



iJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 6 Issue: I Month of publication: January 2018

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2018.1307>

www.ijraset.com

Call: ☎ 08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Effect of Nickel on Bacterial Population in Soil

Josna Victoria K Johnson¹, Mallikarjunaswamy G.E², Sajeew T.V³

^{1, 2, 3}Division of Forest Health, KFRI, Peechi

Abstract: Soil is considered as the naturally existing physical covering of the earth's surface and are home for innumerable microbes. These microbes help in keeping soil health in its proper manner. Any small variation in natural constituent of soil will alter these microbes, which affects the soils. Anthropogenic activities increased the accumulation of heavy metals in the soil. Nickel is one of the metal which present naturally in the serpentine soils. Micro flora and fauna of serpentine soils are tolerant to the presence of nickel. Nickel is a micronutrient for microbes and plant. High nickel concentration reduces the pH and decreases the bacterial count. Present study reveals that presence of both gram positive and gram negative bacteria in soils and at higher concentrations the number of both gram negative and positive cocci is reduced. Hence data demonstrate the relationship between Nickel and soil bacteria at various concentrations.

Keywords: Heavy metal, Nickel, Serpentine soils, pH, Soil microbes

I. INTRODUCTION

The soil is the cradle of untold forms of plants, animals and microbial life. According to the Soil Science Society of America, soil is defined as, "...the unconsolidated mineral or organic material on the immediate surface of the earth that serves as a natural medium for the growth of land plants..." Soils are considered as the naturally existing physical covering of the earth's surface, it represents the interface of three material states: solids (geological and dead biological materials), liquids (water), and gases (air in soil pores). They are the foundation of all terrestrial ecosystems and are home to a vast diversity of bacteria, archaea, fungi, insects, annelids, and other invertebrates as well as plants and algae. These soil dwellers perform most of the critical roles in the ecosystem. Bacteria are remarkable for an extraordinary variety of metabolic mechanisms. These metabolic activities are affected by the accumulation of chemical compounds like heavy metals etc. Nickel is one of the transition metal which will accumulate in the soil and causes various alterations in the natural metabolism of microflora and fauna of soil. Anthropogenic activities are the main source for nickel contamination. Hence the current study aims on the effect of nickel on soil properties and soil bacteria.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Soil collection – Soil samples were collected from Peechi Wildlife sanctuary. Samples(015) from different locations were collected from a systematic sampling(50mX50m) design. A plot(10X10m) was laid at sample site. The plot was divided into equal blocks, 10 soil cores were obtained from the site and all samples from a plot is pooled together to get a composite sample of the plot. The samples was air dried for 24 hours in shade. The soil samples was then sieved through 2mm sieve and required quantity of soil sample stored at 4°C till to be analysed.

A. Soil analysis

Soil pH : the level of soil pH of both control sample and test samples is analysed by pH meter.

Heavy metal analysis: The initial level of heavy metals present in the soil sample is analysed through Atomic Absorption Spectrometry by using standard solutions.

Preparation of soil samples by adding different concentrations of Nickel solutions: Six sets of soil samples were taken. One is kept as control sample. Each set with 250g of soil and mixed with 10ml of nickel solution of various concentrations such as, 0.05ppm, 1ppm, 2ppm, 5ppm and 10ppm.

Culture of bacteria- bacterial count is taken by serial dilution method, pour plate method and Gram staining technique at three different intervals with a time period of seven days. Various colonies with different morphological characters were counted and taken for gram's staining technique. One separate colonies were isolated and done the biochemical tests for the identification of bacteria.

III. RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS

The initial concentration of nickel present in the soil sample is measured with the help of Atomic Absorption Spectrometry and the initial concentration is 2.18ppm. The CFU of soil bacteria is calculated at three different intervals. The intervals were taken as 7th day, 14th day and 21st day after the addition of Nickel to the soil samples. The results are given below.

A. Section A

Table 1

Effect Of Different Concentration Of Nickel On Bacterial Population At Different Intervals

Sample sets with nickel	Ist interval(7 th day)		IInd interval (14 th day)		IIIrd interval (21 st day)	
	CFU at 105	CFU at 106	CFU at 105	CFU at 106	CFU at 105	CFU at 106
Control sample	19.5	15.5	21	3	30	26
0.05ppm	6.5	11.5	13.5	4	14	8
1ppm	16	2.5	12.5	9	12.5	8.5
2ppm	24	6.5	14	4	12.5	10.5
5ppm	12	7	29.5	11.5	14	13
10ppm	50.5	24	38.5	19.5	15	14.5

After the bacterial count, several colonies of different morphological characters are selected for the Gram's Staining method and biochemical tests. The results are given below:

B. Section B

Table 2.1

Biochemical tests and Gram staining at First interval

SOIL SAMPLES CONCENTRATION	RESULT
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Control Sample 1 st colony 2 nd colony 3 rd colony	Micrococcus varians Bacillus sp. Enterobacteriaceae
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0.05ppm 1 st colony 2 nd colony	Micrococcus varians Bacillus sp.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1ppm 1 st colony 2 nd colony 3 rd colony 4 th colony	Rod Bacillus sp Staphylococcus aureus Staphylococcus aureus
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2ppm 1 st colony 2 nd colony 3 rd colony	Micrococcus varians Micrococcus varians Rod
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5ppm 1 st colony 2 nd colony 3 rd colony	Staphylococcus aureus Rod Bacillus
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 10ppm 1 st colony 2 nd colony 3 rd colony	Bacillus Bacillus Klebsiella

Table 2.2At Second interval

SOIL SAMPLES CONCENTRATION	RESULT
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Control Sample 1 st colony 2 nd colony 3 rd colony	Rod Bacillus Micrococcus varians
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0.05ppm 1 st colony 2 nd colony 3 rd colony	Rod Micrococcus varians Rod
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1ppm 1 st colony 2 nd colony 3 rd colony 4 th colony 5 th colony	Rod Staphylococcus aureus Staphlococcusaureus Bacillus Rod shaped
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2ppm 1 st colony 2 nd colony 3 rd colony	Rod Staphylococcus aureus Bacillus
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5ppm 1 st colony 2 nd colony 3 rd colony 4 th colony	Bacillus Rod Rod Rod
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 10ppm 1 st colony 2 nd colony	Bacillus Bacillus

Table 2.3At Third interval

SOIL SAMPLES CONCENTRATION	RESULT
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Control Sample 1 st colony 2 nd colony 3 rd colony	Bacillus Micrococcus varians Bacillus
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 0.05ppm 1 st colony 2 nd colony 3 rd colony	Bacillus Bacillus Enterobacteriaceae
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1ppm 1 st colony 2 nd colony	Bacillus Rod shaped
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2ppm 1 st colony 2 nd colony 3 rd colony	Rod shaped Rod shaped Bacillus Rod shaped

4 th colony	
• 5ppm 1 st colony 2 nd colony	Bacillus Rod shaped
• 10ppm 1 st colony 2 nd colony 3 rd colony 4 th colony	Rod shaped Rod shaped Bacillus Rod shaped

The pH of the soil samples were measured through pH meter. The values are given below.

C. Section C

Table 3pH of the soil samples

Concentration of soil samples	pH
Control	6.52
0.05ppm	6.44
1ppm	6.40
2ppm	6.31
5ppm	6.26
10ppm	6.27

IV. DISCUSSION

Soil microbes play an important role in the recycling of plant nutrients, maintenance, detoxification of noxious chemicals, and the control of plant pests and plant growth. Alternations in the activity of microorganisms have often been proposed as a sensitive indicator of anthropogenic effects on soil ecology. (Wang et.al. 2007.) The alternations in the activity of soil microbes is mainly due to the presence of certain chemicals in an abnormal level. One of the important compounds belong to this category is heavy metals. They are highly toxic to the environment at certain concentrations. Their toxicity depends upon on several factors including the dose, route of exposure, and chemical species, as well as the age, gender, genetics, and nutritional status of exposed individuals. Nickel is considered as one of the heavy metals which promotes the plant growth and bacterial growth if it is present in an adequate level. But if the concentration of nickel is increased it will negatively affect the soil pH, microbial count, soil fertility etc Soil pH is an important control on the chemical reactions that take place in soil. Each microorganism has its own optimum pH. The optimum pH range for most of the plants and microbes is between 5.5 to 7 and the maximum growth can be seen at the range of pH 6. (Section C).In the present study it is found that there is a slight decrease in the pH of the soil with increase in the concentration of Nickel in the soil. In the control sample the pH is 6.52. Whereas it is decreased upto 6.26 at the concentration of 10ppm. Each unit decrease in pH results in approximately 2-fold increases in the concentration of metals such as Zn, Ni and Cd. (Ken et.al.1997). pH interacts with heavy metals to diminish their toxicity (Roman and Margaret 1996). Nickel is well known as an essential trace elements for plants and cyanobacteria. In trace level it promote the growth of both plants and bacteria. Furthermore, Ni can be regarded as the catalyst of life. Here in the present study, the bacterial count of the control sample is steadily increasing at three different intervals, because the initial level of Nickel is about 2.18ppm which is trace level and it promotes the growth of the bacteria. The bacterial count increases from 19.5×10^{-5} , 24×10^{-5} and 30×10^{-5} CFU/ml. Nickel is present in large amounts mainly in Serpentine soils. The plants and microbes in serpentine soils are highly adaptive and resistant for Nickel. The fundamental role of nickel in microbial metabolism may be the function of Ni in the synthesis of urease. Serpentine soils are rich in Nickel and chromium. Certain studies characterized the properties of nickel resistant microflora from Serpentine soils from Andaman. The potent Ni^{2+} -resistant species were identified as Pseudomonas and Bacillus. Growth kinetics of these Ni^{2+} -resistant bacteria showed a prolonged lag phase in Ni^{2+} -containing media, which extended with increasing nickel concentration.(Arundhathi.et.al. 2004.). But here in the present study the soil belongs to alluvial, clay soil. As a result, the Nickel level will be in a trace amount and the plants, bacteria will not be tolerant to the toxic effects of Nickel. The results shows that the bacterial count is decreasing with the increase

in the Nickel concentration. A drastic decrease in bacterial count can be seen at the soil sample with 10ppm. Here, at the first interval the bacteria count is 50.5×10^5 cfu/ml, whereas in the third interval it is 15×10^5 cfu/ml. In the soil samples with low level of Nickel concentrations like 0.05ppm, 1ppm and 2ppm the bacterial count is increasing at certain intervals. This shows that Nickel present in trace level will promote the growth of the bacteria to a certain level. Further observation on the results shows that there is a sudden increase in the bacterial count at high concentrations like 5ppm and 10ppm at two different initial intervals. It is due to the sudden stress caused by the heavy metal. It represents the increased energy demand for repair and maintenance. (Fliebach et.al.1994). Odum (1985) pointed out that any detectable stress at the ecosystem level is a real cause for alarm as it may signal a breakdown in homeostasis. The results of the gram staining methods shows that most of the bacteria is Gram positive rod and cocci. In this the cocci shaped ones are present up to the 5ppm at the first interval. But when it reached the third interval the cocci like *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Micrococcus aureus* is present only in the control sample.

V. CONCLUSION

From this study, it comes to the conclusion that, when the concentration of Nickel in soil is increased the bacterial count falls. The soil used for the experiment belongs to alluvial, clay category. So the microbes are not adaptive or resistant to the high concentrations of nickel. At trace amounts of Nickel such as 0.05ppm and 1ppm the bacterial count is not affected or it is normal. A sudden increase in bacterial count is seen at the concentration of 10ppm, it could be due to the repair mechanism in bacteria. Both Gram positive and gram negative bacteria were observed in the samples after staining. It was found that comparatively number of gram positive was more than negative and also gram negative cocci was negligible at certain high concentrations. The bacteria identified are *Bacillus*, *Staphylococcus*, *Micrococcus* and *Klebsiella* species. When nickel concentration increases the count of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Micrococcus* varies decreases. The present study also reveals that when Nickel concentrations increase on the soil samples the pH also decreases. The initial pH was 6.25 and it decreased to 6.26 at the nickel concentration 10ppm. When the pH lowered to acidic level it will affect the plants and microbes in a soil. More in depth studies are required to identify relationship between Nickel and soil bacteria and what extent it affects the pH of the soil and its fertility. Such studies will help in future to take precautions to preserve the natural quality of the soil.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alloway J Brian. (2010). 'Heavy Metals in Soils.' Blackie Academic and Professional Publications. New York. London.
- [2] Ansari Ikrammohd, Malik Abdul. (2007). 'Biosorption of nickel and cadmium by metal resistant bacterial isolates from agricultural soil irrigated with industrial wastewater.' *Bioresource technology*.pg: 3149-3153.
- [3] Babich H, Stotzy G. (1982). 'Nickel toxicity to microbes: Effect of pH and implications for acid rain.' *Environmental Research*.pg: 335-350.
- [4] Buchanan R E, Gibbons N E. Bergey's Manual of determinative Bacteriology(eighth edn). The Williams and Wilkins publications.Pg: 478-529.
- [5] BurdGenrich L, Dixon George D, Glick Bernard R. 1998. 'A Plant Growth-Promoting Bacterium That Decreases Nickel Toxicity in Seedlings'.*Environmental Microbiology*. 64:355-364.
- [6] Fliebach A. and Reber H. (1992) Effects of long term sewage sludge applications on soil microbial applications on soil microbial parameters.
- [7] Giller E Ken, Witter Ernst, Mcgrath Steve P. (1997). ' toxicity of heavy metals to microorganisms and microbial processes in agricultural soils a review.' *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*.10:1389-1414.
- [8] Khan Abdul G. 2005. 'Role of soil microbes in the rhizospheres of plants growing on trace metal contaminated soils in phytoremediation'. Trace elements.
- [9] Kuperman G Roman, Carreiro M Margaret. (1996). ' Soil heavy metal concentrations, microbial biomass and enzyme activities in a contaminated grassland ecosystem.' *Soil biology and Biochemistry*. 2:179-190.
- [10] Madhaiyan M, Poonguzhali S and SaTongmin. (2007). 'Metal tolerating methylotrophic bacteria reduces nickel and cadmium toxicity and promotes plant growth of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.)'. *Chemosphere: Science for environmental technology*. Pg:220-228.
- [11] Mengoni A, Barzanthi R, Gonnelli C, Gabbriellini R, Bazzicalupo M. 2001. 'Characterization of nickel-resistant bacteria isolated from serpentine soil '. *Journal of environmental microbiology* , Vol 3:691-698.
- [12] Nies Dietrich H. 1992. 'Resistance to cadmium, cobalt, zinc, and nickel in microbes'. *Plasmid-Determined Metal Resistance: A Collection of reviews*.17-28.
- [13] Odum E.P(1985) trends expressed in stressed ecosystems. *Bioscience* 35, 419-422.
- [14] Oline David K. 2006. 'Phylogenetic Comparisons of Bacterial Communities from Serpentine and Nonserpentine Soils'. SOUTHERN Oregon University, Oregon.
- [15] Pal Arundhathi, Choudhouri Paramitha, dutta Suman, Mukerjee P K and paul A K. 2004. 'Isolation and characterization of nickel-resistant microflora from serpentine soils of Andaman'. *World journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 20:81-886.
- [16] Schlegel H G, Cosson J P, Baker A J M. 2014. 'Nickel-hyperaccumulating Plants Provide a Niche for Nickel-resistant Bacteria'. *Journal of environmental Microbiology*. German Botanical Society.
- [17] Schmidt Thomas and Schlegel G Hans. (1989). 'Nickel and cobalt resistance of various bacteria isolated from soil and highly polluted domestic and industrial wastes.'pg:315-328.
- [18] Stoppel Dietmar Ralf, Meyer Maria, Schlegel Günter Hans, 1995. 'The nickel resistance determinant cloned from the enterobacterium *Klebsiella oxytoca*: conjugational transfer, expression, regulation and DNA homologies to various nickel-resistant bacteria'. *Biometals*. Vol 8: 70-79.



- [19] Stoppel R, Schlegel H G. 1995. 'Nickel-resistant bacteria from anthropogenically nickel-polluted and naturally nickel-percolated ecosystems'. Applied environmental Microbiology. 6:2276-2285.
- Shanab Abou R A, Angle T S, Delrome T A, Chaney R L, Van Berkum P, Moawad H, Ghanem K and Ghazlan H A. (2005). ' Rhizobacterial effects on Nickel extraction from soil and uptake by Alyssum Murale'. Chemosphere.
- [20] Tank Neelam and Saraf Meenu. (2008). 'Enhancement of plant growth and decontamination of nickel-spiked soil using PGPR'. Weinheim.
- [21] Tian Jian, Ningfeng Wu, Jiang Li, Yajie Liu, Jun Guo Bin Yao and Yunliu Fan. 2007. 'Nickel-Resistant Determinant from *Leptospirillum ferriphilum*'. Biotechnology research Institute. China.
- [22] Tibazarwa C, Corbisier P, Mench M, Bossus A, Solda P, mergeay M, Wyns L, Van der Ielie D. (2001). 'A microbial biosensor to predict bioavailable nickel in soil and its transfer to plants.' Environmental Pollution. pg: 19-26.
- Tchounwa Paul B, Yedjou Clement G, Patlolla Anita K, Suttou Dwayne J, (2014). 'Heavy metal toxicity and Environment.' HHS public Access.
- Vivas A, Biro B, Nemeth T, Barea J M, Azcon R. (2006). 'Nickel-tolerant *Brevibacillus brevis* and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus can reduce metal acquisition and nickel toxicity effects in plant growing in nickel supplemented soil.' Soil biology biochemistry. pg: 2694-2704.
- [23] Wang, Shi, Lin, Chen. (2007). ' heavy metal availability and impact on activity of soil microorganisms along a Cu/Zn contamination gradient.' Journal of environmental sciences. 19:848-853.
- [24] Warmate A G, Tamunobereton T I, Inyangudonam U E, Ibaraye T. (2010). 'Concentrations of heavy metals in soil and water receiving used engine oil in Port Harcourt, Nigeria.' Journal of ecology and environmental sciences. 3:54-57.
- [25] Zaidi Sabina, Usmani Saima, Singh Raj braj, Musarrat Javed. (2006). 'Significance of *Bacillus subtilis* strain SJ-101 as a bioinoculant for concurrent plant growth promotion and nickel accumulation in *Brassica juncea*'. Science of environmental Technology. Pg:991-997.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)