



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: TPAM-2055 onferendelonth of publication: March 2018

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🛇 08813907089 🕴 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Anti- Homomorphism of Fuzzy Soft Subhemirings of a Hemiring

N.Anitha¹, J.Venkatesan²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Periyar University PG Extension center, Dharmapuri- Tamilnadu, India. ²Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Sri Vidya Mandir Arts and Science College, Uthangarai-635207, Tamilnadu,

India.

Abstract: In this paper, we made an attempt to study the algebraic nature of an anti-homomorphism of fuzzy soft subhemirings of a hemiring. 2000 AMS Subject classification: 05C38, 15A15, 05A15, 15A18.

Keywords: Fuzzy soft set, fuzzy soft subhemiring, anti-fuzzy soft subhemiring, and pseudo Fuzzy soft coset.

I. INTRODUCTION

There are many concepts of universal algebras generalizing an associative ring (R; +; .). Some of them in particular, nearrings and several kinds of semirings have been proven very useful. Semirings (called also halfrings) are algebras (R; +; .) share the same properties as a ring except that (R; +) is assumed to be a semigroup rather than a commutative group. Semirings appear in a natural manner in some applications to the theory of automata and formal languages. An algebra (R; +, .) is said to be a semiring if (R; +) and (R; .) are semigroups satisfying a. (b + c) = a. b + a. c and (b + c) .a = b. a + c. a for all a, b and c in R. A semiring R is said to be additively commutative if a + b = b + a for all a, b and c in R. A semiring R may have an identity 1, defined by 1. a = a = a. 1 and a zero 0, defined by 0 + a = a = a + 0 and a. 0 = 0 = 0. a for all a in R. A semiring R is said to be a hemiring if it is an additively commutative with zero. After the introduction of fuzzy sets by L.A. Zadeh [17], several researchers explored on the generalization of the concept of fuzzy sets. M. Borah, T. J. Neog and D. K. Sut,[5] were developed some operations of fuzzy soft sets, On operations of soft sets was developed by

A.Sezgin and A. O. Atagun, [13] and KumudBorgohain and ChittaranjanGohain, [7] was developed some New operations on Fuzzy Soft Sets, In this paper, we introduce some Theorems in Fuzzy soft subhemirings of a hemiring.

II. PRELIMINARIES

1) Definition: A pair (F,E) is called a soft set (over U) if and only if F is a mapping of E into the set of all subsets of the set U. In other words, the soft set is a parameterized family of subsets of the set U. Every set $F(\varepsilon)(\varepsilon \varepsilon E)$ from this family may be considered as the set of ε -elements of the soft sets (F, E) or as the set of ε - approximate elements of the soft set.

- 2) Definition: Let (U,E) be a soft universe and $A \subseteq E$. Let $\mathcal{F}(U)$ be the set of all fuzzy subsets in U. A pair ($\tilde{F}_i A$) is called a fuzzy soft set over U, where \tilde{F}_i is a mapping given by
- $\tilde{F}: \mathbf{A} \to \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{U}).$
- 3) *Definition:* Let R be a hemiring. A Fuzzy soft subset (F,A) of R is said to be an Fuzzy soft subhemiring (FSHR) of R if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $\mu_{(F,A)}(x + y) \ge \min \{\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y)\},\$

(ii) $\mu_{(F,A)}(xy) \ge \min{\{\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y)\}}$, for all x and y in R.

- 4) *Definition:* Let (R, +,.) be a hemiring. An Fuzzysoftsubhemiring (F,A) of R is said to be an Fuzzysoft normal subhemiring (FSNSHR) of R if it satisfies the following conditions:
- (i) $\mu_{(F,A)}(xy) = \mu_{(F,A)}(yx)$, (ii) $\nu_{(F,A)}(xy) = \nu_{(F,A)}(yx)$, for all x and y in R.
- 5) *Definition:* If (R, +, .) and $(R^{l}, +, .)$ are any two hemirings, then the function f: $R \rightarrow R^{l}$ is called a **homomorphism** if f(x+y) = f(x)+f(y) and f(xy)=f(x)f(y), for all x and y in R.
- 6) *Definition:* If (R, +, .) and $(R^{l}, +, .)$ are any two hemirings, then the function f: $R \rightarrow R^{l}$ is called an **anti-homomorphism** if f(x+y) = f(y)+f(x) and f(xy)=f(y)f(x), for all x and y in R.
- 7) Definition: Let (R, +, .) and $(R^{l}, +, .)$ be any two hemirings. Then the function f: $R \rightarrow R^{l}$ be a hemiringhomomorphism. If f is one-to-one and onto, then f is called a **hemiring isomorphism**.
- 8) *Definition:* Let (R, +, .) and $(R^{l}, +, .)$ be any two hemirings. Then the function f: $R \rightarrow R^{l}$ be a hemiring anti-homomorphism. If f is one-to-one and onto, then f is called a **hemiring anti-isomorphism**.

Emerging Trends in Pure and Applied Mathematics(ETPAM-2018)- March 2018

- 9) Definition: Let R and R^t be any two hemirings. Let f: $R \rightarrow R^{t}$ be any function and let A be an Fuzzy soft subhemiring in R, V be an Fuzzy soft subhemiring in $f(R) = R^{t}$, defined by
- $\mu_{V(y)} = \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} (\mu_{(F,A)}(x) \text{ for all } x \text{ in } R \text{ and } y \text{ in } R^{!}.$ Then A is called a preimage of V under f and is denoted by $f^{-1}(V)$.
- 10) Definition: Let (F,A) be an Fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring (R, +, ·) and a in R. Then the pseudo Fuzzy soft coset (a (F,A))^p is defined by $((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x) = p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}$ (x), for every x in R and for some p in P.

III. FUZZY SOFT SUBHEMIRINGS OF A HEMIRING

- 1) Theorem: If (F, A) is an Fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring (R, +, .), then $(F, \Box A)$ is an Fuzzy soft subhemiring of R.
- *a) Proof:* Let (F, A) be an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring R. Consider (F,A) = { $\langle x, \mu_{(F,A)}(x), \rangle$ }, for all x in R, we take (F, $\Box A$) = (F,B) ={ $\langle x, \mu_{(F,B)}(x), \rangle$ }, where $\mu_{(F,B)}(x) = \mu_{(F,A)}(x)$. Clearly, $\mu_{(F,B)}(x+y) \ge \min \{\mu_{(F,B)}(x), \mu_{(F,B)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R and $\mu_{(F,B)}(xy) \ge \min \{\mu_{(F,B)}(x), \mu_{(F,B)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R. Since A is an fuzzy soft subhemiring of R, we have $\mu_{(F,A)}(x+y) \ge \min \{\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R, And $\mu_{(F,A)}(xy) \ge \min \{\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R, for all x and y in R. Hence (F,B) = (F, \Box A) is an fuzzy soft subhemiring R.
- 2) Theorem: If (F,A) is an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring (R, +, .), then (F, $\Diamond A$) is an fuzzy soft subhemiring of R.
- a) Proof: Let (F,A) be an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring R. That is

 $(F,A) = \{\langle x, \mu_{(F,A)}(x) \rangle\}$, for all x in R. Let $(F,\Diamond A) = (F,B) = \langle x, \mu_{(F,B)}(x) \rangle\}$, for all x and y in R. Since (F,A) is an fuzzy soft subhemiring of R, which implies that $1-\mu_{(F,B)}(xy) \le \max \{(1-\mu_{(F,B)}(x)), (1-\mu_{(F,B)}(y))\}$, which implies that $\mu_{(F,B)}(xy) \ge 1-\max \{(1-\mu_{(F,B)}(x)), (1-\mu_{(F,B)}(y))\} = \min \{\mu_{(F,B)}(x), \mu_{(F,B)}(y)\}$. Therefore, $\mu_{(F,B)}(xy) \ge \min \{\mu_{(F,B)}(x), \mu_{(F,B)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R. Hence $(F,B) = (F,\Diamond A)$ is an fuzzy softsubhemiring of a hemiring R.

- 3) *Theorem:* Let (R, +, .) be a hemiring and (F,A) be a non-empty subset of R. Then (F,A) is a subhemiring of R if and only if $(F,B) = (\chi_{(F,A)}, \bar{\chi}_{(F,A)})$ is a fuzzy soft subhemiring of R, where $\chi_{(F,A)}$ is the characteristic function.
- a) *Proof:* Let (R, +, .) be a hemiring and (F,A) be a non-empty subset of R. First let (F,A) be a subhemiring of R. Take x and y in R.

Case (i): If x and y in (F,A), then x+y, xy in (F,A), since (F,A) is a subhemiring of R, $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = \chi_{(F,A)}(y) = \chi_{(F,A)}(x+y) = \chi_{(F,A)}(xy) = 1$ and $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = \chi_{(F,A)}(y) = \chi_{(F,A)}(x+y) = \chi_{(F,A)}(xy) = 0$. So, $\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y) \ge \min \{x\}$, $\mu_{(F,A)}(\chi) \}$, for all x and y in R, $\chi_{(F,A)}(xy) \ge \min \{\chi_{(F,A)}(x), \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R, $\chi_{(F,A)}(xy) \ge \min \{\chi_{(F,A)}(x), \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R, $\chi_{(F,A)}(xy) \ge \max \{\chi_{(F,A)}(x), \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R, $\chi_{(F,A)}(xy) \le \max \{\chi_{(F,A)}(x), \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R.

Case (ii): If x in (F,A), y not in (F,A) (or x not in (F,A), y in (F,A)), then x+y, xy may or may not be in (F,A), $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = 1$, $\chi_{(F,A)}(y) = 0$ (or) $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = 0$, $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = 0$, $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = 0$, $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = 0$, $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = 1$, $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = 1$, $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = 0$)= $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = 0$, $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = 0$, $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = 0$, $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = 1$ (or) $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = 0$)= $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = 0$ (or 1). Clearly $\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y) \ge \min\{\chi_{(F,A)}(x), \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) \ge \min\{\chi_{(F,A)}(x), \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R $\chi_{(F,A)}(x), \chi_{(F,A)}(x), \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R $\chi_{(F,A)}(x), \chi_{(F,A)}(y)$, for all x and y in R.

Case (iii): If x and y not in (F,A) , then x+y, xy may or may not be in (F,A) , $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = \chi_{(F,A)}(y) = 0$, $\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y) = \chi_{(F,A)}(xy) = 1$ or 0 and $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = \chi_{(F,A)}(y) = 1$, $\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y) = \chi_{(F,A)}(xy) = 0$ or 1. Clearly $\chi_{(F,A)}(x+y) \ge \min\{\chi_{(F,A)}(x), \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R $\chi_{(F,A)}(xy) \ge \min\{\chi_{(F,A)}(x), \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R $\chi_{(F,A)}(xy) \ge \min\{\chi_{(F,A)}(x), \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R $\chi_{(F,A)}(xy) \le \max\{\chi_{(F,A)}(x), \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R $\chi_{(F,A)}(xy) \le \max\{\chi_{(F,A)}(x), \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R $\chi_{(F,A)}(xy) \le \max\{\chi_{(F,A)}(x), \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R $\chi_{(F,A)}(xy) \le \max\{\chi_{(F,A)}(x), \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R $\chi_{(F,A)}(xy) \le \max\{\chi_{(F,A)}(x), \chi_{(F,A)}(y)\}$, for all x and y in R $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) \le \chi_{(F,A)}(x)$, $\chi_{(F,A)}(y) = 1$, $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) \le \chi_{(F,A)}(x) = \chi_{(F,A)}(x) = \chi_{(F,A)}(x)$, $\chi_{(F,A)}(y) = 1$, $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = \chi_{(F,A)}(x) = \chi_{(F,A)}(x)$, $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = \chi_{(F,A)}(x) = \chi_{(F,A)}(x) = \chi_{(F,A)}(x)$, $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = \chi_{(F,A)}(x) = \chi_{(F,A)}(x) = \chi_{(F,A)}(x)$, $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = \chi_{(F,A)}(x) = \chi_{(F,A)}(x) = \chi_{(F,A)}(x)$, $\chi_{(F,A)}(y) = \min\{1, 1\} = 1$. Therefore $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = \chi_{(F,A)}(x) = \chi_{(F,A)}(x) = \chi_{(F,A)}(x)$, $\chi_{(F,A)}(x) = 0$. Hence x + y and xy in (F,A), so (F,A) is a subhemiring of R.

In the following Theorem ° is the composition operation of functions:

- 4) *Theorem:* Let (F,A) be an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring H and f is an isomorphism from a hemiring R onto H. Then (F,A)°f is a fuzzy soft subhemiring of R.
- a) Proof: Let x and y in R and (F,A) be an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring H. Then we have, $(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x+y) = \mu_{(F,A)} (f(x+y)) = \mu_{(F,A)} (f(x+y)) = \mu_{(F,A)} (f(x) + f(y))$, as f is an isomorphism $\geq \min \{ \mu_{(F,A)} (f(x)), \mu_{(F,A)} (f(y)) \}$, $= \min \{ (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x), (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(y) \}$, which implies that $(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x+y) \geq \min \{ (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x), (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(y) \}$. And, $(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(xy) = \mu_{(F,A)} (f(x)) = \mu_{(F,A)} (f(x)f(y))$, as f is an isomorphism $\geq \min \{ \mu_{(F,A)} (f(x)), \mu_{(F,A)} (f(y)) \} = \min \{ (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x), (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x), (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x) \}$, which implies that $(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x)$, $(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x)$, $(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x)$, $(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(y) \}$. Therefore $(F,A) \circ f$ is a Fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring R.

Emerging Trends in Pure and Applied Mathematics(ETPAM-2018)- March 2018

- 5) *Theorem:* Let (F,A) be an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring h and f is an anti-isomorphism from a hemiring r onto h. then (F,A)°f is a fuzzy soft subhemiring of R.
- a) Proof: Let x and y in R and (F,A) be an fuzzysoftsubhemiring of a hemiring H. Then we have,

 $(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x+y) = \mu_{(F,A)} (f(x+y)) = \mu_{(F,A)} (f(y)+f(x)), \text{ as } f \text{ is an anti-isomorphism } \geq \min \{\mu_{(F,A)} (f(x)), \mu_{(F,A)} (f(y))\} = \min \{(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x), (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(y)\}, \text{ which implies that } (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x+y) \geq \min \{(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x), (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(y)\}, \text{ And, } (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(xy) = \mu_{(F,A)} (f(xy)) = \mu_{(F,A)} (f(y)f(x)), \text{ as } f \text{ is an anti-isomorphism } \geq \min \{\mu_{(F,A)} (f(x)), \mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x), (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x), (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(y)\}, \text{ which implies that } (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x), (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x), (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(y)\}, \text{ which implies that } (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x) \geq \min \{(\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(x), (\mu_{(F,A)} \circ f)(y)\}.$

- 6) *Theorem:* Let (F,A) be an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring (R, +, .), then the pseudo fuzzy soft coset (a(F,A))^p is an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring R, for every a in R.
- a) Proof: Let (F,A) be an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring R. For every x and y in R, we have, $((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x + y) = p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(x + y) \ge p(a) \min \{(\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y)\} = \min \{p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(x), p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(y)\} = \min \{((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x), ((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(y)\}$. Therefore, $((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x + y) \ge \min \{((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x), ((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(y)\}$. Now, $((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(xy) = p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(xy) \ge p(a)\min \{\mu_{(F,A)}(x), \mu_{(F,A)}(y)\} = \min \{p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(x), p(a)\mu_{(F,A)}(x), ((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(y)\}$. Therefore, $((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(xy) \ge \min \{((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(x), ((a\mu_{(F,A)})^p)(y)\}$. Hence $(a(F,A))^p$ is an fuzzy soft subhemiring of a hemiring R.

REFERENCE

- [1] Akram.M and K.H.Dar On fuzzy d-algebras, Punjab university journal of mathematics, 37, 61-76(2005).
- [2] Azriel Rosenfeld, Fuzzy Groups, Journal of mathematical analysis and applications, 35,512-517 (1971).
- [3] K. Atanassov, Operators over interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 64 (1994) 159-174
- [4] Biswas.R, Fuzzy subgroups and anti-fuzzy subgroups, Fuzzy sets and systems, 35; 121-124, (1990).
- [5] M. Borah, T. J. Neog and D. K. Sut, A study on some operations of fuzzy soft sets, International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER), Vol.2, Issue. 2 (2012) 219-225.
- [6] Feng, F., C. Li, B. Davvaz and M.I. Ali, 2010. Soft sets combined with fuzzy sets and rough sets: tentative approach, Soft Computing, 14: 899-911.
- [7] KumudBorgohain and Chittaranjan Gohain, 2014. International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER), Some New operations on Fuzzy Soft Sets, Vol.4, Issue 4(2014), 65-68.
- [8] Maji, P.K., R. Biswas and A.R. Roy, 2001. Fuzzy soft sets. The J. Fuzzy Math., 9: 589-602. [9] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory results, Comput. Math. Appl. 37 (4-5) (1999) 19-31.
- [9] P. K. Maji, A. R. Roy, and R. Biswas, An application of soft sets in decision making problem, Comput. Math. Appl. 44 (8-9) (2002).











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)