A Study on Domination Number in Jahangir Graph
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Abstract: Domination of a graph is widely applied in data analyzing, networking, decision making technique, Jahangir graph applied built in constructing uses many different model shapes. Here, I discussed about the Domination, Split domination, Non split domination and Total domination properties in Jahangir Graph. In particular its applied in J2,8 and the results are discussed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The concept of domination in graphs began in the middle of the 17th century and the Queen problem, where the question was how many queens on a chess board were required so that no square on the chessboard was unavailable to queen move. The Question was First stated mathematically by de Jaenisch in 1862⁶. The problem was formalized in work by Berge and ore in 1958⁸, with one of the first treatments by Berge in 1962.

The Mathematical study of domination theory in graphs started around 1960. Its roots go back to 1862 when C.F De Jaenish studied the problem of determining the minimum number of queens necessary to cover an nxn chess board in such way that every square is attached by one of the queens.

This problem were studied in detail by two brothers A.M Yaglom and I.M Yaglom around 1964. They have derived solutions of some of these kinds of problems for rooks, knights, kings and bishops. C. Berge wrote a book on graph theory in which be defined the concept of the domination number in 1958. He called this number the co-efficient of external stability. Actually the names, dominating set and domination number published in 1962. He used the Notation d(G) for the domination number of a graph. The Notation \( \gamma(G) \) was first used by E.J. Cockayne and S.T Headtiumenu for the domination number for a graph which subsequently became the accepted notation.

A. Domination Number

The Domination Number \( \gamma(G) \) is the minimum size of a Dominating set of vertices in G (the cardinality of minimum dominating set).

\[
\begin{align*}
D_1 &= \{A, E, F\} \\
D_2 &= \{B, C, F\} \\
D_3 &= \{A, D\} \\
D_4 &= \{C, D\}
\end{align*}
\]

Here \( D_3, D_4 \) are the minimum dominating set. So \( \gamma(G) = 2 \)
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Here \( D_2 \) are the minimum dominating set so 
\( \gamma(G)=2 \) But \( D_1 \) not a minimum dominating set.
**B. Dominating Set**

A Dominating set for a graph $G=(V,E)$ is a subset $D$ of $V$ such that every vertex not in $D$ (Every vertex $V \in D$) is joined to at least one member of $D$ by some edge. i.e.) A set $D$ of vertices in a graph $G$ is called a dominating set of $G$ if every vertex in $V \setminus D$ is adjacent to some vertex in $D$.

**C. Minimum Dominating Set**

If $D$ consists of minimum number of vertices, a Dominating set $D$ is Minimum Dominating set.

**D. Minimal Dominating Set**

A dominating set $D$ of the graph $G$ is said to be a minimal dominating set if for every vertex $V \in D$, $D \setminus V$ is not a dominating set, then Minimal Dominating set has no proper subset of $D$ is a dominating set.

1) *Theorem 1.1* A Dominating Set $D$ is a Minimal dominating set if and only if for each vertex $V \in \mathcal{V}$, $D$ one of the following conditions.

2) *Theorem 1.2* [18]: Let $G$ be a graph without isolated vertices. If $D$ is a minimal dominating set, then $V \setminus D$ is a dominating set.

3) *Proof*: Suppose $v$ is isolate vertex in $G$.

   - Then $D \setminus v$ is a dominating set of $G$.
   - Then $\gamma(G \setminus v) \leq |D \setminus v|$
   - $\leq |D| = \gamma(G)$
   - $\gamma(G \setminus v) = \gamma(G)$

   Hence $V \setminus D$ is a dominating set.

4) *Result 1.3*: Domination Number Satisfies

   $n/1+\Delta \leq \gamma \leq n$
n= |V| the vertex count of a graph 
$\Delta$ is maximum vertex degree.

5) Result 1.4 [18]: If G is a graph with no isolated vertices, then 
$$\gamma(G) \leq \frac{P}{2}$$

6) Result 1.5 [18]: If G(V,E) is a simple graph then 
$$2|q| \leq |P^2| - |P|$$

### II. SPLIT DOMINATING SET

A Graph G=(V,E), A Dominating Set D of G is a split Dominating Set if the induced sub graph <V-D> is Disconnected. Diagram

A. Split Domination Number

A Graph G=(V,E). The split domination Number is the minimum cardinality of the split dominating set.

It is denoted by $\gamma_s(G)$

$$\gamma_s(G) = 2$$

[4] $\gamma_s(G) = \text{Min}\{S \text{ is a split dominating set}\}$ is the split domination number.

B. Result 2.4[19]

\[\gamma(C_p) = \left\lceil \frac{P}{3} \right\rceil \text{ if } P \geq 4\]

\[\gamma(W_p) = 3 \text{ if } P \geq 5\]

\[\gamma(K_m,n) = M \text{ if } 2 \leq m \leq n\]

C. Result 2.5

For any graph G, $\gamma_s(G) \leq \alpha_0(G)$, (G) is the vertex covering number of G

D. Result 2.6

$$\gamma_s(G) \leq \delta(G)$$ Where $\delta(G)$ minimum degree of G

E. Result 2.7

Let G be a graph such that both G and its complements G bar are connected, $\gamma_s(G) + \gamma_s(G\text{bar}) \leq (P-3)$

### III. NON SPLIT DOMINATING SET[20]

A dominating set D of G is a Non split dominating set if the induced sub graph <V-D> is connected. Diagram

A. Non Split Domination Number

A graph G=V,E. The non split domination number denoted by $\gamma_{ns}(G)$.It is the minimum cardinality of a No split Dominating set.

For any connected graph G, $\gamma_{ns}(G) \leq P-1$, further quality holds if and only if G is a star.

### IV. CONNECTED DOMINATING SET

A graph (G=V,E) be a graph a connecting set D of G is a connected dominating set if the induced sub graph <D> is connected.

A. Connected Domination Number

A graph (G=V,E) the connected domination number $\gamma_c(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a connected dominating set.

B. Result 4.3

If diagram (G)=5 then $\gamma_s(G) \geq \gamma_{ns}(G)\text{ Bar}$
C. **Result 4.3**

For any graph $G$, $\gamma_{ns}(G) \leq p - \omega(G) + 1$.

$\omega(G)$ is the clique number of $G$.

V. **TOTAL DOMINATING SET**

A set $S \subseteq V$ is a dominating set of $G$ if every vertex $V \setminus S$ has a neighbor in $S$ and is a total dominating set (TDS).

A. **Properties**

1) If every vertex in $V$ has a neighbor in $S$.
2) The total domination number $\gamma(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set of $G$.
3) A Total dominating set of $G$ with Minimum cardinality is called a $\gamma(G)$ Set.
4) Total domination was introduced by Cockayne and Hedetniemi (2).

B. **Total Domination Number**

The total domination number $\gamma_t$ and $\gamma_t$-set of $G$ are defined similarly to $\gamma(G)$ and $\gamma$-set.

$\gamma_t$- any Non-trivial connected graph.

For any Non-Trivial connected graph $G$, $\gamma_t(G) \leq 3$

C. **Property 5.2 [21]**

For $G=\langle V, E \rangle$ and For all $u, v \in V$ if $u$ and $v$ are adjacent they dominate each other the least.

$\text{dom}(u,v)=1$

VI. **JAHANGIR GRAPH**

A. **Definition 6.1**

Jahangir graph $(J_{m,n})$- Cycle, n- Vertices. Jahangir graph $J_{m,n}$ for $n \geq 3$ is a graph on $nm+1$ vertices. ie) a graph consisting of a cycle $C_{mn}$ with one additional vertex which is adjacent to $n$ vertices of $C_{mn}$ at distance $m$ to each other on $C_{mn}$[1].

B. **Examples**

Jahangir graph $J_{2,8}$: The Figure $J_{2,8}$ Appears on Jahangir Tomb in His mausoleum. It lies in 5 kilometers North west of Lahore Pakistan across the River Ravi[1]

![Jahangir Graph Images]

Wiener index and Hosoya polynomial of $J_{2,m}$, $J_{3,m}$ and $J_{4,m}$ are computed in [22],[23]

VII. **DOMINATION NUMBER IN JAHANGIR GRAPH**

Jahangir Graph satisfies Domination Number in the following conditions.

A. **Result 7.1**

$n/1+\Delta \leq \gamma \leq n$
We know, 
\( \Delta \) is maximum vertex degree For example, choose \( J_{2,8} \)

\( \gamma (J_{2,8})=6 \)

\( \Delta (G)=8 \)

So, we get \( 17/1+8 \leq \gamma \leq 17 \)

**B. Theorem 7.2**

Let \( G \) be a Jahangir graph \( J_{m,n} \) with no isolated vertices, then \( \gamma (J_{m,n}) \leq P/2 \)

**Proof** Let \( G \) be a Jahangir graph \( J_{m,n} \) with no isolated vertices. We prove that

Now, analyzing the above property in Jahangir graph \( J_{m,n} \) with no isolated vertices.

Let \( \gamma (G) \) be a Domination Number where \( G \) is a Jahangir graph \( J_{m,n} \) and so,

\( \gamma (J_{m,n}) \) has \( m \) cycle and \( n \) vertices By the definition of Cycle

A Cycle graph or Circular graph that consist of a single cycle (or) some number of vertices connected in a closed chain

Now we take \( J_{m,n} \) this mean \( J_{m,n} \)

\( m=2, n=8 \)

\( \gamma (J_{m,n}) \leq P/2 \), where \( P \) is a path \( 2 \leq 8 \)

**C. Theorem 7.3**

If \( J_{m,n} \) is a simple graph then \( 2 |q| \leq |p^2| - |p| \)

**Proof**

\( J_{m,n} \) is a simple graph

By the definition of Simple graph: A Simple graph contains no loops or multiple edges Jahangir graph is a simple graph and it means no loops or multiple edge

Here for \( J_{2,8} \), we get

\( |24| \leq |16^2| - |16| \)

**D. Theorem 7.4**

For any connected graph \( J_{m,n} \) without pendant vertex

\( \gamma (J_{m,n}) \leq \delta (J_{m,n}) \)

**Proof**

Let \( G \) be a Jahangir graph \( J_{m,n} \) without pendant vertex.

By the Definition of Pendant Vertex

A Vertex \( V \) of \( G \) is said to be pendant vertex if and only if it has degree 1.

So, if Jahangir graph does not have a pendant vertex,

Then minimum degree of \( \delta (J_{2,8}) = 1 \)

Hence, we get,

\( \gamma (J_{2,8}) \leq \delta (J_{2,8}) \)

i.e, \( 6 \leq 1 \)

**E. Theorem 7.5**

Let \( G \) be Jahangir graph \( J_{m,n} \). \( \gamma (J_{m,n}) \leq \alpha _o(J_{m,n}) \) is the vertex covering number of \( G \). Proof

\( G \) be a Jahangir graph \( J_{m,n} \) We need to prove that

\( \gamma (J_{m,n}) \leq \alpha _o(J_{m,n}) \)

\( \alpha _o(G) \) – Vertex covering Number of \( G \)

\( \alpha _o(J_{m,n}) \) – Vertex covering Number of \( J_{m,n} \)

Let \( G=(V,E) \) be a graph, A subset \( K \) of \( V \) is called a vertex covering if every edge of \( G \) is incident with or covered by a vertex in \( K \).
We say split dominating set is n-m
Then \( \alpha_o = 2 \)
\( \gamma_s(5) \leq \alpha_o(2) \),
i.e., \( 5 \leq 2 \).

**F. Theorem 7.6**
\( \gamma_s(J_{m,n}) \leq \delta(j_{m,n}) \)
Proof
We prove that,
\( \gamma_s(J_{m,n}) \leq \delta(j_{m,n}) \)
Minimum degree
\( \gamma_s(J_{m,n}) \leq \delta(j_{m,n}) \)
Now we take \( m=2 \) cycle; \( n=8 \) vertices
\( \gamma_s(J_{2,8}) \leq \delta(j_{2,8}) \)
Minimum degree of its vertices \( \delta(G) \)
Now \( \delta(j_{m,n}) \) is the minimum degree of its vertices so
\( \delta(j_{2,8}) \)
Next
\( \gamma_s(J_{2,8}) \leq \delta(j_{2,8}) \)
\( 5 \leq 1 \)

**G. Result 7.7**
Now, Change in Jahangir graph
\( \gamma_s(C_p) = \lfloor p/3 \rfloor \) if \( p \geq 4 \) is a Jahangir graph .
\( \gamma_s(W_p) = 3 \) if \( p \geq 5 \) so \( J(1,10) \) is a Jahangir graph
\( \gamma_s(K_{m,n}) = m \) if \( 2 \leq m \leq n \)
The Jahangir graph not a complete graph, so this is contradiction
Jahangir graph of non split is a contradiction
Hence the proof
\( \gamma_m(J_{m,n}) \leq P \cdot \omega(J_{m,n}) + 1 \)
Where \( w(J_{m,n}) \) is the Clique number of \( J_{m,n} \)
Proof \( \gamma_m \) is a connected
We prove that
\( \gamma_m(J_{m,n}) \leq P \cdot \omega(J_{m,n}) + 1 \)
By the Definition of Clique Number
The Clique Number of a graph \( G \), denoted \( w(G) \) is the number of vertices in a maximum clique of \( G \). Equivalently, it is the size of a largest clique or maximal clique of \( G \).
By the clique number contradiction in Jahangir graph.
Hence the proof.
VIII. CONCLUSION

Finally, on discussing domination, split, non-split and total domination in Jahangir graph, the concept of dominations satisfied in $J_{2,8}$. But in split domination satisfies only for wheel type Jahangir graph. It does not satisfies $J_{2,8}$ since it is not a complete graph after splitting. If we can form any Networking Data in the Jahangir graph Model with complete property we can apply all the domination properties to reduce the dimensions.
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