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## Abstract: Consider the two way nested unbalanced random model,

$Y_{i j k}=\mu+\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i j}+e_{i j k} ; \quad i=1,2 \ldots p, j=1,2 \ldots q$ and $k=1,2, \ldots n_{i j}$
where $\mu$, is a constant and the random variables $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i j}$ and $e_{i i k}$ are independently and normally distributed with means zero and variances $\sigma_{\alpha}^{2}, \sigma_{\beta}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{e}^{2}$ respectively. In this paper the tests of hypotheses about $\frac{\sigma_{\beta}^{2}}{\sigma_{e}^{2}}$ and $\frac{\sigma_{\alpha}^{2}}{\sigma_{e}^{2}}$ have been discussed.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

The variance components of a two way nested balanced random model are being estimated by equating the mean sum of squares of analysis of variance to their expected values. The expected values of mean sum of squares suggest the approximate denominator for testing the hypotheses concerning the variance components. However, with the unbalanced data no unique set of sum of squares of observations can be optimally used for estimating the variance components.
In this paper we shall develop some exact tests concerning the variance components in two way nested unbalanced random model by using an orthogonal transformation suggested by Graybill and Haultiquist (1961).

## II. TWO WAY UNBALANCED NESTED RANDOM MODEL

## A. Consider The Model

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{ijk}}=\mu+\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}+\beta_{i j}+e_{i j k} ; \\
i=1,2 \ldots \mathrm{p}, j=1,2 \ldots \mathrm{q} \text { and } \\
\mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{ij}} \quad \sum_{i} \sum_{j} n_{i j}=\mathrm{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $\mu$ is a constant while $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i j}$ and $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{iik}}$ are independently and normally distributed with means zero and variances $\sigma_{\alpha}^{2}, \sigma_{\beta}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{e}^{2}$ respectively, let us define,

$$
\bar{y}_{i j}=\left(1 / n_{i j}\right) \sum_{f_{n=1}}^{n_{i j}} y_{i j k} \quad i=1,2 \ldots \mathrm{p}, j=1,2 \ldots \mathrm{q}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{y}_{i j}=\mu+\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}+\beta_{\mathrm{ij}}+\bar{e}_{i j} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\bar{e}_{i j}=\left(1 / n_{i j}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{n_{i j}} e_{i j k}$. Here $\bar{e}$ is multivariate normally distributed with mean 0 and covariance matrix $\sum(\bar{e})=\mathrm{K} \sigma^{2}$ where $\mathrm{K}=\operatorname{diag}\left(1 / \mathrm{n}_{11} 1 / \mathrm{n}_{12} 1 / \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{pq}}\right) \quad(2.2)$
The model (2.1) may be written in the matrix notation as

$$
\bar{y}=\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{pq}} \mu+\mathrm{B}_{1} \alpha+\mathrm{I} \beta+\bar{e}
$$

where $J_{p q}^{-1 / 2}$ is a unit Vector of order pq and $\mathrm{B}_{1}=\operatorname{Diag}\left(\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{q}}, \ldots \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$ (p-times) and $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{q}}$ is a (q $\times 1$ ) vector with all elements equal to unity. The covariance matrix for $\bar{y}$ turns out to be $\sum(\bar{Y})=\mathrm{B}_{1} B_{1}^{\prime} \sigma_{\alpha}^{2}+I_{p q} \sigma_{\beta}^{2}+\mathrm{K} \sigma_{e}^{2}$
Consider $\lambda$ an orthogonal matrix P with the property that $\mathrm{PB}_{1} B_{1}^{\prime} P^{\prime}$ is a diagonal matrix with eigen values on the diagonal (Herbach, 1959), The first row of p may be taken as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (p q)^{-1 / 2}[1,1, \ldots .1] \text {. if } \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{Py} \text { the covariance matrix for } \mathrm{Z} \text { is } \\
& \sum(Z)=\mathrm{PB}_{1} B_{1}^{\prime} \sigma_{\alpha}^{2}+I_{p q} \sigma_{\beta}^{2}+\mathrm{PKP} \sigma_{e}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## III. TEST OF VARIANCE COMPONENTS

We now partition Z in the following way :-
A. $\quad \mathrm{Z}_{1}=(\mathrm{pq})^{1 / 2}$ with the first element in Z .
B. $\quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}}$ consists of $(\mathrm{p}-1)$ elements whose covariance matrix is

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}-1} \sigma_{\alpha}^{2}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}-1} \sigma_{\beta}^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}-1} \sigma_{e}^{2}
$$

Where $K_{1}$ is a sub matrix of order ( $\mathrm{p}-1$ ). ( $\mathrm{q}-1$ ) of PKP'.
C. $\quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{B}}$ consists of $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{q}-1)$ elements whose covariance matrix is

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{q}-1)} \sigma_{\beta}^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \sigma_{e}^{2}
$$

Where $K_{2}$ is a submatrix of order $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{q}-1) \cdot \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{q}-1)$ of $\mathrm{PKP}^{\prime}(3.2)$. Since P is an orthogonal matrix with first row as ( pq$)^{-}$ ${ }^{1 / 2}[1 \ldots 1], \mathrm{EZ}_{\mathrm{A}}=E Z_{\mathrm{B}}=0$.

Now $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{B}}$ will be used in testing the hypotheses concerning $\frac{\sigma_{\beta}^{2}}{\sigma_{e}^{2}}$ and $\frac{\sigma_{\alpha}^{2}}{\sigma_{e}^{2}}$.
Test for $\frac{\sigma_{\beta}^{2}}{\sigma_{e}^{2}}$
The covariance matrix $\sum\left(Z_{B}\right)$ can be written as

$$
\left[\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}(q-1)} \Delta_{\beta}+\mathrm{K}_{2}\right] \sigma_{e}^{2} \text { where } \Delta_{\beta}=\frac{\sigma_{\beta}^{2}}{\sigma_{e}^{2}}
$$

Then $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{B}} / \sigma_{e}^{2}=Z_{B}\left[\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{q}-1)} \Delta_{\beta}+\mathrm{K}_{2}\right]^{-1} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{B}} / \sigma_{e}^{2}$ has a chi-squre distribution with $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{q}-1)$ degrees of freedom. Let us introduce another orthogonal matrix A such that $\mathrm{AK}_{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}=\mathrm{D}_{2}$ is a diagonal matrix. Consider $Z_{B}^{*}=A Z_{\mathbf{B}}$. The Covariance matrix of $Z_{B}^{*}$ is $\left[\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{q}-1)} \Delta_{\beta}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right]$ and therefore

$$
Z_{B}^{\prime}\left[\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{q}-1)} \Delta_{\beta}+\mathrm{K}_{2}\right]^{-1} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{B}}=Z_{B}^{\prime}\left[\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{q}-1)} \Delta_{\beta}+\mathrm{D}_{2}\right]^{-1} Z_{B}^{*}
$$

Let us define $\mathrm{Q}=\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k}\left(y_{i j k}-y_{i j}\right)^{2}$, then $\mathrm{Q} / \sigma_{e}^{2}$ has a chi-square distribution with ( $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{pq}$ ) degrees of freedom. Q is independent of $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{B}}$ and thus $\left[(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{pq}) \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{Qp}(\mathrm{q}-1)\right]$ has an F -distribution with $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{q}-1)$ and $(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{pq})$ degrees of freedom respectively.
For testing the hypotheses $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \Delta_{\beta} \leq \Delta_{0}$ We reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ if $\mathrm{F}\left(\Delta_{0}\right)$ if larger than upper ( $1-\alpha$ ) quantile of the corresponding F-distribution with $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{q}-1)$ and $(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{pq})$ degrees of freedom. The power function is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{P}\left(\Delta_{\beta}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left\{(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{pq})\left[\sum_{i=1}^{p(q-1)} Z_{i B}^{\prime} /\left(\Delta_{0}+d_{i}\right)\right] /[\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{q}-1) \mathrm{Q}] \geq F_{1-\alpha}\right\} \\
\mathrm{P}\left(\Delta_{\beta}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left\{(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{pq})\left[\sum_{i=1}^{q}\left(\Delta_{\beta}+d_{i}\right) R_{i} /\left(\Delta_{0}+d_{i}\right)\right] /[\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{q}-1) \mathrm{Q}] \geq F_{1-\alpha}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

This is an unbiassed size $\alpha$-test.
(3b) Teat of $\frac{\sigma_{\alpha}^{2}}{\sigma_{e}^{2}}$ assuming $\sigma_{\beta}^{2}=0$
For test of hypothesis $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \frac{\sigma_{\alpha}^{2}}{\sigma_{e}^{2}} \leq \Delta_{0} \quad$ against $\mathrm{H}_{1}: \frac{\sigma_{\alpha}^{2}}{\sigma_{e}^{2}}>\Delta_{0}$
Consider the covariance matrix of $\left[\begin{array}{l}Z_{A} \\ Z_{B}\end{array}\right]$ which is given by

$$
\sum\binom{Z_{A}}{Z_{B}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q I_{p-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \sigma_{\alpha}^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
k_{1} & k_{3} \\
k_{3} & k_{2}
\end{array}\right) \sigma_{e}^{2}
$$

Where $\left(\begin{array}{ll}k_{1} & k_{3} \\ k_{3} & k_{2}\end{array}\right)$ is a positive definite marix.
Let us introduce a non-singular matrix H such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
k_{1} & k_{3} \\
k_{3} & k_{2}
\end{array}\right) H^{\prime}=\mathrm{I} \text { and } \\
& \mathrm{H}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
q I_{p-1} & 0 \\
0
\end{array}\right) H^{\prime}=\lambda=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots \lambda_{p-1}, 0, \ldots 0\right\} \\
& \text { Define } \mathrm{U}=\binom{U_{A}}{U_{B}}=\mathrm{H}\binom{z_{A}}{z_{B}} \text { and let } \Delta_{\alpha}=\frac{\sigma_{\alpha}^{2}}{\sigma_{e}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\mathrm{Q}_{A} / \sigma_{e}^{2}=U_{A}^{\prime}\left(\lambda \Delta_{\alpha}+I_{p-1}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{A}} / \sigma_{s}^{2}$ has a chi-square distribution with ( $\mathrm{p}-1$ ) degrees of freedom. Similarly $Q_{B}^{*}=$ $U_{B}^{\prime} I_{p(q-1)} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{B}} / \sigma_{e}^{2}$ has a chi - square distribution with $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{q}-1)$ degrees of freedom. Further $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{A}}, Q_{B}^{*}$ and Q are independently distributed and therefore $(n-p) Q_{A} /\left[(p-1)\left(Q+Q_{B}\right)\right]$ is distributed as Snedecor's $F$ with $(p-1, n-p)$ degrees of freedom. This is also an unbiased size $\alpha$-test.
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