. Res., Jerusalem, vol. 6, pp.787-797, 1972.
- [15] D. R. Khanna, R. Bhutiani, B. Tyagi, P. K. Tyagi and M. Ruhela, "Determination of water quality index for the evaluation of surface water quality for drinking purpose", International Journal of Science and Engineering, vol. 1(1), 2013.
- [16] S. Mishra and B. D. Joshi, "Assessment of water quality with few selected parameters of River Ganga at Haridwar", Him. J. Env. Zool., vol. 17(2), pp. 113-122, 2003.
- [17] V. Sharma, P. Saini and B. D. Joshi, "Assessment of municipal solid waste generation and its management in holy city of Haridwar, Uttarakhand State, India", Waste Management, vol. 30 (4), pp. 725-726, 2010.











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)