iJRASET
International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 6 **Issue:** VI **Month of publication:** June 2018

DOI: http://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2018.6272

www.ijraset.com

Call: 08813907089 | E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 6.887
Volume 6 Issue VI, June 2018- Available at www.ijraset.com

Enhancement of Bandwidth Using U-Slot Micro-strip Patch Antenna Operating at TM02 Mode

Shaik Salar Ahmmad[1],
[1]*M.Tech, Assistant Professor Anubose Institute of Technology, Palwancha (M), Bhadradri Kothagudem Dist, Telangana. India.*

***Abstract:** A wide band dual-beam micro strip antenna is proposed in this communication. Two radiation beams off broadside are obtained by Operating the patch antenna at the higher order TM_{02} mode instead of the Fundamental TM_{01} mode, this radiates a broadside beam. Broadening the antenna bandwidth is achieved by using the U-slot technique. Unlike previous work on the conventional U-slot micro-strip antenna, the effect of the U-slot inclusion on the performance of a patch antenna operating at the TM_{02} mode is studied across the entire achieved bandwidth. The antenna analysis is carried out with the aid of full wave simulation, and an antenna prototype is fabricated and measured for validation. Good agreements between the simulated and measured results are observed. The antenna operating frequency range is 5.18–5.8 GHz with VSWR less than 2, which corresponds to 11.5% impedance bandwidth. It exhibits two radiation beams, directed at 35% and 33% with 7.92 dBi and 5.94 dBi realized gain, respectively at 5.5 GHz.*

***Index Terms:** Dual-beam, U-slot micro-strip patch antenna, wide band*

I. INTRODUCTION

Indoor wireless links have intrinsic characteristics that affect the system performance, such as the multipath effect that causes signal fading, and interference effect from adjacent cells that degrades the bit error rate. From the physical layer perspective, one solution to combat these impairments is the use of directional antennas rather than the traditional omnidirectional ones [1]. They have the ability to confine the power in certain directions instead of scattering the power everywhere. As a result of less power loss toward unwanted directions, the multi-path and interference effects are reduced. Directional antennas can be single or dual/multi- beam. Dual/multi-beam antennas are antennas that have more than one directive beam from a single aperture. These antennas are useful for indoor wireless systems which require coverage of multiple areas [2], as they reduce the required number of antennas and are found to improve the link quality [3], resulting in easier net-work deployment. Micro-strip antennas have been widely used in many modern communication systems, because of its robustness, planar profile, and low cost. Most of these antennas operate at their fundamental TM_{01} mode, which gives a broadside beam [4]. Micro-strip antenna operating at the higher order TM_{02} mode has dual symmetric radiation beams, with each beam directed at $\pm 45^{o}$ respectively [5]–[7]. It is well known that the major drawback of a micro-strip antenna is its narrow bandwidth (3%). One of the popular techniques for broadening the patch antenna bandwidth is to incorporate a U-slot on its surface as proposed in [8] and [9]. However, the U-slot technique was studied only at the Fundamental TM_{01} mode of the patch [10]. To the best of authors' knowledge, there is no published report on the study of the U-slot patch Antenna excited at the higher order TM_{02} mode.

.




Fig. 1. Current distributions: (a) a plain rectangular patch; (b) U-slot micro-strip

Patch antenna at the higher order TM_{02} mode

In this communication, a U-slot micro-strip antenna operating at the TM_{02} mode to attain dual radiation beams with wideband performance (> 10%) is proposed. The U-slot inclusion on the patch's surface introduces asymmetry, which affects the radiation characteristics, such as pattern symmetry, pattern stability, x-pole level, and the direction of the beams. An investigation on how

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

these parameters are affected is presented. The realized gain and efficiency for each beam, and the difference between their maxima levels are also presented. The proposed design is validated with experimental measurement of a fabricated prototype.




Fig.2. practical U-slot patch antenna

II. ANTENNA OPERATION PRINCIPLE AND DESIGN

A. Operation Principle

To design a rectangular patch antenna operating at the TM_{02} mode that gives dual radiation beams, the patch length L should be λ_d, instead of $\lambda_d/2$ for the fundamental mode, where λ_d is the wavelength in the dielectric substrate. According to the cavity model of the patch antenna [4], the current on the patch's surface, has two maxima at TM_{02} mode as shown in Fig. 1(a). Therefore, for a U- slot to work effectively, it should intercept both current maxima. Fig. 1(b) shows the current distribution on the surface of a U-slot patch antenna. It is obvious that the presence of two maxima on the patch indicates a λ_d resonator at the TM_{02} Mode. Meanwhile the three current nulls are observed and the Slot length is around $3\lambda_d/2$. It should be mentioned that optimization and parametric study are needed for the U-slot parameters to acquire a wide bandwidth.

B. Antenna Geometry

The proposed antenna geometry is shown in Fig. 2, where a coaxial-fed rectangular patch is printed over a Rogers RT\ Duroid substrate of thickness h=3.175mm and permittivity ε_r=2.2A U-slot is cut on the patch's surface, which is mounted over the substrate of size Lg×Wg=67×74mm The other side of the substrate is coated with metal, which is the ground plane of the antenna. The dimensions of the antenna that give a broad impedance bandwidth are listed in Table I, which are obtained via iterative process.

C. Parametric Study

The parameters that have critical influence on the antenna performance are chosen for parametric study. These parameters are: L, W, Ls, and b. Parameters L and Ls are responsible for the patch and U-slot electric lengths, whereas W changes the patch impedance [11]. Lastly, b tells how the U-slot intercepts the current maxima on the patch's surface. To study their effects on the antenna performance, parametric study is carried out on the parameters mentioned above, using the full wave simulator An- soft **HFSS** [12]. Simulation results of the reflection coefficient at different values of these parameters are shown in Figs. 3 and 4. From Fig. 3, it is observed that L and Ls control the lower and Higher resonant frequencies of the antenna, respectively, as expected. With the proper selection of L andLs, an overlap between both resonances occurred leading to a wide impedance bandwidth. On the other hand, a small variation of the antenna width W does not show significant effect on the bandwidth as it is obvious from Fig. 4(a). It should be pointed out that wider variation of W is not suggested, because it might excite the horizontal higher order TM_{20} mode. Finally, from Fig. 4(b), the parameter b that represents the position of the U- slot on the rectangular patch, controls the separation between the two resonances which consequently affects the achieved impedance bandwidth.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The prototype of the proposed antenna in Fig. 2 was fabricated and investigated experimentally. A photo of the proposed antenna proto-type is shown in Fig. 5. The antenna overall size is 1.8λd×2.0λd×0.086λd (67×74×3.175mm), where λ_d is the dielectric wave length at 5.5 GHz. The Simulated and measured results of the proposed antenna and a plain rectangular patch of same dimensions L×W are shown in Fig. 6. From the figure, the U-slot effect for broadening the antenna bandwidth is obvious. The impedance bandwidth realized by simulation and measured results are listed in Table II. According to the measured results, the U-slot technique

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

increases the impedance band-width for more than two times. The difference between the simulated and measured results is due to the defects in the prototype during fabrication.

A contour plot for the projected 3D radiation pattern of the proposed antenna is generated with full wave simulation at 5.5 GHz and is shown in Fig. 6, where two distinct directive beams are clearly seen. The maximum radiation lies in the **YZ** plane, whereas a null exists in the **XY** plane.




Fig.3. Geometry of the proposed U-slot micro-strip Antenna (a) top view; (b) side view.

TABLE I DIMENSIONS OF PROPOSED U-SLOT MICROSTRIP ANTENNA

Parameter							
(in mm)	34	27	28.25	12	2	2	5.5

Fig.4. Simulated S_{11} of the proposed antenna: (a) variation of patch length L, while all other parameters in Table I are fixed; (b) variation of L_s, while all other parameters in Table I are fixed.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

To study the effect of the U-slot inclusion, as well as the variation of the pattern along the frequencies of the entire bandwidth, the radiation patterns at 5.3, 5.5, and 5.8 GHz in the YZ plane is presented in Fig. 7. Good agreement between the simulated and measured results for the co-pole patterns is observed. The beams are not of equal levels and their directions are not in ±45% as in the case of a plain rectangular patch.




Fig.5. Simulated S_{11} of the proposed antenna: (a) variation of patch width W, while all other parameters in Table I are fixed; (b) variation of the slot position b, while all other parameters in Table I are fixed.

This is attributed to the asymmetry introduced by the U-slot. Regarding the x-pole patterns a discrepancy between the simulated and measured results is observed. This discrepancy is mainly because the signal level of the x-pole component is about 40 dB lower than the co-pole level as shown in Fig. 7. It is lower than the effective dynamic range of our anechoic chamber. Therefore, inside the anechoic chamber the measured signal suffers from the chamber's limitation and its level is higher than the simulated results. Another reason is the presence of the RF cables and connectors that feed the antenna in a close proximity during the pattern measurements. The induced currents on these components radiate and alter the radiation patterns of the antenna. Because the x-pol component is much weaker than the co-pol component (40 dB lower), the distortion of the x-pole patterns is more significant. In the plane cuts Bandwidth. Also, at 5.5 GHz, the measured realized gain of the forward beam is about 7.92 dBi, whereas for the backward beam it is 5.94 dBi. The maximum difference between the beams' maxima is 2 dB across. The antenna bandwidth. The maximum difference between the beams' maxima is a crucial criterion in multi-beam antenna design. The efficiency obtained from both beams is calculated and found to be greater than 95%. The realized gain and estimated efficiency for each beam are similar to the single broadside beam of the conventional U-slot antenna operating at the TM_{01} mode [10].

Fig.8. A contour plot for the projected 3D radiation pattern of the proposed antenna on the **XY** plane at 5.5 GHz, generated by full wave simulation.






Fig.6. Proposed U-slot micro-strip antenna prototype.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved




Fig.7 Simulated Vs. measure results for Su of both U-slot and plain rectangular patch antenna.

Table-ii
Impedance bandwidth of the proposed u-slot microstrip antenna

Bandwidth	HFSS	Measured
Without U-Slot	4%(5.5-5.72GHz)	5.0%(5.5-5.8GHz)
With U-Slot	12%(5.17-5.81GHz)	11.3%(5.18-5.80GHz)

Table-iii
The simulated (hfss) vs. Measured (m) results for the directions and realized gain of the forward and backward beams of the proposed antenna in the xy-plane at 5.3, 5.5 and 5.8 ghz

Frequency (GHz)	Forward Beam				Backward beam			
	Direction (θ_m°)		Gain (dBi)		Direction (θ_m°)		Gain (dBi)	
	HFSS	M	HFSS	M	HFSS	M	HFSS	M
5.3	37°	38°	8.11	8.57	-37°	-36°	6.63	5.3
5.5	36°	34°	7.82	7.93	-37°	-34°	6.1	5.94
5.8	35°	36°	7.64	7.25	-36°	-37°	5.86	5.24

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

IV. CONCLUSION

U-slot micro-strip antenna operating at a higher order TM_{02} mode has been proposed and investigated. The antenna has 11.5% bandwidth (5.17–5.81 GHz) and exhibit dual radiation beams. The beams are directed around ±35° the center frequency, and both beams' squint is less than 4◦ within the antenna bandwidth. Realized gain of the for-ward beam is 7.92 dBi at the center frequency, whereas it is 5.94 dBi for the backward beam. The difference between both beams' maxima is less than 2 dBi across the entire bandwidth. The proposed design is a desirable candidate for stationary terminals of various indoor wireless communication networks.


REFERENCES

[1] P. Driessen, "Gigabit/s indoor wireless systems with directional an-tennas," IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. 44, no. 8, pp. 1034–1043, Aug. 1996.
[2] Y. M. Tao and G. Y. Delisle, "Lens-fed multiple beam array for mil-limeter wave indoor communications," in Proc. IEEE AP-S Int. An-tenna and Propag. Symp. Digest, Jul. 1997, pp. 2206–2209.
[3] K. Li, M. Ingram, and E. Rausch, "Multibeam antennas for indoor wire-less communications," IEEE Trans. Comm., vol. 50, no. 2, Feb. 2002.
[4] K. Carver and J. Mink, "Microstrip antenna technology," IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag., vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 2–24, 1981.
[5] Y. T. Lo, D. Solomon, and W. F. Richards, "Theory and experiment on microstrip antennas," IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag., vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 137–145, Mar. 1979.
[6] J. R. James and P. S. Hall, Handbook of Microstrip Antennas. London, U.K.: Peter Peregrinus Ltd, 1989, p. 111.
[7] K. F. Lee and K. M. Luk, Microstrip Patch Antennas. London, U.K.: Imperial College Press, 2010.
[8] T. Huynh and K. F. Lee, "Single-layer single-patch wideband mi-crostrip antenna," Electron. Lett., vol. 31, no. 16, pp. 1310–1312, Aug. 1995.
[9] K. M. Luk, C. L. Mak, Y. L. Chow, and K. F. Lee, "Broadband microstrip patch antenna," IET Electron. Lett., vol. 34, no. 15, pp. 1442–1443, 1998.
[10] K. F. Lee, S. S. Yang, A. Kishk, and K. M. Luk, "The versatile U-slot patch antenna," IEEE Antennas Propag. Mag., vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 71–88, February 2010.
[11] C. A. Balanis, Antenna Theory Analysis and Design, 2nd ed. Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley-Interscience, 2005, p. 814.
[12]An soft HFSS ver. 13, an soft Corporation. Canonsburg, PA, USA, 2011.



SHAIK SALAR AHMMAD completed his M.Tech from KLR COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, Affiliated to JNTU-HYD in 2014 in the specialization of 'Microwave and Radar Engineering'. He is having 5 years of teaching experience. He is presently working as Assistant professor in ANUBOSE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PALWANCHA. His areas of interest are Antenna design, Microwave Engineering and Radar system Engineering.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved



10.22214/IJRASET

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)