



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 6 Issue: VI Month of publication: June 2018

DOI: http://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2018.6236

## www.ijraset.com

Call: 🕥 08813907089 🔰 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com



# Application of BIM for Scheduling and Costing of Building Project

Sarita D. Patil<sup>1,</sup> Manish A. Khandare<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Post Graduate Student, Department of Civil Engineering, RMD Sinhgad School of Engineering (RMDSSOE), Warje, Pune, India

<sup>2</sup>Asst. Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, RMD Sinhgad School of Engineering (RMDSSOE), Warje,

Pune, India

Abstract: India is a rapidly developing country and its infrastructure development is at an extremely large scale. In India, Architecture, Engineering, Construction and Operation (AECO) industry is the second largest industry after agriculture industry. Indian AECO industry employs more than 35 million people, has second highest inflow of foreign direct investment after services sector, and contributes to about 11.1% of India's GDP (Mohideen 2015). Recent initiatives set by Indian government, such as Make in India, is serving to grow the AECO industry. There are many mega projects undertaken recently, e.g. high-end road ways or express ways, metro train projects and proposed bullet train project between two cities of India, i.e. Mumbai and Ahmedabad. The initiation of these projects necessitates focus on various technical and non-technical aspects along with technologies, especially the infrastructure for these initiatives (Amarnath et al. 2016). Regarding the technologies and project delivery process within the AECO industry, Building Information Modeling (BIM) is one of the most notable one, with its ability to reduce project time delays, cost over runs and litigations. Keywords: AEC, BIM, 3D, 4D, 5D

### I. INTRODUCTION

India is a rapidly developing country and its infrastructure development is at an extremely large scale. In India, Architecture, Engineering, Construction and Operation (AECO) industry is the second largest industry after agriculture industry. Indian AECO industry employs more than 35 million people, has second highest inflow of foreign direct investment after services sector, and contributes to about 11.1% of India's GDP (Mohideen 2015). Recent initiatives set by Indian government, such as Make in India, is serving to grow the AECO industry. There are many mega projects undertaken recently, e.g. high-end road ways or express ways, metro train projects and proposed bullet train project between two cities of India, i.e. Mumbai and Ahmedabad. The initiation of these projects necessitates focus on various technical and non-technical aspects along with technologies, especially the infrastructure for these initiatives (Amarnath et al. 2016).

Regarding the technologies and project delivery process within the AECO industry, Building Information Modeling (BIM) is one of the most notable one, with its ability to reduce project time delays, cost over runs and litigations.

Building Information Modeling (BIM) has attained widespread attention in the Architectural, Engineering and Construction (AEC) Industry. Building Information Modeling (BIM) has been introduced to manage the complexity of construction projects, achieve sustainability and integrate stakeholders' requirements in terms of maximizing value with lower cost. BIM has been recognized as a facilitating tool to improve the fragmented practice and productivity in the construction industry, and lower the high construction project costs (BCIS, 2011, Cartlidge, 2011).

BIM has been widely used to manage building information throughout project life period. Particularly in quantity estimation / quantity take-off (QTO) and costing. BIM based quantity estimation process is getting more and more attention as cost estimation is cumbersome and error-prone process. There is a scope to improve the conventional estimating process even more which could be accomplished by using BIM based Quantity Estimation. This paper presents a study on comparative effectiveness offered by BIM for the traditional functions of a Quantity Surveyor though sample study.

### A. Aim of the Project and Paper

The purpose of this project is to study the BIM application for Project Scheduling and Costing of Construction projects, its benefits over conventional methods and barriers in implementing BIM.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 6.887 Volume 6 Issue VI, June 2018- Available at www.ijraset.com

### B. Objective of the Project and Paper

The objective of this project and paper is to

- 1) To Study the process of BIM (Building Information Modeling) for quantity Take off in Autodesk Quantity Takeoff 2013.
- 2) To Study the benefits of BIM based Quantity Estimation and additional requirements if any, to be put into the model to get more benefits in terms of Quantity Take Off.
- *3)* To compare the conventional methods and BIM based Quantity Estimatio
- 4) To Study the barriers of an effective implementation of BIM application for Quantity Estimation.

### II. PRESENT THEORIES AND LITERATURE REVIEW

India BIM Association (IBIMA) has conducted an extensive literature survey to understand the road blocks for BIM diffusion in the global market and designed the questionnaire to realize the hurdles that Indian AECO industry stakeholders are facing for diffusing BIM technologies and workflows in AECO projects. Data collection was undertaken by India BIM Association among the Indian AECO stakeholders from February to September, 2017. Results indicates that, in India BIM is most probably adopted for design stage. BIM diffusion in construction and operation stages are yet to mature in coming days once the construction and operation teams realize the benefits that BIM can bring to them. It is very much essential to educate and train construction and operation stage stakeholders with BIM technology and processes. It is necessary to make them realized that BIM diffusion will benefit construction and operation team.

### A. BIM

BIM is an emerging paradigm which is spreading quickly in the construction industry, and a considerable number of BIM software applications have been developed, such as Autodesk Revit, Graphisoft ArchiCAD and Vico Office Suite etc.

Most of these software applications are equipped with an application programming interface (API) function, and the function can be broadened by using the specialized programs written by external application developers (Modeling 2008). Among the software, Autodesk Revit provides a rich and powerful NET API to perform automation of repetitive tasks and extension of the core functionality of Revit in simulation, conceptual design, construction and building management, as well as other functionalities (Autodesk Developer Network 2015).

### B. Quantity TakeOff

Quantity takeoff is an important part of the construction process, and it is performed by general contractors, subcontractors, cost consultants, and quantity surveyors. Such tasks simply include measuring and counting the utilization of materials within a certain construction project in order to determine the associated materials and total labour usage. Time scheduling and cost estimations are both based on quantity information regarding e.g. building parts, materials, surfaces, and volumes etc. In current practice, the quantity takeoff process is typically carried out manually using a printout, a pen, and a calculator, which is an outdated method (Beyond the Paper 2006). Since the process of manual quantity takeoff is time consuming and also it depends on human interpretation, it is difficult to obtain accurate measurements and avoid errors (Monteiro and Poças Martins 2013). The development of BIM provides a better environment for quantity takeoff.

In recent years, an increasing number of practitioners have been adopting BIM, as it provides a feasible means to develop a more efficient quantity takeoff system (Firat et al. 2010). BIM enables project teams to generate cost estimates quickly and accurately, and the output can be used to assist in material ordering and cost estimation, not only early in the design phase but throughout the project lifecycle (Autodesk 2013).

For the stick-built onsite construction practice, Autodesk has developed commercial software called "Autodesk Quantity Takeoff", which is widely used by the construction industry. It enables cost estimators to read and extract information (geometry, images, and data) from BIM tools, such as Revit Architecture, Revit Structure, and Revit MEP. After importing the data from other software, Autodesk Quantity Takeoff is able to automatically measure, count, and price building objects in minutes, and the takeoff results can be exported in different file formats such as Microsoft Excel and Design Web Format (DWF) (Autodesk 2015); however, this tool is not designed to support modular and offsite construction.

### III. METHODOLOGY

The aim of this project is to study the application of BIM for scheduling and costing of construction project. For Project Case Study, a project of Proposed Construction of R & D Building for Advik Hi-tech Pvt Ltd is identified, to understand the process, benefits and



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 6.887 Volume 6 Issue VI, June 2018- Available at www.ijraset.com

barriers of utilization of BIM in detail. The study includes the application of BIM for visualization, quantity takeoff and simulation of project schedule & cost with the 3D model.

In order to achieve the objectives, the methodology adopted is as follows.

- A. Study of previous research on BIM Applications for Project Scheduling and Quantity Take Off / Costing.
- B. Study of prevailing industry practices for preparing 2D/3D Drawing, Project Scheduling and Quantity Estimation / Cost Estimation.
- C. Study of BIM Framework in relation with Modeling (3D), Project Scheduling (4D) and Quantity Take Off / Costing (5D)
- D. By preparing of Sample model (3D) by using Autodesk Revit / Revit Architecture.
- *E.* Comparing process of Conventional Methods of Quantity Take Off and Project Scheduling with BIM Application for Quantity Take off & Project Scheduling.
- F. Study of Benefits / Advantages of Using BIM Applications for Quantity Take Off and Project Scheduling.
- G. Identify Barriers of using BIM Applications for Quantity Take off and Project Scheduling

### IV. SOFTWARE'S USED

The following software's are used for the sample preparation of Case Study for BIM integration 3D with 4D and 5D

- A. Autodesk Revit Architecture For 3D
- *B.* Autodesk Quantity takeoff For quantity
- *C.* Microsoft Project For scheduling
- D. Autodesk Naviswork Manage for 4D &5D

### V. SAMPLE CASE STUDY

For Project Case Study, a project of Proposed Construction of R & D Building for Advik Hi-tech Pvt Ltd is identified, to understand the process, benefits and barriers of utilization of BIM in detail.

Index	Description
Size a	20m X 63m
Function	Industrial Building
Structures	RCC (G+1)
Plot Area	32000 SQM
Floor Area	2520 SQM
Other Salient Features	Building is used as research
	& development building.

The study includes the application of BIM for visualization, quantity takeoff and simulation of project schedule & cost with the 3D model.

ACAD 2D Plans of building are obtained from S.N.Pingle Consultants, Pune office.

From 2D drawing 3D model is prepared in Revit Architecture 2017. Student licensed version of Revit Architecture 2017 is downloaded from Autodesk's student community website to develop a 3D building model. This project focused only on civil work since during preparation of model only RCC details and architectural drawings are considered.



Fig. 1. 3D model created in the Autodesk Revit Software



### International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 6.887 Volume 6 Issue VI, June 2018- Available at www.ijraset.com

After completion of 3D model quantity worked out by using Autodesk Quantity takeoff (QTO) software.

The two main elements of a cost estimate are quantity takeoff and pricing. For this project quantity worked out by using Autodesk Quantity takeoff (QTO) software. Autodesk Quantity Takeoff (QTO) can assist in combining various sources of two dimensional and three dimensional designs to create a quantity takeoff that can then be used to create a cost estimate. QTO can use various file types as its source files such as Design Web Format (DWF) or non DWF files such as PDF files, DWG files, JPG or TIF image files. Depending on the level of detailing in the DWF file that is imported into QTO, the user may be able to use the Automatic Takeoff function. Methodology adopted is as below;

- A. DWF file created from CAD drawing.
- *B.* DWF file exported to QTO.
- C. From DWF file take off is taken
- D. Report generated in excel and also in PDF

For this project a sample 2D drawing is taken and from that drawing DWF file is prepared. After that this DWF file is exported to QTO. From this file take off is taken for various quantities.

After taking of takeoff, unit pricing is added in respective items. We can add price differently i.e. material cost, labour cost, subcontractor cost & equipment cost.

After completion of takeoff & pricing estimate report is generated. We can export this report in excel or in PDF also.

STEP 01 : Preparation of DWF file

STEP 02 : Export DWF file to Autodesk quantity takeoff



STEP 03 : From DWF file take tkeoff

	Ta	akeoff Item Properties	×
WBS:	RCC COLUMN ABOVE	E PLINTH	
Description:	COLUMN C1		
Туре:	Area		
General Assemb	bly Cost Data		
Dimension		Visual Display	
Thickness:	Unit:	Color:	
10.3	m N	~	
		Opacity:	
		30% 🗸	
		Hatch:	
		Angle:	
		0	
		Scale:	
		100% 🗸	
U			
Remarks:			
-			
1			
		OK Cancel	Apply

Fig. 3. General data for takeoff



### International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 6.887 Volume 6 Issue VI, June 2018- Available at www.ijraset.com

STEP 04 : Prepare Report

						Gro	up (ł	tems and	Obj	ects)				
WBS	Description	Area		Thickne	255	Quantity	1	Quantity	12	Labo	Cost	Materi	al Cost	Total Cost
										Unit Cost	Total Cost	Unit Cost	Total Cost	
RCC COLUMNS														
														R\$770,495.04
RCC COLUMNS.CIRCULAR COLUMN 400 DIA	COLUMN C41,42,43,44	0.113	m²	5.10	m	0.452	m²	2.307	m³	1,505.00	3,472.71	5,870.00	13,544.73	17,017.44
RCC COLUMNS.COLUMN 300X600	COLUMN C37 - 1 NO	0.182	m²	10.30	m	0.182	m²	1.874	m <sup>3</sup>	1,505.00	2,820.58	5,870.00	11,001.20	13,821.78
RCC COLUMNS.COLUMN 450X750	C8,C9	0.663	m²	10.30	m	0.663	m²	3.416	m³	1,505.00	5,140.96	5,870.00	20,051.47	25,192.43
RCC COLUMNS.COLUMN 600X600	COLUMN C15,18,21,24,27,30,	0.364	m²	10.30	m	2.547	m²	26.238	m³	1,505.00	39,488.12	5,870.00	154,016.78	193,504.89
RCC COLUMNS.COLUMN 600X750	COLUMN C11 - 1 NO	0.438	m²	10.30	m	0.438	m²	4.514	m³	1,505.00	6,793.61	5,870.00	26,497.35	33,290.96
RCC COLUMNS.COLUMN 750X750	COLUMN C10 - 1 NO	0.569	m²	10.30	m	0.569	m²	5.856	m³	1,505.00	8,813.34	5,870.00	34,374.94	43,188.27
RCC COLUMNS.Column in superstructure	C1-1NO	0.225	m²	10.30	m	0.225	m <sup>2</sup>	2.318	m³	1,505.00	3,488.61	5,870.00	13,606.75	17,095.36
RCC COLUMNS.RCC COLUMN 300X750	C2.3,4,5,6,7,13,38,1 6,17,19,20,22,23,25, 26,28,29,31,32,35,3 4,36,39,40	0.225	m²	10.30	m	5.626	m²	57.950	m <sup>3</sup>	1,505.00	87,215.30	5,870.00	340,168.63	427,383.92
RCC COLUMNS 300X750. COLUMN IN SUPERSTRUCTURE_1	C2.3,4,5,6,7,13,38,1 6,17,19,20,22,23,25, 26,28,29,31,32,35,3 4,36,39,40	0.225	m²	10.30	m	0.225	m²	2.318	m <sup>3</sup>	1,505.00	3,488.61	5,870.00	13,606.75	17,095.36

### Fig. 4 Report of takeoff

To check the accuracy level of Quantity Take Off, the comparison of quantity takeoff by conventional method and by the BIM based Quantity Take Off is carried out for some of the major items.

		RCC BUIL	DING G+1		
			Manual	BIM	%
Sr.No.	ITEMS	Unit	QTO	QTO	Difference
1	Foting Excavation	CUM	970.55	967.849	-0.28
2	RCC Footing	CUM	252.95	252.111	-0.34
3	RCC Pedestal	CUM	23.55	23.338	-0.92
4	RCC columns	CUM	110.62	111.03	0.37
5	RCC beams	CUM	158.08	156.89	-0.75
6	RCC slabs	CUM	173.15	177.735	2.64
7	BRICKWORK	CUM	437.40	436.394	-0.23
8	PLASTER	SQM	2237.26	2272	1.55
9	Painting	SQM	2237.26	2272	1.55

Fig. 5. % difference between BIM & Manual QTO

### VI. 4D MODELING OF R&D BUILDING

When we link the fourth dimension i.e. time to the 3D model created in Revit then it becomes the 4D model. From 4D model we can visualize the time it takes to complete tasks within the construction process. For preparation of this, first of all from Revit model NWF file created & this file exported to Naviswork Manage. Schedule is prepared in MSP. We can export the schedule to Naviswork or in Naviswork also we can prepare schedule. For this project I had prepared a schedule in Naviswork itself. For preparation of this in timeliner we can find option add tasks, and also we can add columns like planned start, planned end, actual start, actual end etc. After preparation of schedule all activities are linked to the model. Once the linking was complete 4D model was visualized. From drawing work break down structure (WBS) is created and schedule of project is prepared in Microsoft Project 2003.



Fig. 6. MSP Schedule of Sample Project of Industrial R&D Building



### International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 6.887 Volume 6 Issue VI, June 2018- Available at www.ijraset.com





and the second se	President approximation of the local division of the local divisio												and the second	
D N	Rafresh 🔒 😡	<b>C</b>	2 8	Ph Fee	I here	8.9-		d (res	1			Autodesk Rendering	g 🔯 Appearance Prof	" [
end E	Faset Al Select	· Select	Select Selec	Sign Dilease		A 14	CALL NO. 1	R Succes	Cl	ish TimeLiner	Quantification	B Colory	p7 Batch Unity	De
Print	a -	(elect	A Search +	es feissa		Value	and the state	Out Property	ies Dete	CUVE		Tash		
_														
							_	_						
							4	3		-				1
							•	-			·			
				_			=	10				100 A		
		-			148			L.						
								9						
			_					-						
						-								
16				_	_									-
re														
Liver Icks Data:	Sources   Configure   Simulate							-						-
Ener Inka Data :	Sources Contigues Steudate			LaLati	- 69-1	an a	n i en TRA		0				G	
Liver Inka Data Add Task	Sources   Contigues   Simulate State		- -	@ @ (	7			) Zeom -	0				3	- 20
Ner Iks Data Add Task	Sources   Contigues   Senulate 28   194 - 195   1950 Atlant + Norm	<b>1</b>	- De De	@]@](I			100 104	250m Q8 3, 2017	0	Qt 4, 2017			9	P= 🛃
aks Data Add Task Active	Sources Contigues Standato State - Standato - Name	264	- Dr Sa	Parried End	Atual Zart	Atual End	Test Type	Zeom Qe 3, 2017 August	() September	Qtr 4, 2017 Oct	uber	Novenber	December	P=   20 P= 1, 201 3
ater als Data Add Task Active	Sources   Configure   Simulate 32	244	- (2) (1) Parced Start 18-06-2017 18-06-2017	0 0 0	Actual Start 18-06-2017	Actual End 10-04-2018 04-11-2017	1 en (en	Zeom Qe 3, 2017 August	() September	Qtr 4, 2017 Oct	uber	Novenber	Cecenter	P= 20 (* 1, 201 2
Add Task	Sources Contigues Simulate State Contigues Simulate Simulation Simulation Name CIVIL WORK FLINDINGER Functional Formers	244	Parced Parced 18-05-2017 18-05-2017 18-05-2017	0 0 0	Atual Start 18-06-2017 18-06-2017	Actual End 10-04-2018 04-11-2017 11-09-2017	Task Task Task	Zeom Qe 3, 2017 August	() September	Qt: 4, 2017 Oct	uber	November 7	G December	P- 20 (* 1, 201 2
Add Task	Sources Configure Semulates Still Gene Generation - Name CIVEL WORK Extensional Services PC/ Too Insuran	244	Parced Parced 18-06-2017 18-06-2017 18-06-2017 18-06-2017	Parved Ind 29403-2008 0511-2007 21-09-2007 21-09-2007 21-09-2007	Actual Start 18-06-2017 18-06-2017 18-06-2017 21-06-2017	Actual End 10-04-2018 04-11-2017 31-08-2017 01-08-2017	Task Task Type Construct Construct	210m Qt 3, 2017 August	C) September	Qt: 4, 2017 Oct	sber	Novenbe 7	Cecember (1	(* 1, 201 24
Add Task	Source: Contiguer Sendate Source: Contiguer Sendate Source: Contiguer Sendate Name CONE WORK INSPIR WORK December Source Contexts	244	Parced Start 18-08-2017 18-09-2017 18-09-2017 21-09-2017 21-09-2017	Parved Ind 29-03-2018 09-11-2017 31-09-2017 31-09-2017 31-09-2017	Actual Start 18-08-2017 18-08-2017 18-08-2017 21-08-2017 21-08-2017	Actual End 10-04-2018 04-11-2017 01-06-2017 01-06-2017 14-06-2017	Tak Type Construct Construct Construct Construct	200m Qt 3, 2017 August	() September	Qt: 4, 2017 Oct	uber	Noventer 7	Cecenter (	F= 1, 201 3
Add Tark	Source: Contigues Simulates Still Gene Generation Name CIVIL WORK PLENDI WORK Documental Forces PCC for forces PCC forces PCC forces		P+ 27 54 Start 16-06-3017 18-06-3017 18-06-3017 21-06-3017 24-06-3017 24-06-3017	Image: Control of the second	Actual Start 18-06-2017 18-06-2017 18-06-2017 21-06-2017 24-06-2017 24-06-2017	Actual End 10-04-2018 04-11-2017 71-04-2017 01-09-2017 14-09-2017 14-09-2017	Tak Type Construct Construct Construct Construct Construct	2200% Qe 3, 2017 August	() September	Qtr 4, 2017 Oct	uber	No-enber 7	December	(* 1, 201 )* 1, 201 3
Add Tark	Source: Centiquer Simulates gali and Centiquer Simulates Rame CYTE WORK PLENDI WORK Excention for facing PCC for fouring PCC fouring	244	- 17 mmod Start 18-06-3017 18-06-3017 18-06-3017 24-06-3017 30-09-3017 10-09-3017	Image: Constraint of the second sec	Atual 2017 18-08-2017 18-08-2017 18-09-2017 21-08-2017 21-08-2017 21-09-2017 21-09-2017	Actual End 10-04-2018 04-11-2017 31-06-2017 14-09-2017 14-09-2017 14-09-2017	Task Type Construct Construct Construct Construct Construct	200m (pr 3, 2017 August	C) September	Qtr 4, 2017 Oct	ider	Normber	Cecember	F- 120 (F- 1, 201 24
Add Task Add Task Add Task	Source Contigue Smuther State Contigue Smuther State Christ Work Execution of Source Execution of Source Exe	244	Per 27 10 Flerred Start 16-06-2017 16-06-2017 16-06-2017 24-06-2017 24-06-2017 24-06-2017 24-06-2017 24-06-2017 24-06-2017 24-06-2017	Period End 29-03-2018 05-11-2017 31-06-2017 14-09-2017 15-09-2017 15-09-2017 22-09-2017	Actual Start 18-06-2017 18-06-2017 18-06-2017 28-06-2017 28-06-2017 21-06-2017 21-06-2017	Actual End 10-04-2018 04-11-2017 21-09-2017 14-09-	Task Type Construct Construct Construct Construct Construct Construct	2005 (913, 2017 Auput	0 September	Qr 4, 2017 Or 3	ober	November	December	P= 20 (* 1, 201 24
Active	Source: Contigues: Smulter: Starter: Contigues: Smulter: Rame CIVIL WORK ELEVIL WORK ELEVIL WORK ELEVIL WORK ELEVIL WORK ELEVIL Starter: Smulter: ELEVIL Starter: ELEVIL Starter: ELEV		Per Princed Start 18-06-2017 18-00-2017 18-00-2017 21-00-2017 20-00-2017 10-09-2017 10-09-2017 26-05-2017	Perved End 29-03-2008 03-11-2017 21-09-2017 14-09-2017 15-09-2017 27-09-2017 03-11-2017	Actual Start 18-06-2017 18-06-2017 18-06-2017 24-08-2017 24-08-2017 24-09-2017 24-10-2017	Actual End 10-04-2018 04-11-2017 71-08-2017 14-09-2017 14-09-2017 27-09-2017 04-11-2017	Task Type Construct Construct Construct Construct Construct Construct	2008 (tr. 3, 2017 August	0 September	Qt 4, 2017 Oct	toter	November 7	December	P= 20 P= 1, 201 3
Add Tark	Source: Configure Sensitive Source: Configure Sensitive New Certa Work Richtmonge Richtmong R		- 27 0. Farred Stat 18-06-2017 18-06-2017 24-06-2017 24-06-2017 24-06-2017 24-06-2017 24-06-2017 24-06-2017 24-06-2017	Image: Constraint of the second sec	Atual Start 19-06-2017 19-06-2017 19-06-2017 29-09-2017 29-09-2017 29-09-2017 29-09-2017 29-09-2017 29-10-2017	Actual End 10-04-2018 04-11-2017 71-08-2017 01-09-2017 14-09-2017 14-09-2017 27-09-2017 04-11-2017	Task Type Construct Construct Construct Construct Construct Construct Construct Construct	2008 Qt 3, 2017 Aquat	G	Qr 4, 2017 Or	uber	November 7	December	2- 🔁 (* 1, 20) 3
Add Task	Source: Contiguer Semulate W W Were New CIVIE WORK INTERINGEN Conclusion force ACC for lowing ACC for l		- III III Farred Stat 16-00-2017 16-00-2017 16-00-2017 24-00-2017 24-00-2017 24-00-2017 24-00-2017 24-00-2017 24-00-2017	Image: Constraint of the second sec	Actual Start 19-06-2017 19-06-2017 19-09-2017 21-06-2017 24-09-2017 24-09-2017 24-09-2017 24-09-2017	Actual End 10-04-2018 04-11-2017 11-08-2017 14-09-2017 14-09-2017 14-09-2017 14-09-2017 04-11-2017	Task Type Construct Construct Construct Construct Construct Construct Construct	2 Janne Qer 3, 2017 August	0 September	Q4 4, 2017 Oct	ober	Normber 7	December	2- 🔁 1- 201 3
Active	Source Configure Semidate Source Configure Semidate New Configure Contended Contend	244	7+ 27 5+ Flered Stat 18-08-2017 18-09-2017 18-09-2017 26-09-2017 26-09-2017 26-09-2017 26-09-2017	400         400         1           Permed End         00         1         200           00         1         200         3         00         200           30         40         200         3         00         200         3         00         200         3         00         200         3         00         200         3         00         200         3         00         200         3         00         200         3         00         1         200         0         1         200         0         1         200         0         1         200         7         0         1         200         7         0         1         200         7         0         1         200         7         0         1         200         7         0         1         200         7         0         1         200         7         0         1         200         7         0         1         200         7         1         20         1         20         1         20         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1	Actual Start 19-06-2017 19-06-2017 19-06-2017 29-08-2017 21-09-2017 21-09-2017 29-09-2017 29-09-2017	Achust End 10-04-2018 04-11-2017 21-08-2017 14-08-2017 14-08-2017 14-08-2017 04-11-2017	Task Type Construct Construct Construct Construct Construct Construct Construct Construct Construct	2008 (9:3,2017 August	0 September	QF 4, 2017 Oct	tober	November 7	December	P (2)
Add Task Add Task Add Task Y II Y II Y II Y II Y II Y II Y II Y I	Source Configure Simulate get an State Term Water Harris Water Harris Water Accentration Acc periodic Acc p		P• 27 26 Stat 16-06-2017 18-00-2017 28-00-2017 28-00-2017 28-00-2017 28-00-2017 28-00-2017 28-00-2017 28-00-2017 28-00-2017	O         O           Permet End         29-03-2008           09-11-2017         31-09-2017           31-09-2017         31-09-2017           31-09-2017         31-09-2017           31-09-2017         31-09-2017           31-09-2017         31-09-2017	Atual Stat. 19-06-2017 19-08-2017 21-08-2017 21-08-2017 21-08-2017 21-09-2017 21-09-2017 21-09-2017	Actual End 10-04-2018 04-11-2017 31-06-2017 01-09-2017 14-09-2017 27-09-2017 04-11-2017	Task Type Construct Construct Construct Construct Construct Construct Construct Construct	2008 (9 3, 2017 August	0 September	Qr 4, 2017 Oct	uber	November 7 7	Cecenter	2- [2] (* 1, 201 30 30

Fig. 8 4D Model of R&D Building

### VII. 5D MODELING OF R&D BUILDING

Quantity surveying practice is bound to 5th dimension (5D) of BIM. The 5D BIM is an integration of the 3D BIM model and the construction schedule (4D) with contract pricing (cost) for quantity surveying applications. It stimulates the building performance with respect to its construction cost. BIM automatically generates quantities, take-offs and counts from the model which will reduce the time and costs required to prepare an estimate (Olatunji et al., 2010; Wijayakumar & Jayasena, 2013).

The model created in Revit and the cost data prepared from Quantity takeoff software is exported to Naviswork, since complete the 5D model.



Fig. 9 5D Model Of R&D Building

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 6.887 Volume 6 Issue VI, June 2018- Available at www.ijraset.com

### VII. CONCLUSION

- A. The BIM concept and technology have been applied in practice worldwide, and the great effects brought about by BIM application in the AEC industry are gradually being revealed. BIM implementation is essential to the AEC industry, and support from a national strategy is necessary in order to promote BIM.
- *B.* For effective implementation of BIM based Quantity Takeoff, BIM Model shall be prepared for easiest possible BIM based Quantity take off. The level of detail should be determined by all participants involved in a project.
- C. A comparison between the traditional estimating method and BIM based Quantity Takeoff shows that greater accuracy can be obtained through BIM based Quantity takeoff.
- D. Barriers to BIM implementation have attracted widespread attention. The critical barriers are the high cost of application, lack of national standards, and lack of skilled personnel. The initial cost of investing in new technology and time for training personnel is also significant. It could be resolved if government, the AEC industry, educational institutes, and BIM providers work together to reduce BIM implementation costs, establish BIM implementation strategies, and promote BIM education. With investigation and overcoming of the identified barriers, BIM will help the AEC industry to evolve rapidly

### REFERENCES

### A. Journal Papers

- [1] Salman Azhar, "Building Information Modeling (BIM) : Trends, Benefits, Risks, & Challenges for the AEC Industry" Leadership and Management in Engineering 2011
- [2] Peter Smith, "BIM & the 5D Project Cost Manager", 29th World Congress International Project Management Association (IPMA) 2015
- [3] Saeed Rokooei, "Building Information Modeling in Project Management: Necessities, Challenges and Outcomes" 4th International Conference on Leadership, Technology, Innovation and Business Management
- [4] Andre Monterio, Joao Pocas Martins, A survey on modeling guidelines for quantity takeoff-oriented BIM-based design article in automation in construction Nov.2013
- [5] Juan Franco, Faiza Mahdi, Hussein Abaza from Department of Construction Management, Kennesaw State University., (2015) Using Building Information Modeling (BIM) for Estimating and Scheduling, Adoption Barriers, Paper published in Universal Journal of Management.

### B. Theses

- [6] Fredrik Kullvén & Katrine Nyberg (2014) Possibilities with BIM in relation to cost estimation and scheduling, Master of Science Thesis in the Master's Programme Design and Construction Project Management for Division of Construction Management, CHALMERS University of Technology, Sweden).
- [7] Dalu Zhang, (2012) Project Time and Cost Control Using Building Information Modeling, A Thesis submitted for North Dakota State University Of Agriculture and Applied Science.











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)