iJRASET
International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 5 **Issue:** XI **Month of publication:** November 2017

DOI:

www.ijraset.com

Call: 08813907089 | E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor:6.887
Volume 5 Issue XI November 2017- Available at www.ijraset.com

Optimization of Surface Roughness and Kerf Width Parameters in Wire Cut EDM Process through Response Surface Methodology.

A. Damodara Reddy[1]
[1]*Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering Department, JNTUA College of Engineering, Pulivendula.*

Abstract: ***Machining of Composite materials like Al 7075-SiC metal matrix composites has found its use extensively in Air craft fittings, gears, shafts, missile parts, regulating valve parts, keys and bike frames to enhance high strength, corrosion resistance and thermal resistance characteristics. And also there is a need to improve high material removal rate, better surface finish and good dimensional accuracy. Conventional machining is not able to satisfy these demands. Wire electro discharge machining plays a major role in machining of conductive materials with intricate shapes and complex geometries where a thin metallic wire of 0.1-0.3mm diameter is used as a tool electrode. Al 7075-SiC metal matrix composites are prepared by using Sand casting though manual stirring. The objective is to identify the best parametric combination of Wire EDM to minimize surface roughness and kerf width for Al 7075-10% SiC and Al 7075-15% SiC. For this experimentation Pulse on time(TON), pulse off time(TOFF), peak current(IP) and Spark gap Voltage are the most affecting input parameters to perform experiments on Wire Cut Electro Discharge Machine(ELPULSE 40A). In the present work 34 experimental runs are designed based on the Box-Behnken Design of Response Surface Methodology. ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) is used to get the effect of process parameters on process response. A second order polynomial model has been developed to correlate the process responses and the machining parameters by using Response Surface Method (RSM).***
***Keywords**: Include at least 5 keywords or phrases*

I. INTRODUCTION

Wire Cut Electro Discharge Machining is an unconventional Electro Thermal machining process which is working based on the principle of repetitive sparking cycles. Due to generation of heat melting and evaporation of both wire and work piece takes place. Gap between wire and work piece is in range of 0.025-0.5mm which is maintained constant by computer controlled positioning system. The gap between wire and work piece is covered with steam of dielectric fluid which is directed to the working zone by the nozzles present at the upper and lower diamond guides. The dielectric can control sparking, cools the process and flushes away the tiny particles very faster. The wire and work piece is mounted on CNC work table. Wire is fed through work piece by a microprocessor to enable machining.



Fig1. Wire cut Electro Discharge Machine

©IJRASET (UGC Approved Journal): All Rights are Reserved

The wire EDM can cut almost all the metals including aluminium, copper, carbide, graphite, steel and titanium. Depending upon the hardness of work piece wire metal changes. With the advent of WEDM process a path provided by CNC is used to machine hard metals through inaccessible locations with the use of electrical sparks exist between tool and work piece. Al most all the areas like aerospace, semiconductor, tool and die making industries are now a days using Wire cut EDM process.

With the increase in demand for high hard, light weight and temperature resistant materials in aerospace, automobile and missile applications quality of a product has became a major concern. And also minimizing of material wastage during machining is important. Wire cut Electro Discharge Machining is the best choice to achieve all these requirements. The present study deals with identification of the best parametric combination of a Wire cut EDM process parameters to achieve minimum surface roughness and kerf width. By using ANOVA the effect of process parameters on process responses has been found.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

A. Response Surface Methodology

Response Surface Methodology is a collection of mathematical and statistical techniques useful for modeling and analysis of a problem in where the responses of interest is influenced by several variables and objective is to optimize this response. An optimal response can be obtained by choosing a proper choice of design and operating conditions on a set of controllable variables. Here output is influenced by the number of input factors. In this method the main goal is, to reduce the expensive analysis cost and optimize the output responses that are influenced by various input parameters. For the visualization of the response, contour and surface plots are used. It gives the relation between the control factors and output responses. Contour plots are used to visualize the shape of response surface.

Suppose ‘x1' and ‘x2’ are the input parameters that are affecting the response ‘y’ can be expressed as follows:

$$y=f(x1,x2)+\varepsilon \rightarrow (a)$$

where Ɛ is the noise or error observed in response ‘y’

$$\text{Let } E(y) = f(x1,x2) = \eta \rightarrow (b)$$

The above experiment is the expected response which is known as response surface with levels of ‘x1’ and ‘x2’.

RSM comprises the following steps

1) Prepare a set of trials for sufficient and consistent extent of the output.
2) Progress an empirical model of the 2nd order response surface with the suitable sets.
3) Identify the efficient set of trial variables that gives a maximum and minimum response values.
4) Characterize the direct and the collaborative effects of variables through 2D and 3D graphs.

B. Plan of Experiments

Very vital stage of RSM is to progress a set of trials later difficult detection. Engineering exploration problems are practical in nature and include use of practice.

There are various designs like

1) Box Behnken Design
2) Full factorial
3) Fractional factorial (FFD)
4) One factor
5) D- optimal
6) Latin square
7) CCD
8) Historical data

C. Design of Experiments – Box Behnken Design

Box Behnken Design is a creative approach to planned experimentation involving relatively smaller number of runs. It involves balance incomplete block design which is important alternative to Central Composite Design. In this design all the design points are equidistant from canter design point. The advantages of Box-Behnken designs includes they are all spherical designs and require factors to be run at only three levels. The designs are also rotatable or nearly rotatable. Some of these designs also provide orthogonal blocking. Thus, if there is a need to separate runs into blocks for the Box-Behnken design, then designs are available that allow blocks to be used in

©IJRASET (UGC Approved Journal): All Rights are Reserved

Process Parameters	Units	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Pulse on time (A)	Micro sec	100	105	110
Pulseoff time(B)	Micro sec	59	60	61
Peak Current(C)	Amphere	180	190	200
Sparkgap Voltage(D)	Volts	10	15	20

Table 1 Process parameters and their limits

Such a way that the estimation of the regression parameters for the factor effects are not affected by the blocks. In other words, in these designs the block effects are orthogonal to the other factor effects. Yet another advantage of these designs is that there are no runs where all factors are at either the +1 or -1 levels. This could be advantageous when the corner points represent runs that are expensive or inconvenient because they lie at the end of the range of the factor levels.

S.No.	Pulse on time(µs)	Pulse off time(µs)	Peak current(Amp)	Spark gap voltage (Volts)
1	105	61	200	15
2	105	59	190	10
3	110	61	190	15
4	105	59	190	20
5	105	60	200	20
6	110	60	190	20
7	105	60	200	10
8	110	60	190	10
9	105	60	180	20
10	100	61	190	15
11	105	59	180	15
12	100	59	190	15
13	100	60	200	15
14	105	60	180	10
15	100	60	190	10
16	110	60	200	15
17	105	61	190	20
18	105	60	190	15
19	105	60	190	15
20	100	60	180	15
21	110	59	190	15
22	105	60	190	15
23	105	61	180	15
24	100	60	190	20
25	105	61	190	10
26	110	60	180	15
27	105	59	200	15

Table 2: Box-Behnken Design

D. Selection of Material

Al 7075- SiC Metal Matrix Composites with varying composition of 10% and 15% Silicon Carbide are used for the melting temperature that is 650^{O}C. And then Silicon Carbide particle of size 50 microns is added into the matrix alloy according to the proportion. This combination has to be stirred for some time and then poured into the previously prepared sand mould.

Material	Weight of Al 7075(gm)	Weight of SiC(gm)	Rockwell Hardness
Al 7075- 10%SiC	1800	200	120.67
Al 7075- 15%SiC	1700	300	183.33

Table3. Hardness of Aluminium Metal Matrix Composites

©IJRASET (UGC Approved Journal): All Rights are Reserved




Fig 2. Al 7075-SiC(10% and15%) after casting

III.RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The experiments are performed based on the order of Box-Behnken Design and responses characteristics like Surface Roughness and Kerf Width have been observed by using Talysurf and Tool makers microscope are tabulated below

A. Response Table for Surface Roughness and Kerf Width

S.No	Ton (µ sec)	T off (µ sec)	Ip (Amp)	SV (V)	Al 7075-10% SiC		Al 7075- 15% SiC	
					KERF(mm)	SR(microns)	KERF(mm)	SR(microns)
1	105	61	200	15	0.374	3.874	0.353	3.708
2	105	59	190	10	0.372	4.085	0.34	3.271
3	110	61	190	15	0.375	5.335	0.397	4.211
4	105	59	190	20	0.447	3.78	0.366	3.368
5	105	60	200	20	0.416	3.711	0.396	3.607
6	110	60	190	20	0.373	4.863	0.333	6.041
7	105	60	200	10	0.347	3.693	0.329	3.736
8	110	60	190	10	0.392	4.367	0.385	4.902
9	105	60	180	20	0.449	4.377	0.336	4.459
10	100	61	190	15	0.362	3.17	0.313	3.466
11	105	59	180	15	0.381	4.04	0.336	4.042
12	100	59	190	15	0.384	3.121	0.306	2.909
13	100	60	200	15	0.38	3.057	0.341	2.292
14	105	60	180	10	0.436	3.305	0.378	4.407
15	100	60	190	10	0.396	3.016	0.392	3.177
16	110	60	200	15	0.297	2.759	0.388	3.181
17	105	61	190	20	0.372	3.546	0.391	4.118
18	105	60	190	15	0.326	4.802	0.362	4.063
19	105	60	190	15	0.382	4.413	0.329	3.964
20	100	60	180	15	0.397	3.306	0.335	3.087
21	110	59	190	15	0.378	4.139	0.315	4.467
22	105	60	190	15	0.409	4.121	0.339	5.046
23	105	61	180	15	0.391	3.954	0.369	3.675
24	100	60	190	20	0.412	3.256	0.394	2.921
25	105	61	190	10	0.369	4.462	0.371	3.863
26	110	60	180	15	0.443	4.37	0.388	4.98
27	105	59	200	15	0.389	4.412	0.362	4.34

Table4. Surface Roughness and Kerf Width for Al 7075-10% SiC and Al 7075-15% SiC

©IJRASET (UGC Approved Journal): All Rights are Reserved

B. Analysis of Variance and Regression Equations

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F-Value	p-value Prob> F	Remarks
Model	0.11	14	7.962E-003	19.67	< 0.0001	significant
A-A	8.090E-004	1	8.090E-004	2.00	0.1736	
B-B	1.164E-003	1	1.164E-003	2.88	0.1062	
C-C	9.366E-003	1	9.366E-003	23.14	0.0001	significant
D-D	2.421E-003	1	2.421E-003	5.98	0.0244	significant
AB	1.228E-004	1	1.228E-004	0.30	0.5882	
AC	5.978E-003	1	5.978E-003	14.77	0.0011	Significant
AD	3.760E-004	1	3.760E-004	0.93	0.3473	
BC	2.006E-004	1	2.006E-004	0.50	0.4899	
BD	1.453E-003	1	1.453E-003	3.59	0.0734	Significant
CD	1.089E-003	1	1.089E-003	2.69	0.1174	
A^2	0.015	1	0.015	36.71	< 0.0001	Significant
B^2	0.017	1	0.017	40.81	< 0.0001	Significant
C^2	0.026	1	0.026	64.74	< 0.0001	Significant
D^2	0.039	1	0.039	96.34	< 0.0001	Significant
Cor Total	0.12	26				
R-Squared 0.9292						

Table5. ANOVA Table for Kerf Width on machining of Al 7075-10%SiC

C. Regression Equation for Kerf Width

143.12942-0.21847*Ton-4.12600*Toff-0.084458*Ip+0.13222*SV+9.50000E-004*Ton*Toff-6.45000E-004*Ton*Ip-3.50000E-004*Ton*SV-6.25000E-004*Toff*Ip-3.60000E-003*Toff*SV+2.80000E-004*Ip*SV+ 1.37167 E-003*Ton^2+0.034917*$Toff^2$ +4.81667E-004* Ip^2+2.33167E-003*SV^2.

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F-Value	p-value Prob> F	Remarks
Model	13.16	14	0.94	6.19	0.0002	Significant
A-A	2.01	1	2.01	13.21	0.0018	Significant
B-B	0.13	1	0.13	0.84	0.3714	
C-C	0.28	1	0.28	1.87	0.1875	Significant
D-D	0.031	1	0.031	0.20	0.6592	
AB	0.18	1	0.18	1.20	0.2875	
AC	0.46	1	0.46	3.05	0.0967	
AD	0.016	1	0.016	0.11	0.7462	
BC	0.051	1	0.051	0.34	0.5688	
BD	0.093	1	0.093	0.61	0.4428	
CD	0.28	1	0.28	1.83	0.1922	
A^2	5.37	1	5.37	35.34	< 0.0001	Significant
B^2	1.48	1	1.48	9.77	0.0056	Significant
C^2	2.52	1	2.52	16.58	0.0007	Significant
D^2	1.06	1	1.06	6.97	0.0161	Significant
Cor Total	16.05	26				
R-Squared 0.8201						

Table 6. ANOVA Table for Surface Roughness on machining of Al 7075-10%SiC

©IJRASET (UGC Approved Journal): All Rights are Reserved

1) *Regression Equation for Surface Roughness*: 2719.20818+10.89089*Ton+59.51850*Toff+3.62392*Ip+3.01951*SV-0.042650*Ton*Toff-6.81000E-003*Ton*Ip+2.56000E-003*Ton*SV-0.011300*Toff*Ip-0.030550*Toff*SV-5.27000E-003*Ip*SV-0.033308*Ton^2-0.43782*$Toff^2$-5.70317E-003*Ip^2-0.014798* SV^2

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F-Value	p-value Prob> F	Remarks
Model	0.034	14	2.413E-003	5.56	0.0004	Significant
A-A	1.302E-003	1	1.302E-003	3.00	0.0995	Significant
B-B	2.380E-003	1	2.380E-003	5.48	0.0303	
C-C	6.075E-005	1	6.075E-005	0.14	0.7125	
D-D	3.675E-005	1	3.675E-005	0.085	0.7742	
AB	1.406E-003	1	1.406E-003	3.24	0.0878	Significant
AC	9.000E-006	1	9.000E-006	0.021	0.8870	
AD	7.290E-004	1	7.290E-004	1.68	0.2105	
BC	4.410E-004	1	4.410E-004	1.02	0.3262	
BD	9.000E-006	1	9.000E-006	0.021	0.8870	
CD	2.970E-003	1	2.970E-003	6.84	0.0170	Significant
A^2	5.018E-003	1	5.018E-003	11.56	0.0030	Significant
B^2	2.226E-003	1	2.226E-003	5.13	0.0354	Significant
C^2	6.270E-003	1	6.270E-003	14.44	0.0012	Significant
D^2	0.013	1	0.013	29.92	< 0.0001	Significant
Cor Total	0.042	26				
R-Squared 0.8038						

Table7. ANOVA Table for Kerf Width on machining of Al 7075-15%SiC

2) *Regression Equation for Kerf Width:* 93.59971-0.42297* Ton-2.21067* Toff-0.049942* Ip-0.077650* SV+3.75000E-003* Ton * Toff-3.00000E-005* Ton* Ip-5.40000E-004* Ton * SV-1.05000E-003* Toff * Ip-3.00000E-004* Toff * SV+5.45000E-004* Ip * SV+1.01833E-003* Ton^2 +0.016958* $Toff^2$+2.84583E-004* Ip^2+1.63833E-003* SV^2.

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F-Value	p-value Prob> F	Remarks
Model	25.14	14	1.80	7.69	< 0.0001	Significant
A-A	12.71	1	12.71	54.44	< 0.0001	Significant
B-B	0.036	1	0.036	0.15	0.7003	
C-C	0.17	1	0.17	0.71	0.4103	
D-D	0.52	1	0.52	2.22	0.1526	
AB	0.17	1	0.17	0.71	0.4100	
AC	1.892E-003	1	1.892E-003	8.107E-003	0.9292	
AD	1.03	1	1.03	4.40	0.0496	Significant
BC	3.025E-003	1	3.025E-003	0.013	0.9106	
BD	0.18	1	0.18	0.77	0.3922	
CD	0.19	1	0.19	0.81	0.3781	
A^2	1.91	1	1.91	8.20	0.0100	
B^2	1.00	1	1.00	4.30	0.0519	Significant
C^2	4.04	1	4.04	17.29	0.0005	Significant
D^2	4.08	1	4.08	17.47	0.0005	Significant
Cor Total	29.58	26				
R-Squared 0.8501						

Table 8. ANOVA Table for Surface Roughness on machining of Al 7075-15%SiC

©IJRASET (UGC Approved Journal): All Rights are Reserved

3) Regression Equation for Surface Roughness: 1541.50563-1.91423*Ton-39.00900*Toff-2.57093*Ip-4.66618*SV-0.040700*Ton*Toff+4.35000E-004*Ton*SV+ 0.020260*Ton*SV-2.75000E-003*Toff*Ip+0.042300*Toff*SV-4.36000E-003*Ip*SV+0.019883*Ton^2+0.3602*$Toff^2$+7.22083E-003 *Ip^2+0.029028*SV^2

B. 3D Surface Plots for Process Responses Vs Process Variables




Fig3. Surface graph for interaction effect on Kerf Width for Al 7075-10% SiC




Fig4. Surface graph for interaction effect on Surface Roughness for Al 7075-10% SiC




Fig5. Surface graph for interaction effect on Kerf Width for Al 7075-15% SiC

©IJRASET (UGC Approved Journal): All Rights are Reserved




Fig6. Surface graph for interaction effect on Surface Roughness for Al 7075-15% SiC

IV. CONCLUSION

The important conclusions with the machining of Al 7075 -10% SiC and Al 7075 -15% SiC on Wire cut EDM using Response Surface Methodology includes as follows:

The optimal set of process parameters are identified for achieving minimum Surface Roughness and minimum Kerf width for each material.

Second order polynomial equation have been generated for both Surface Roughness and Kerf width.

ANOVA results to identify the effect of process parameters on process responses and percentage contribution of each parameter on process response

A. 7075-10% SiC

1) The optimal parameter setting for achieving minimum Kerf Width is obtained at 110µ sec of pulse on time and 60µ sec of pulse off time 200 Amp of peak current and 15Volts of Spark gap voltage i.e 0.297 mm.

2) The optimal parameter setting for achieving minimum Surface Roughness is obtained at 110µ sec of pulse on time and 60 µ sec of pulse off time 200 Amp of peak current and 15Volts of Spark gap voltage i.e 2.759 microns.

Al 7075-15% SiC

3) The optimal parameter setting for achieving minimum Kerf Width is obtained at 100 µ sec of pulse on time and 59 µ sec of pulse off time 190 Amp of peak current and 15Volts of Spark gap voltage i.e 0.306mm

4) The optimal parameter setting for achieving minimum Surface Roughness is obtained at 100 µ sec of pulse on time and 60 µ sec of pulse off time 200 Amp of peak current and 15 Volts of Spark gap voltage i.e 2.292 microns.

REFERENCES

[1] 1.Suresh Kumar.S, Uthayakumar.M, ThirumalaiKumaran.S, Parameswaran.P, Mohandas.E, Kempulraj.G, Ramesh Babu.B.S and Nataraan.S.A, 2015," Parametric optimization of wire electrical discharge machining on aluminium alloy based composites through gray relational analysis" Journal of Manufacturing Process, Vol.20, PP.33-39.

[2] GanesdhDongre, SagarZawari, UdayDabade and SuhasJose.S, 2015, "Multi-objective optimization for Silicon wafer slicing usig Wire- EDM Process" Journa.l of Material Science in Semiconductor processing, Vol.39, PP.793-806.

[3] AmiteshGoswami and Jatinder Kumar, 2014 "Investigation of surface integrity, material removal rate and wire wear ratio for WEDM of Nimonic 80A alloy using GRA and Taguchi method" Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal, Vol.17 PP.173-184


[4] RavindranadhBobbili, Madhu.V and Gogia A.K., 2015" Multi response optimization of wire-EDM process parameters of ballistic grade aluminium alloy" Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal, Vol.18, PP. 720-726.

[5] PujariSrinivasaRao, KoonaRamji and BeelaSathyanaranaya, 2014, "Experimental Investigation and optimization of Wire EDM For Surface Roughness MRR and White layer in Machining of Aluminium alloy" International conference on advances in Manufacturing and Materials Engineering, AMME 2014, Vol.5,PP.2197-2206

©IJRASET (UGC Approved Journal): All Rights are Reserved

[6] AmrishRaj.D and Senthilvelan.T, 2015 ‟ Empirical Modelling and Optimization of Process Parameters of machining Titanium alloy by Wire-EDM using RSM" 4th International Conference on Materials Processing and Characterization,Vol.2, PP. 1682 – 1690
[7] Douglas. C Montgomery, 2006, "Design and Analysis of Experiments", John Wiley & Sons, lnc., Publication.

©IJRASET (UGC Approved Journal): All Rights are Reserved



10.22214/IJRASET

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)