Design and Fabrication of Pesticide Series Spraying Machine for Multiple Agricultural Crops
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Abstract: Sprayer is device used to spray liquid. In agriculture, sprayer is a piece of equipment that is used to apply pesticides on agricultural crops. Mainly, the general spraying technique uses hand operated and power sprayers with backpack. By using existing pesticide sprayers very less area is covered while spraying and hence more time is required to spray the entire land and also some existing sprayers are required petrol or diesel as a fuel so that the labour cost of the sprayer is also high. Some series sprayers the pipe line is not rotated in 360 deg and discharge is also very low (Q< 0.9 litter/min). To overcome the above problems the present work deals with the design and Fabrication of pesticide series sprayer with the feature of 360 deg pipe rotation and adjustable pipe length. In this work the model was designed by using CATIA and fabrication was carried out by different techniques. Real time testing was carried out at different agricultural crops.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In India 70% people lives in rural areas and main source of their income is forming. In this regard have to make agricultural machine that can help to save their time. Present in agricultural field sprayers are used. These sprayers are hand operated and power operated. According power operated this paper introduced a sprayer is called as series sprayer. This sprayer consists of tank, battery, submerged pump, pipe and nozzles. The battery and submerged pump are incorporated in tank at the bottom. Numbers of nozzles are located on trough out length of the pipe in series way. This pipe connected to outlet discharge of pump trough rubber hose.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Shailesh Malonde et.al. (May-2016): They developed a multipurpose pesticide spraying machine based on solar panels. It gives maximum work output with minimum effort. The arrangement of nozzles is adjustable according to the crops and this alone pump can used for multiple crops[1]. Dhiraj N.Kumbhare et.al. (Apr-2016): They developed an automatic tricycle operated pesticide spraying machine seems an alternative concept it work efficiently with respect to covering area time[2]. Swapnil L. Kolhe et.al. (Feb-2014): They developed an eco friendly mechanically operated multipurpose spray pump in this nozzles can be adjusted[3].

III. METHODOLOGY

Fig.1. Process flow chart
A. Line diagram
The line diagram consists of the following components.
1. Tank, Battery, Submerged motor, Frame, Discharge pipe, Nozzles. All the components are shown in figure 2.

B. Assembly:

C. Fabrication

Fig. 2. Line diagram of the proposed model

Fig. 3. Assembly

Fig. 4. Pipe welding

Fig. 5. Wheels Attachment

Fig. 6. Frame welding

Fig. 7. Handle Fitting
D. Specifications
1) Diameter of front wheel : 0.18m
2) Diameter of rear wheel : 0.60 m
3) Tank capacity : 16 lit
4) Length of base : 0.80 m
5) Pipe length : 1.5 m
6) Battery : 12V
7) Diameter of handle pipe : 0.09 m
8) Motor capacity : 2.2 A
9) Charging time : 3hr
10) Battery Duration time : 7hr

E. Calculations
1) Power = energy per second
2) Battery 12Ah current, 12V
3) Power = V x I = 12 x 12 = 144W
4) Total power stored in battery = 432 WH
5) Flow rate of nozzle
   \[ Q_n = 28.9 \times D^2 \times \sqrt{P} \]
   Where \( Q_n \) = flow rate of water from nozzle (gpm)
   \( D \) = Nozzle diameter (inch)
   \( P \) = Pressure at nozzle (psi)

F. For Nozzle 1
   Diameter of nozzle = 1.1mm = 0.0433 inch
   \[ = 28.9 \times (0.0433)^2 \times \sqrt{25} \]
   \[ = 0.2699 \text{ gpm} \]
   \[ = 1.02 \text{ lit/min} \]

G. For Nozzle 2
   Diameter = 1 mm = 0.0393 inch
   \[ Q_n = 28.9 \times (0.0393)^2 \times \sqrt{25} \]
   \[ = 0.223 \text{ gpm} \]
   \[ = 0.84 \text{ lit/min} \]

H. For Nozzle 3
   Diameter = 0.9 mm = 0.035433 inch
Q_n = 28.9 \times (0.0354)^2 \times \sqrt{25} = 0.181 \text{ gpm} = 0.68 \text{ lit/min}

IV. REAL TIME TESTING

Fig. 9. Testing in wet land crops

Fig. 10. Testing in dry land crops

V. RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

Discharge was calculated at different sizes of the nozzles, at different speeds. In real time testing spraying time was calculated between conventional sprayer and series sprayer. Cost of energy consumption of conventional and series sprayers were calculated. Spraying was performed in both wet and dry lands of agricultural crops and depends up on the requirement of sprayer discharge pipe is rotated along 360 deg. The following tables give the test results.

Table 1. Labour cost estimation for conventional sprayer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Fuel Used</th>
<th>Cost of the fuel</th>
<th>Area Covered</th>
<th>Time(hr)</th>
<th>Labour Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Petrol</td>
<td>73.16 Rs</td>
<td>5 Acrs</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>1 Acr=400/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5 Acrs=1500/-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Labour cost estimation for series sprayer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Cost of electricity</th>
<th>Area Covered</th>
<th>Time(hr)</th>
<th>Labour Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Electrical energy</td>
<td>12.36Rs</td>
<td>5 Acrs</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>1 Acr=400/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5 Acrs=1500/-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Discharge Vs Nozzles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Type of the nozzle</th>
<th>Controller of series sprayer</th>
<th>Actual Discharge (Litter/min)</th>
<th>Total discharge time taken for 16 litters pesticide(min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Flower</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>21.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>18.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>16.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rain Drop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>21.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>20.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>17.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Flat Fan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>23.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>20.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>19.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: The rotation of discharge pipe in different directions

VI. CONCLUSION

The model was designed by using CATIA software and fabrication was done by using different joining process. Labour cost estimation was compared between conventional sprayer and series sprayer. Discharge was calculated at different size of the nozzles and different speeds.
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