



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 5 Issue: XII Month of publication: December 2017 DOI:

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🛇 08813907089 🕴 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

## Influence of Biodiesel-Diesel Blend with Ethanol As Additive on Exhaust Gas Emission with Optimization by Combined Response Surface Methodology and Genetic Algorithm

Atul G. Londhekar<sup>1</sup>, S. C. Kongre<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Rajiv Gandhi Institute of Technology, Mumbai, India <sup>2</sup>ASP Pipri, Wardha, India

Abstract: In this study, multi-objective optimization of emission parameters has been conducted with combination of response surface methodology (RSM) and Genetic algorithm (GA) on single cylinder four stroke diesel engine using Karanja biodiesel, ethanol as additive and diesel blend as fuel. The exhaust gas emission or output variables are HC (ppm), CO (% vol.), NOx (ppm) and CO<sub>2</sub> (% vol.). The input variables were biodiesel (10-20% in four level), Ethanol (0-20% in five level) and load (0-5kg in six level) at constant speed of 1500 rpm. Firstly, experiment is performed in three stages i.e. with diesel, biodiesel-diesel blend and then biodiesel-additive-diesel blended fuel. Then analysis of variance (AVOVA) is done by RSM with Design Expert software, where it gives quadratic regression equation which will utilized by GA to obtain optimal solution. GA is performed by using Matlab 2016a software. GA gives Pareto optimal solution containing 18 set. For validation, three values were selected and performed experiment on the same. On comparison, it gives 3-8% error. So, this combination of methods will helpful in reduction of number of experiment effectively. This study gives approach towards utilization of non-edible oil with addition of additive. The developed model and modelling techniques can be used as helpful tool in design and optimization of biodieselethanol-diesel blended fuel with effective emission characteristics. Keywords: RSM,GA,AVOVA, Biodiesel, MOGA

## I. INTRODUCTION

Energy is important factor in development of mankind. The dependency on petroleum derived product is increasing continuously. Due to continuous increase in demand and price of fuel, researchers are looking for cleaner and renewable alternative fuel, such as biodiesel. Biodiesel has potential to lead forward to address various problems [1-5]. Biodiesel is a fuel which is alkyl (methyl or ethyl or higher) esters of long chain fatty acids derived from vegetable oils, non-edible oil, animal fats, etc. The biodiesel production process, most of the time transesterification is used, typically involves the reaction of an alkyl-alcohol with a long chain ester linkage in the presence of a catalyst to yield mono-alkyl esters (bio-diesel) and glycerol as by product. It has drawbacks as high cost compare to diesel, higher viscosity. So, in place of pure biodiesel, combination of biodiesel and diesel blend as fuel can be used to overcome these drawbacks [5]. Major research conducted on biodiesel for Neem, Karanja, Jatropha, Rubber. Kumar et al. [4] obtained biodiesel from Jatrophacurcas and Karanja as part of national mission in India. Being non-edible oil seed crop, it's oil content ranges from 25-30% and life of plant is nearly 40 years. Vijayakumar et al. [5] reviewed alternative fuel for compression ignition engine. He concluded that straight vegetable oil is not meant for long term engine operation due to free fatty acid. Agarwal et al. [6] carried experimental investigation of Karanja oil and its blend with mineral diesel with and without preheating condition in single cylinder agricultural diesel engine. The result indicated significant improvement performance parameter and emission character tics of engine. So, Karanja biodiesel is selected for analysis based on these. But with addition of biodiesel to diesel fuel, the fuel blend property changes like viscosity, cetane number, high temperature to ignite fuel, etc. Resulting to increase in emission. Korres et al. [8] evaluated the use of ethanol (5-15% volume) with biodiesel (5% by volume) in diesel and jet fuels in stationary diesel engine. With the use of ethanol-diesel fuels, improvement in cold flow properties and reduction in PM. Also, problem brought reduction in flash point, cetane number and NO<sub>x</sub> emission with addition of ethanol. So, it gives to indication which can added as additives in blend. Further, Datta et al. [11] evaluated the effect of alcohol (methanol and ethanol) addition to blend of palm sterin biodiesel and diesel on performance, combustion and emission characteristics. The result shows improved characteristics with



alcohol addition to blend. The studies carried for analysing effect of ethanol on different biodiesel blend with diesel shows improved emission [13-16]. On targeting the optimal characteristics, experimentation work will be increased, due to experimentation at different blend with varying other input parameter simultaneously, which bring cost factor and time factor. To reduce effort of experimentation, artificial intelligence and design of experiment techniques were implemented by researcher [9]. Kiani et al. [17] studied application of artificial neural network (ANN) for prediction of performance parameter and emission characteristics of spark ignition engine. Ganapathy et al. [18] carried optimization of performance parameters with blend of Jatropha biodiesel and diesel by using RSM. Bojan et al. [20] developed RSM model for optimization of biodiesel production from high free fatty acid Jatrophacurcas oil. So, with optimal factors predicted model results matched with experimental yield result i.e. 80.32 +/-0.82%. So, these studies show advantage over experimentation in terms of prediction and optimization of parameters with lesser runs of experiment. Some more studies which utilizes combination of techniques to obtain more accurate result as compared to experiment. Dhingra et al. [23] carried out optimization of Karanja biodiesel yield based on input, namely, molar ratio, reaction time, reaction temperature, catalyst concentration and mixing speed done by using combining RSM and GA. The optimized result is confirmed by re-experimentation on optimized input as of RSM and GA, showing good agreement with developed model. Similar model with combination of RSM and artificial neural network with GA have been developed for optimization [24-25]. Dhingra et al. [26] developed non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm-II for prediction of performance parameter and emission characteristics. With prediction, optimization is carried with specific objective of minimization or maximization of output variable. So, this technique is used to optimize the emission variables. Further, Hiroyasu et al. [27] developed multi-objective genetic algorithm (MOGA) and phenomenological model for reduction of emission and fuel consumption in heavy duty diesel engine. Since, MOGA requires large number of iteration. So, phenomenological model used to simulate engine combustion with reduced calculation cost. In current work, RSM and GA is combined to optimize the exhaust gas emission i.e. HC (ppm), CO (% by volume), NO<sub>X</sub> (ppm) and CO<sub>2</sub> (% by volume) with Karanja as biodiesel, ethanol as additive and diesel blend in single cylinder compression ignition diesel engine. This type of study is not previously carried out which involve ternary fuel. So, this study will help in analysis of exhaust gas emission with minimum number of experiments.

## II. METHODOLOGY

## A. Experimental setup

The schematic of experimental setup is shown in Figure 1 which consist of single cylinder four stroke compression ignition diesel engines, eddy current dynamometer, exhaust gas analyser, fuel tank as major component. Table 1 shows technical specification of test engine. For measurement of HC, CO,  $NO_x$ ,  $CO_2$  emissions, KEG-500 model 5-gas analyser of Sincro company has been used. The eddy current dynamometer used was manufactured by Tecnomech (Model TMEC-10). Maximum power of eddy current dynamometer is 7.5 KW and revolution per minute in range of 1500-1600 rpm. The unmodified diesel engine is initially started by hand cranking with no load. The diesel engine is allowed to run for nearly 15 min to obtain steady condition. While taking readings, all the ests were conducted twice and average of same taken for further analysis to accomplish greater accuracy.

Experiment was performed in three parts with diesel, biodiesel and ethanol blend as fuel. In first part, the test has been conducted with diesel fuel with varying load in range of 0-5 kg. In second part Karanja biodiesel and diesel blend as fuel is used. The biodiesel is blended with diesel in percentage of total volume of fuel i.e. 10%, 15%, 18% and 20%. The tests performed with varying load in range of 0-5kg on different blends as BD10, BD15, BD18 and BD20. In third part, Karanja biodiesel, ethanol as additive and diesel fuel blend was used. Test is performed with BD10+E10, BD15+E15, BD18+E18 and BD20+E20, load is varied in range of 0-5kg on different blend ratio



Figure 1 Experimental setup



	1 0
Make	Kirloskar oil engine Ltd.
Constant Speed	1500 rpm
Bore x Stroke	87.5 mm x 110mm
Rated power	5.2 KW @ 1500 rpm
Compression Ratio	17.5:1
Method of Cooling	Water cooled

Table 1 Technical specification of Test engine

## Table 2 Fuel properties

		Karanja				K10 +	
Test Fuels	Diesel	BD	Ethanol	K10	K20	E10	K20 + E20
Flash Point (°C)	78	75.3	14	65.4	62.62	45.5	40.6
Fire Point (°C)	85	80.9	20.3	70.8	68	51	45.2
Pour Ponit (°C)	0	3.53	-2.1	1.23	1.75	2.76	2.4
Density (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	840	924.32	789.3	952.36	983.66	980	956.84
Kinematic viscosity							
(cst)	3.11	40.16	1.23	3.26	4.13	2.38	1.98
Calorific value							
(KJ/kgK)	42500	36500	26500	39300	37800	28300	27900

## B. Fuel used

In the experimentation, base fluid as diesel blended with Karanja biodiesel and ethanol as additive are used. Biodiesel and additive ethanol is purchased from SVM Agro Processor, Nagpur, India. The blended fuel properties were tested in Geo-Chem Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., India, which is presented in Table 2. The density is measured by ASTM D-4052 method. Similarly, Kinematic viscosity, Flash point and Pour point were measured by ASTM D-44, ASTM D-93 and ASTM D-97 method respectively.

## C. Design of experiments

The input parameters were Karanja biodiesel, ethanol and load with different levels is shown in Table 3. The number of replicates were three to obtain more accurate outputs. The outcome of experiment was HC (in ppm), CO (% of total volume of exhaust gas),  $NO_X$  (in ppm) and  $CO_2$  (% of total volume of exhaust gas). For all reading, speed is kept constant at 1500 rpm. The total number of runs recorded is 54.

## D. Response surface method (RSM)

RSM is an aggregation of mathematical and statistical techniques which are used for the modelling and analysis of problems. Here, the response of interest or output is influenced by several variables and the aim is to optimize this response. In RSM, approximation between response variable and input parameters is sated firstly. For this, model second order quadratic polynomial equation is formed, can be seen in [18], to fit response using input variables. After fitting developed model is directed to testing such as, significance test with ANOVA (validation). The same model equation is, also, used to calculate precision index values such as coefficient of determination ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ), adjusted  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , predicated  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The Fisher's test (*F-value*) for ANOVA was conducted on experimental data to determine the statistical significance of the model, while *p-value* is probability of getting results equal to actually observer value [31].

With reference to various studies conducted which reveals that RSM requires minimum number of experiment to generate accurate model for analysis. In current work, RSM is developed by using Design Expert 7.0.0 software. RSM part is used to develop regression equation which will be utilized by GA model. In RSM, quadratic order model is selected to study detailed interaction of



variables with polynomial mode. No transformation is selected, since ratio of maximum to minimum value of parameters were less than 10.

## E. Genetic Algorithm (GA)

GA is algorithm that simulate heredity and evolution of living organisms. GAs were invented by John Holland and developed by him and his students and colleagues. GA is multi-point search method and probabilistic. It can be applied to both continuous and discrete function. While designing diesel engine, it is difficult to perform parameter search with conventional method like response surface method, gradient method, etc. So, GA can be applied in this situation [27]. This algorithm starts with a set of solutions as population. Solutions from one population are taken and utilize to form a new population. This is with assumption that the new population will be better than the old one. Solutions which are to be selected to form new solutions are selected based on their fitness function. This process is repeated until the best solution is obtained [30]. The steps involved during optimization are starting solution with random population, defining fitness function, selection of new population based on selection function, crossover function and mutation, replace the old population and test new one against fitness function. It has been performed by using optimization tool of Matlab 2016a software.

Variable	Levels	Detailing					
Karanja Biodiesel (%vol.)	4	10, 15, 18, 20.					
Ethanol (%vol.)	5	0, 10, 15, 18, 20.					
Load (kg)	6	0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.					
Load (kg)	6	0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.					

Table 3 Detailing	of input	variables
-------------------	----------	-----------

		1	1		
Parameter	Source term	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F-value	p-value
HC	Two-way interaction	7381.14	2460.38	18.28	< 0.0001
CO	Linear	0.085	0.028	101.75	< 0.0001
NOx	Two-way interaction	7723.67	2574.56	10.48	< 0.0001
$CO_2$	Two-way interaction	0.92	0.31	4.37	0.0085

## Table 4 Sequential Model of Sum of Squares

Table 5 Model Summary Statistics

Parameter	Source term	$R^2$	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	Predicated R <sup>2</sup>	Adequate Precision
HC	2FI	0.8533	0.8346	0.7974	8738.00
CO	Linear	0.8593	0.8508	0.8402	0.016
NOx	2FI	0.9887	0.9873	0.9852	15230.77
$CO_2$	2FI	0.8252	0.8028	0.7670	4.38

## Table 6 ANOVA for Response Surface 2FI Model of HC emission

Source	Sum of	Mean Square	F-value	p-value	
	Squares				
Model	36801.74	6133.62	45.57	< 0.0001	Significant
A-BD	3603.12	3603.12	26.77	< 0.0001	
B-Ethanol	13740.42	13740.42	102.09	< 0.0001	
C-Load	76.94	76.94	0.57	0.4534	
AB	2402.12	2402.12	17.85	0.0001	
AC	1433.52	1433.52	10.65	0.0021	



## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor :6.887

Volume 5 Issue XII December 2017- Available at www.ijraset.com

BC	4807.42	4807.42	35.72	< 0.0001	
Residual	6325.59	134.59			
Cor. Total	43127.33				

Table / OA parameters						
Parameter	Value					
Solver	gamultiobj					
Population size	200					
Crossover Fraction	0.8					
Mutation function	Constraint dependent					
Crossover Function	Intermediate					
Crossover ratio	1.0					
Migration Fraction	0.2					

## Table 7 GA parameters

## Table 8 Pareto optimal solution

Index	BD	Ethanol	Load	НС	СО	NOX	CO <sub>2</sub>
1	10.01	19.85	0.00	58.89	0.050	170.04	1.372
2	10.02	19.62	0.18	58.50	0.051	183.11	1.387
3	10.01	20.00	4.79	28.42	0.031	499.09	1.336
4	10.04	19.96	0.27	57.07	0.048	188.27	1.365
5	10.01	19.83	3.06	40.17	0.038	380.09	1.360
6	10.03	19.87	2.74	42.00	0.039	358.31	1.359
7	10.02	19.97	1.27	50.71	0.044	256.73	1.358
8	10.02	19.99	4.58	29.89	0.032	484.16	1.338
9	10.00	20.00	5.00	27.11	0.030	513.06	1.334
10	10.00	20.00	5.00	27.11	0.030	513.06	1.334
11	10.02	19.95	1.01	52.38	0.046	239.29	1.361
12	10.01	19.85	3.74	35.79	0.036	427.22	1.355
13	10.03	19.93	2.32	44.37	0.041	329.16	1.356
14	10.01	19.98	3.51	36.58	0.036	410.68	1.344
15	10.01	19.84	0.53	55.67	0.048	206.44	1.370
16	10.00	20.00	4.02	33.29	0.034	445.49	1.340
17	10.04	19.45	1.86	49.13	0.045	299.64	1.396
18	10.00	20.00	4.33	31.35	0.032	466.77	1.338





Figure 2 Comparison of HC emission of biodiesel-diesel blend with pure diesel.



Figure 3 Comparison of HC emission of biodiesel-additive-diesel blend with pure diesel.







Figure 5 Comparison of CO emission of biodiesel-diesel blend with pure diesel.



Figure 6 Comparison of CO emission of biodiesel-additive-diesel blend with pure diesel.



©IJRASET (UGC Approved Journal): All Rights are Reserved





Figure 8 Comparison of NOx emission of biodiesel-diesel blend with pure diesel.



Figure 9 Comparison of NOx emission of biodiesel-additive-diesel blend with pure diesel.







Figure 11 Comparison of CO<sub>2</sub> emission of biodiesel-diesel blend with pure diesel.



Figure 12 Comparison of CO<sub>2</sub> emission of biodiesel-additive-diesel blend with pure diesel.





International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor :6.887

Volume 5 Issue XII December 2017- Available at www.ijraset.com

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## A. HC emission

The variation of HC emission with blend biodiesel-diesel and biodiesel-ethanol-diesel as fuel is shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4 respectively. So, with biodiesel HC emission is increased. For blend K15, K18, K20, it increases with increasing load. For blend with biodiesel-ethanol, the HC emission is decreased with all blend. Exception K18+E18 blend, which increased with load initially and then decreased. The main reason for HC emission is unburned fuel blend due to insufficient combustion so with addition of ethanol this emission can be reduced effectively. From result of sum of squares, as shown in Table 4, it can be seen that among the effect of linear, interaction, quadratic, cubic factors; interaction variables are effective. It can be decided based on *p-value* and *F-value* which is provided from analysis of variance (ANOVA). The *p-value* less than 0.001 is significant in most of the cases. The contour plot of HC emission with biodiesel and ethanol is shown in Figure 5. For evaluation of model, deciding criteria are  $R^2$ , Adjusted  $R^2$ , which is shown in Table 5. So, interaction model is suggested by software. ANOVA for response surface model is shown in Table 6, since interaction variables effect are significant, so quadratic and cubic effect have been aliased. As it can be seen from Table 6 developed model is significant. The Quadratic Regression equation obtained for HC emission is given as  $HC = 119.63473 - 0.90943 \times BD - 4.81021 \times Ethanol + 2.85834 \times Load + 0.22081 \times BD \times Ethanol + 0.53320 \times BD \times Load - 0.72371 \times Ethanol \times Load......(1)$ 

## B. CO emission

CO emission is toxic in nature and has to be controlled. CO emissions are results from incomplete combustion i.e. due to deficiency of oxygen in blended fuel. The variation of HC emission with blend biodiesel-diesel and biodiesel-ethanol-diesel as fuel is shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7 respectively. In first case blend with biodiesel, CO emission increases with compare to pure diesel case. Also, with increasing blend volume, there is decrease in CO emission. In second case with biodiesel-ethanol, CO emission decreased with compare to diesel as fuel. For K18+E18 blend, observed the lowest possible emission in range of 3-5kg.From results of sequential model of sum of squares and model summary parameters in Table 4 and Table 5 respectively, it is clear that linear model is significant over quadratic and interaction model. ANOVA model developed for CO emission, is similar to HC emission as shown in Table 6 with linear model is significant a compare to quadratic, interaction and cubic term. The contour plot of CO emission versus ethanol and load is shown in Figure 8, which gives same interpretation. The quadratic regreesion equation obtained from RSM for CO emission is

 $CO = 0.15955 + 1.01489E - 003 \times BD - 7.60932E - 003 \times Ethanol - 3.71995E - 003 \times Load + 1.57767E - 004 \times BD \times Ethanol + 2.21993E - 004 \times BD \times Load - 1.17554E - 004 \times Ethanol \times Load ......(2)$ 

## C. NOx emission

uring combustion of fuel in diesel engine, it requires highly compressed air which is mainly consists of oxygen and nitrogen. Since nitrogen do not react with oxygen at temperature below  $1600^{\circ}$ C in the cylinder resulting NO<sub>x</sub> emission (NO and NO<sub>2</sub> emission). With experimental data shown for biodiesel and biodiesel-ethanol blend with diesel in Figure 9 and Figure 10 respectively, NOx emission have been increased as compare to pure diesel for both cases. With results observed from sequential model of sum of squares and model summary statistics results in Table 4 and Table 5 respectively, the interation model is significant over other. The overall model is significant. The contour plot of NO<sub>x</sub> emission versus biodiesel and load is shown in Figure 11. The quadratic regression equation obtained from RSM for NO<sub>x</sub> emission is given as

 $NO_X = 178.47765 + 4.92102 \times BD - 5.06672 \times Ethanol + 62.45842 \times Load + 0.21467 \times BD \times Ethanol + 1.03307 \times BD \times Load - 0.20147 \times Ethanol \times Load - .....(3)$ 

## D. $CO_2$ emission

As shown in Figure 12 and Figure 13 shows  $CO_2$  emission for biodiesel and biodiesel-ethanol blend with diesel as fuel respectively. In first case with biodiesel blend, for K10 and K15 blend,  $CO_2$  emission is decreasing as compare to diesel. For K18 and K20 blend, it is increasing with load. In second case with biodiesel-ethanol blend, for all blend  $CO_2$  emission is reduced as compare to diesel fuel. Lowest value of  $CO_2$  emission is observed with K18+E18 and also decreasing nature with increasing load.

With results from sum of squares and model summary statistics results in Table 4 and Table 5 respectively, interaction effect is predominant to linear, quadratic and cubic effect in the model. The overall model of  $CO_2$  emission is significant which can be seen with reference to *F-value and p-value* of AVOVA table. The contour plot of  $CO_2$  emission versus ethanol andload is shown in Figure 14, which shows same interaction model. The quadratic regression equation by RSM for  $CO_2$  emission is given as,



 $CO_2 = 2.64541 - 1.49320E - 003 \times BD - 0.091328 \times Ethanol + 0.11163 \times Load + 2.78926E - 003 \times BD \times Ethanol + 3.52806E - 003 \times BD \times Load - 7.62413E - 003 \times Ethanol \times Load \dots (4)$ 

## E. Multi-objective Optimization by GA

The objective is to minimize emission,namely HC, CO, NO<sub>X</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emission, from exhaust gas in single cylinder CI engine with Karanja biodiesel and ethanol additive blend with diesel as fuel. The parameters used to perform is shown in Table 16. Among these emission, NO<sub>x</sub> emission have selected for importance as compare to other. The Pareto optimal solution ontained by GA is shown in Table 17. Also, Distance plot and spread plot is shown in Figure respectively obtained by software.For validation, three rows selected from Pareto optimal solution as highlited in Table 17. The input variable were adjusted to these values and average of five times reading noted. It shows 3-8 % error. So, it shows good agreement with these input variables. So, best solution obtained ad 10.02 % of biodiesel, 19.97 % of ethanol and 1.27 kg of load at constant speed of 1500 rpm. The response were 50.71 ppm, 0.044 % vol., 256.7 ppm and 1.358 % for HC, CO, NO<sub>X</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emission respectively.

#### REFERENCE

- M. Takase, T. Zhao, M. Zhang, Y. Chen, H. Liu, L. Yang, X. Wu, "An expatiate review of neem, jatropha, rubber and Karanja as multipurpose non-edible biodiesel resources and comparison of their fuel, engine and emission properties". Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Vol. 43, 2015, 495–520.
- [2] V. Kesari, L. Rangan, "Development of Pongamiapinnata as an alternative biofuel crop-current status and scope of plantations in India". Journal of Crop Science and Biotechnology, Vol. 13, no. 3, 2010, 127-137.
- [3] J.H. Ng, H.K. Ng, S. Gan, "Advances in biodiesel fuel for application in compression ignition engines". Clean technologies and environmental policy, Vol. 12, no. 5, 2010, 459-493.
- [4] R. Kumar, M. Sharma, S.S. Ray, A.S. Sarpal, A.A. Gupta, D.K. Tuli, R. Sarin, R.P. Varma, N.R. Raje, "Biodiesel from Jatrophacurcas and Pongamia Pinnate". No. 2004-28-0087, SAE Technical Paper, 2004.
- [5] C. Vijayakumar, M. Ramesh, Murugesan, N. Panneerselvam, D. Subramaniam, M. Bharathiraja, "Biodiesel from plant seed oils as an alternate fuel for compression ignition engines-a review". Environmental science and pollution research international, Vol. 23, no. 24, 2016, 24711-24730.
- [6] A. K. Agarwal, K. Rajamanoharan, "Experimental investigations of performance and emissions of Karanja oil and its blends in a single cylinder agricultural diesel engine". Applied Energy, Vol. 86, no.1, 2009, 106–112.
- [7] K. Sivaramakrishnan, P. Ravikumar, "Optimization of operational parameters on performance and emissions of a diesel engine using biodiesel". International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology, Vol. 11, no. 4, 2014, 949.
- [8] D. M. Korres, Arg. Painesaki, D. Karonis and E. Lois, S. Kalligeros, "Use of Ethanol along with Biodiesel in Diesel and Jet Fuels on a Stationary Diesel Engine". SAE technical paper, 2005-01-3676.
- [9] L. Pidol, B. Lecointe, L. Pesant, N. Jeuland, "Ethanol as a Diesel Base Fuel -Potential in HCCI Mode". SAE technical paper, 2008-01-2506.
- [10] J. R. Sodré, M. A. Luciano, O. S. Valente, "Solubility analysis of ethanol and diesel oil with biodiesel (b7) blends". SAE Technical Paper Series, 2015-36-0403.
- [11] A. Datta, B. K. Mandal, "A numerical study on the performance, combustion and emission parameters of a compression ignition engine fuelled with diesel, palm stearin biodiesel and alcohol blends". Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy, Vol. 19, no. 1, 2017, 157-173.
- [12] J. Krahl, S. Tanugula, H. Hopf, "Diesel Fuel Additives to Reduce NOx Emissions from Diesel Engines Operated on Diesel and Biodiesel Fuels by SNCR". SAE technical paper, 2010-01-2280.
- [13] B. Baiju, M.K. Naik, L.M. Das, "A comparative evaluation of compression ignition engine characteristics using methyl and ethyl esters of Karanja oil". Renewable energy, Vol. 34, no. 6, 2009, 1616-1621.
- [14] N. Kumar, H. S. Pali, "Effects of n-Butanol Blending with Jatropha Methyl Esters on Compression Ignition Engine". Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, Vol. 11, no. 41, 2016, 4327-4336.
- [15] T. Shudo, A. Fujibe, M. Kazahaya, Y. Aoyagi, H. Ishii, Y. Goto, A. Noda, "The Cold Flow Performance and the Combustion Characteristics with Ethanol Blended Biodiesel Fuel". SAE technical paper series, 2005-01-3707.
- [16] V. Vibhanshu, N. Kumar, C. Mishra, S. Sinha, H. S. Pali, S. Bansal, "Experimental Investigation of Diesel Engine Fueled with Jatropha Oil Blend with Ethanol". SAE technical paper series, 2013-24-0105.
- [17] M. K. D. Kiani, B. Ghobadian, T. Tavakoli, A.M. Nikbakht, G. Najafi, "Application of artificial neural networks for the prediction of performance and exhaust emissions in SI engine using ethanol-gasoline blends". Energy, Vol. 35, no. 1, 2010, 65-69.
- [18] T. Ganapathy, R. P. Gakkhar, K. Murugesan, "Optimization of performance parameters of diesel engine with Jatropha biodiesel using response surface methodology". International Journal of Sustainable Energy, Vol. 30, No. S1, 2011, S76–90.
- [19] G. Khoobbakht, G. Najafi, M. Karimi, "Optimization of operating factors and blended levels of diesel, biodiesel and ethanol fuels to minimize exhaust emissions of diesel engine using response surface methodology". Applied Thermal Engineering, Vol. 99, 2016, 1006-1017.
- [20] S. G. Bojan, S. Chelladurai, S. K. Durairaj, "Response Surface Methodology for Optimization of Biodiesel Production from High FFA JatrophaCurcas Oil". International Journal of Green Energy, Vol. 8, 2011, 607–617.
- [21] A. Atmanlı, B. Yüksel, E. Ileri, A. D. Karaoglan, "Response surface methodology based optimization of diesel-n-butanol-cotton oil ternary blend ratios to improve engine performance and exhaust emission characteristics". Energy Conversion and Management, Vol. 90, 2015, 383–394.
- [22] S. O. Giwa, S. O. Adekomaya, K. O. Adama, M. O. Mukaila, "Prediction of selected biodiesel fuel properties using artificial neural network". Frontiers in Energy, Vol. 9, no. 4, 2015, 433.



- [23] S. Dhingra, G. Bhushan, K. K. Dubey, "Development of a combined approach for improvement and optimization of karanja biodiesel using response surface methodology and genetic algorithm". Frontiers in Energy, Vol. 7, no. 4, 2013, 495–505.
- [24] P. Liu, L. Fan, D. Xu, X. Ma, E. Song, "Multi-Objective Optimization of High-Speed Solenoid Valve Based on Response Surface and Genetic Algorithm". SAE technical paper series, 2015-01-1350.
- [25] E. Betiku, S. S. Okunsolawo, S. O. Ajala, O. S. Odedele, "Performance evaluation of artificial neural network coupled with generic algorithm and response surface methodology in modelling and optimization of biodiesel production process parameters from shea tree nut butter". Renewable Energy, Vol. 76, 2015, 408-417.
- [26] S. Dhingra, G. Bhushan, K. K. Dubey, "Multi-objective optimization of combustion, performance and emission parameters in a jatropha biodiesel engine using non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm-II". Frontiers of Mechanical Engineering, Vol. 9, no. 1, 2014, 81-94.
- [27] T. Hiroyasu, M. Miki, M. Kim, S. Watanabe, H. Hiroyasu, H. Miao, "Reduction of Heavy Duty Diesel Engine Emission and Fuel Economy with Multi-Objective Genetic Algorithm and Phenomenological Model". SAE technical paper series, 2004-01-0531.
- [28] S. Dhingra, K. K. Dubey, G. Bhushan, "A Polymath Approach for the Prediction of Optimized Transesterification Process Variables of Polanga Biodiesel". Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society, Vol. 91, no. 4, 2014, 641.
- [29] S. D. Jadhav, M. S. Tandale, "Multi-objective Performance Optimization of Compression Ignition Engine Operated on MangiferaIndica Biodiesel by Applying Taguchi Grey Relational Analysis". Waste and Biomass Valorization, Vol. 7, no. 5, 2016, 1309-1325.
- [30] David E. Goldberg. Genetic algorithm in search, optimization and machine learning. Addison-Wesley publishing company Inc. 1989.











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)