



# **iJRASET**

International Journal For Research in  
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



---

# **INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH**

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

---

**Volume: 5      Issue: X      Month of publication: October 2017**

**DOI: <http://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2017.10242>**

**[www.ijraset.com](http://www.ijraset.com)**

**Call: ☎ 08813907089**

**E-mail ID: [ijraset@gmail.com](mailto:ijraset@gmail.com)**

# Spatio-Temporal Land use / Land cover Change Assessments;

Dr. Anil Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Prof S.M. Rashid<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Govt. College, Hansi

<sup>2</sup>J.M.I. New Delhi

**Abstract:** *The present study focuses the rate and pattern of land use/land cover change during 1988 to 2008 of Hisar district using remote sensing and GIS techniques. Land use / land cover mapping of Hisar district were carried out using Landsat TM (1988 & 1998) and IRS-P6 (Resourcesat-I) LISS-III (2008) satellite data. On screen visual interpretation technique of satellite imagery for identification and delineation of land use/land cover classes was employed using on screen digitization technique. The built-up area in the year 1988 was commuted to be 6776.0 ha (1.68%). It was increased 2.55% in 1998 and 4.15% in 2008 of the total geographical area. It was noticed that the agriculture area were also increased. It was 79.47% in 1988, 80.4% in 1998 and 83.7% in 2008 of the total geographical area due to decrease the sandy waste. It is also evident that vegetation has decreased 6.67% in 1988 to 4.30% in 2008. Water bodies and wasteland were also observed negative changes from 1988 to 2008. The study gives a fairly good understanding of land use/land cover changes for a period of two decades, which in turn will be very helpful for local administrative bodies, decision makers and regional planners.*

**Key Words:** *GIS, Remote Sensing and land use/land cover*

## I. INTRODUCTION


The land use/cover information helps in understanding status of use of resources and in monitoring, modeling and analyzing environmental change (Krishna et al., 2001). The land use/land cover are, as such, dynamic in nature as both, its value and pattern change from one particular point of time to another and from one geographical area to another, with varying efficiencies, abilities, priorities and needs (Bisht and Tiwari, 1996). It is directly related with the level of techno-economic advancement of civilization of its inhabitants (Whyte, 1961). The land use/land cover changes are the results of many interacting processes and each of these operates over a range of scales in space and time (Verburg *et al.*, 2003). The problem with land use change is that role players do not always consider the agricultural, cultural, demographic and socio-economic characteristics of an area before the land is actually developed, resulting in unsuitable land use changes (Lockeretz 1988; Werner 1993). Remote sensing and Geographical Information System provide an accurate tool for environmental monitoring (Malaviya et al., 2009). Remotely sensed data can be collected at multiple scales and at multiple times, thereby, offering the opportunity for analysis of previous phenomenon synoptically from local to global scales throughout the time (Reddy, 2004). The given observations are the testimony of the fact that the land use and land cover change has emerged as a central theme in evolving strategies for the management of natural resources and monitoring the environmental change.

## II. METHODOLOGY



The present study makes use of both primary and secondary data to fulfill the objectives of the research. Landsat TM satellite data of Hisar district for 1988 and 1998 and IRS- P6 (Resourcesat – I) LISS – III FCC of 2008 has been used in the study area. In other hand village boundaries from Director of Land Records, Population data, Survey of India topo sheet, District Statistical Abstract, published reports has also been used in the study.

The land use/ land cover mapping for 1988, 1998 and 2008 is based on the utilization of spectral characteristics of various land use/ land cover classes. The methodology for change detection in land use/land cover has been made based on visual interpretation techniques. On screen visual interpretation techniques has been employed for identification and delineation of land use/land cover classes and the change has been delineated in the study area for the years 1988 to 2008. The georeferenced maps have been digitized using Arc GIS software for vactorization. The labels are edited with assigned classification codes. The remote sensing data of the study area have also been verified with the ground reality using the Geographical Positioning System (GPS) instrument. The change in land use categories such as built-up land, agriculture, vegetation, water bodies, wasteland and other changes have been identified and tabulated

## Figure: 1



GIS software has been used to detect the changes in the land use by integrating land use maps of years 1988 and 2008 (Fig. 2, 3 & 4). An analysis of the statistics as shown in Table 4.1, which is based on the interpretation of remote sensing images of 1988, 1998 and 2008 reveals significant changes in the land use/ land cover in the study area. The area under different types of built –up land uses have increased to 17219.7 hectares in 2008 from 2882.5 hectares in 1988. These changes include an increase in residential area 3352.6 hectares from 1988 to 2008. Further, the land use/ land cover changes also include an increase in area under villages, which has increase 4337.3 hectares from 1988 to 2008. Besides, institutional, transportation and other land use (found some of the land uses under Cantonment during the field verification), categories also observed marginal increase in their respective area. It is observed that the residential area of the Hisar city have recorded an unprecedented increase during the given period, which may be attributed to the population growth and the increasing infrastructural facilities and services in the








Figure: 3

City leading to population pull into the city. The other land uses observing increasing trends include commercial and industrial sectors and the villages. Land use/land cover mapping of Hisar district was carried out using multi-temporal satellite data. The thematic land use/land cover maps for 1988, 1998 and 2008 were prepared using on screen digitization and visual classification approach. The results are shown in Table 4.1 The non – built up land use/ land cover classes such as the crop land, and agricultural farmland also shows increasing trends during the given period, with the cropped land recording an overall increase of 2, 21, 18.8 hectares and the agricultural farm land expanding by 1,480.8 hectares in area. A combination of factors such as increase in enhanced agricultural production with the use of fertilizers and pesticides led to the increase in area of these land use classes. The vegetation cover, on the other hand shows decreasing trends, which has been resulted due to the increasing deforestation and poor rainfall regimes. The water bodies, in particular traditional ponds in and around villages, also depleted during the same period.

The accelerated population growth in the recent decades is seen as a major factor for the urban expansion and increase in built – up area. Besides, various developmental initiatives also led to the increase in the area of other built – up land use classes such as institutional, commercial & industrial, transportation and recreational.

Table 4.1: Land Use / Land Cover Change Detection for the year 1988 to 2008

| Sr<br>.No. | Land use/land cover Classes |                        | Area in Hectares |          |          |                           | Per cent<br>Change<br>(1988 to<br>2008) |
|------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------|----------|----------|---------------------------|---|
|            | Major Class                 | Sub Class              | 1988             | 1998     | 2008     | Change<br>(1988-<br>2008) |   |
| 1          | Built-up land               | 1.1 Residential        | 1865.4           | 3387.3   | 5218.0   | +3352.6                   | +179.7                                  |
|            |                             | 1.2 Institutional      | 349.9            | 586.2    | 876.9    | +527.0                    | +150.6                                  |
|            |                             | 1.3 Commercial         | 68.1             | 139.8    | 248.2    | +180.1                    | +264.5                                  |
|            |                             | 1.4 Industrial         | 160.5            | 417.4    | 1198.2   | +1037.7                   | +646.5                                  |
|            |                             | 1.5 Utility & Services | 63.3             | 103.9    | 168.6    | +105.2                    | +166.2                                  |
|            |                             | 1.6 Recreational       | 21.0             | 26.2     | 136.4    | +115.4                    | +549.5                                  |
|            |                             | 1.7 Transportation     | 45.6             | 45.6     | 45.6     | 0.0                       | 0.0                                     |
|            |                             | 1.8 Other Built-up     | 1319.7           | 1355.3   | 1449.9   | +130.2                    | +9.9                                    |
|            |                             | 1.9 Villages           | 2882.5           | 4092.1   | 7219.7   | +4337.3                   | +150.5                                  |
| 2          | Agricultural<br>land        | 2.1 Cropland           | 299204.4         | 307243.5 | 321323.3 | +22118.8                  | +7.4                                    |
|            |                             | 2.2 Fallow land        | 10787.4          | 6551.5   | 4118.2   | -6669.2                   | -61.8                                   |
|            |                             | 2.4 Agricultural farm  | 6543.1           | 6752.5   | 8023.9   | +1480.8                   | +22.6                                   |

|   |   |                                 |         |          |          |          |       |
|---|---|---------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|-------|
|   |   | land                            |         |          |          |          |       |
| 3 | Vegetation                              | 3.1 Moderately dense vegetation | 2214.1  | 1956.1   | 1586.5   | -627.6   | -28.3 |
|   |   | 3.2 Scrub land                  | 8587.4  | 7978.9   | 5231.0   | -3356.4  | -39.1 |
|   |   | 3.3 Plantation / Orchard        | 1931.5  | 1237.7   | 781.3    | -1150.2  | -59.5 |
|   |   | 3.4 Grazing land                | 13838.9 | 11678.3  | 9548.8   | -4290.0  | -31.0 |
| 4 | Water bodies                            | 4.1 Lakes/ Ponds                | 1391.6  | 1639.7   | 1274.8   | -116.8   | -8.4  |
|   |   | 4.2 Canal                       |         |          |          |          |       |
| 5 | Wastelands                              | 5.1 Salt affected land          | 991.8   | 459.0    | 604.9    | -386.9   | -39.0 |
|   |   | 5.2 Waterlogged land            | 1519.9  | 4200.7   | 1148.1   | -371.9   | -24.5 |
|   |   | 5.3 Sandy waste                 | 44513.9 | 38448.3  | 28097.6  | -16416.3 | -36.9 |
|   | Total Geographical Area of the district |                                 |         | 398300.0 | 398300.0 | 398300.0 |       |

Source: Based on the interpretation of Landsat TM 1988, 1998 and IRS- P6 (2008) images.

Note: decrease carries negative sign while increase carries positive sign.

## V. CONCLUSION

The land use/ land cover change during 1988 – 2008 helps in understanding the forms and processes of change in the district. It may be seen from Table 4.1 that most of the land uses falling under built – up category exhibits increasing trends during the 20 years periods between 1988 and 2008. The maximum increase in areal extent was recorded under both urban and rural residential land use. The information pertaining land use/land cover and possibilities for their optimal use is, therefore, essential for the selection, planning and implementation of land use schemes so as to meet the increasing demands for basic human needs and welfare of the people.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Anderson James R., Hardy E. E., Roach John T. and Witmore R. E. (1976), A Land use and land cover classification system for use with remote sensor data, U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper, 964:1-28.
- [2] Bisht B.S. and Tiwari P.C. (1996), Landuse planning for sustainable resource development in Kumaon Lesser Himalaya - a study of Gomti watershed, International Journal of Sustainable Development and World Ecology 3: 23-24.
- [3] District Statistical Abstract, 2007-08, published by Economics and Statistical analysis Department, Haryana 2008.
- [4] Hisar District Gazetteer (1987), Haryana District Gazetteer of Hisar, Edited by S.P. Bhatia and S. Kumar, Haryana Gazetteers Organization, Chandigarh.
- [5] Hisar District Census, Census of India (1991), Primary Census Abstract of Haryana, Published by Director of Census Operation, Haryana.
- [6] Hisar District Census, Census of India (2001), Primary Census Abstract of Haryana, Published by Director of Census Operation, Haryana.
- [7] Hisar District Census, Census of India, (2011), Primary Census Abstract of Haryana, Published by Director of Census Operation, Haryana.
- [8] Krishna N. D. R., Maji A. K., Krishna Murthy Y. V. N and Rao B. S. P. (2001), Remote sensing and Geographical Information System for canopy cover mapping, Journal of Indian Society of Remote Sensing, 29(3): 107-113.
- [9] Lockert W. (1988), Editor's introduction to special issue: Sustaining agriculture near cities, Landscape and Urban Planning, 16: 291-292.
- [10] Malaviya, Sumedha, Munsi, Madhushree, Oinam, Gracy and Joshi, P.K. (2009), Landscape approach for quantifying land use land cover change (1972-2006) and habitat diversity in a mining area in Central India (Bokaro, Jharkhand), Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, DOI 10.1007/s10661-009-1227-8.
- [11] Reddy, M. A. (2004), Geoinformatics for environmental management, B. S. publications, 282-283.
- [12] Verburg P. H., De Groot W. T. and Veldkamp A. (2003), Methodology for multi-scale land-use change modelling – concepts and challenges, in: A. J. Dolman, A. Verhagen and C. A. Rovers (ed.), Global Environmental Change and Land-Use, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 17-51.
- [13] Werner R. (1993), Ecologically and economically efficient and sustainable use of agricultural landscapes, Landscape and Urban Planning 27: 237-248.
- [14] Whyte R.O. (1961), Evaluation of Land-Use in South Western Asia, A History of land-use in Arid Regions (Eds. L.D.Stamp), UNESCO.
- [15] Internet Source:  
<http://srtm.usgs.gov>  
<http://bhuvan.nrsc.gov.in> (Bhuvan Geoportal)  
<http://www.usgs.gov> (Global Land Cover Facility)  
[www.gisdevelopment.net](http://www.gisdevelopment.net)
- [16] Brief Profile of Author: I am Dr. Anil Kumar, done my research work (Ph.D.) in Geography from Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi under the supervision of Prof. S.M. Rashid. I also qualify UGC-NET in Geography. Now I am currently working as a Extension lecturer/Resource persons in Govt. College Hansi, Hisar, Haryana.
- [17] Undertaking: I am Dr. Anil Kumar submitting an undertaking that the manuscript submitted is original and has not been submitted for publication elsewhere.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.429



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)