



# **iJRASET**

International Journal For Research in  
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



---

# **INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH**

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

---

**Volume: 6**

**Issue: XI**

**Month of publication: November 2018**

**DOI:**

**[www.ijraset.com](http://www.ijraset.com)**

**Call: ☎ 08813907089**

**E-mail ID: [ijraset@gmail.com](mailto:ijraset@gmail.com)**

# Bacterial Toxicological Assay of Calcium Oxide Nanoparticles against some Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria

Saket Jha<sup>1</sup>, Ravikant Singh<sup>2</sup>, Anand Pandey<sup>3</sup>, Mohini Bhardwaj<sup>4</sup>, Sharad Kumar Tripathi<sup>5</sup>, Rohit Kumar Mishra<sup>6</sup>, Anupam Dikshit<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1, 3, 5, 7</sup>Biological Product Laboratory, Department of Botany, University of Allahabad, Prayagraj-211002

<sup>2, 6</sup>Centre of Bioresource Innovation and Research (CBIR), Department of Biotechnology, Swami Vivekanand University, Sagar, M.P.-470228

<sup>4</sup>Department of Botany, University of Allahabad, Prayagraj-211002

**Abstract:** Nowadays, Nanoparticles are widely used in all major fields such as Pharmaceuticals, Agricultural, Phyto-remediation, Biochemical Sciences and Nutraceuticals etc. In the field of agronomic practices, these nanoparticles play a major role in using advancement. The present investigation was worked on the inhibitory concentration of chemically synthesised calcium oxide nanoparticles against some Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria viz., *Azotobacter chroococcum*, *Pseudomonas putida*, *P. fluorescens*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *B. licheniformis*, *Paenibacillus polymyxa* and *Rhizobium* species isolated *Melilotus indica* (L.) All. and *Cassia tora* L. Calcium Oxide powder was also used as comparative analysis and Streptomycin was used as a Standard drug. Antibacterial assay done by using Broth Micro-dilution (BMD) prescribed by CLSI and data were analysed on Spectramax 384plus, Microplate data analysis, Molecular device. The results came very prominently with Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) was shown on *P. putida*, *P. fluorescens*, *Bacillus subtilis* and *B. licheniformis*. Low MIC value was observed (0.001 mg/ml) on *A. chroococcum*. No MIC value was recorded against *Rhizobium* species and *P. polymyxa*. The present investigation showed that Calcium Oxide Nanoparticles can be used with low concentration (i.e. less than 1500 ug/ml or 1500 ppm) as “Nano-Biofertilizers” mixing with PGPRs as they were showed no inhibitory effects on rhizobacteria like *A. chroococcum* and *Rhizobium* species and showed inhibitory effects on other at very higher concentration i.e. more than 3000 ug/ml.

**Keywords:** CaO Nanoparticles, Antibacterial assay, MIC, Nano-Biofertilizers, etc.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Nanoparticles (NPs) have become the most widely used materials for modern scientific research, especially in electronic and medical sciences because of a wide range of properties (1). Many methods include microwave, hydrothermal, sol-gel, sonochemical and solvothermal have been developed to prepare various types of NPs (1, 2). Among the huge variety of nanoparticles synthesized till date, the metal oxide nanoparticles, such as Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, ZnO, MgO, CuO, TiO<sub>2</sub>, and CaO are well known for their inherent antimicrobial activity (3). Also, due to their high stability and unique physicochemical properties, these nanoparticles are highly significant nano-materials in material science. Nanoparticles of calcium oxide, calcium hydroxide and calcium acetate are accepted as food additives. Calcium oxide used food grade as preservative, acid regulator, dough conditioner and prevents food elements from aggregation and increases its bioavailability (4). In addition, metal oxides nanoparticles demonstrate antimicrobial in previous studies (5, 6), which may be produced from its alkalinity and presence of active oxygen particles. Metal oxides generally considered safe for human and animals compared to other organic materials. Calcium oxide nanoparticles (CaO NPs) are low cost, easily accessed and biocompatible. So, metal oxides could be good substitutes in medical and food processing purposes (7). Consider Nanotechnology can be applied in food production and processing with applying of nanoparticles which may be organic or inorganic. Organic nano-material as protein, fat, carbohydrates and etc. inorganic nano-material may be metals like calcium and magnesium or non-metals as selenium and silicate which may be applied in food, food additives or food packaging. The term nano-food is used by applying nanoparticles or nanotechnology in any step of food production (8). Nanoparticles can be used as nano-sized food additives which either be naturally or artificially produced in food applications. However, manufactured nano-sized particles may cause harm to human or environment especially if penetrate the cell membrane (9). There is a little knowledge about nanofood, although nanoparticle can be produced naturally during the manufacture of food products. Also, Nanofabrication helps in

the absorption and transportation of fat in our body (10). Calcium hydroxide was a material with numerous chemical, industrial, environmental, and architectural applications (11-18). The produced nanolimes were successfully employed in form of hydro-alcoholic suspension on mural paintings, stuccoes and frescoes (19) as well paper and wood deacidification (20); refurbishments of architectonical surfaces were considered too (21-24). CaO and Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles possesses compatibility and sustainable action towards the main historical substrates with following advantages: having the capability to penetrate deeper into the cell, less limitation due to the particle size, high reactivity and fast reactions, such as carbonization, in the treated zones, high purity and defined composition (25, 26). Chemical synthesis of CaO NP by precipitation process in supersaturated aqueous solutions of reactants of aqueous sodium hydroxide solution (NaOH) added drop by drop in an aqueous calcium chloride (CaCl<sub>2</sub>).2H<sub>2</sub>O solution maintained at high temperature (60°C). The super-saturation degree, the high temperature and the slow mixing time tended to favour Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> nucleation rate with respect to particle growth, promoting the precipitation of nanosized calcium hydroxide particles. After synthesis, the produced white precipitate phase was washed, in order to remove the sodium chloride that was produced during their action, and water was partially substituted with an alcoholic medium to improve particles disagglomeration and stability (27). Disagglomeration allowed to have a higher specific surface, leading to more reactive and more penetrating particles; besides, the higher stability of Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> alcoholic suspensions in comparison to aqueous media, reduced the tendency to form a white film onto the surfaces to be consolidated (28). The nanoparticles were synthesized by an original method that allows obtaining them easily and drastically reducing the time of synthesis with respect to other procedures reported in the literature (29-31). The straightforwardness and quickness of our synthesis method could allow to scale-up the nanolime production opening different possibilities in engineering fields, where the use of lime play a fundamental role (32,33).

## II. MATERIALS AND METHOD

The six rhizobacteria were procured from MTCC Chandigarh, India. Two species of *Rhizobium* were isolated from different plant viz., nodules of *Melilotus indica* and rhizospheric soil of *Cassia tora*. All 8 bacterial inocula were made compared with 0.5 McFarland standard solutions. Calcium oxide nanoparticle was synthesised with reacting the CaCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O with NaOH solution and 0.5 ml Poly-ethyl Glycol (PEG) was added for stability. Characterization of chemically synthesised CaO NPs was done with SEM, XRD and UV-vis.

The toxicity of CaO NP on PGPRs was done by using antimicrobial assay recommended by CLSI with some modifications. Broth Micro Dilution was done and data were analysed at 625 nm on Spectramax 384plus, Microplate data analysis. CaO powder (collected from SRL Pvt. Ltd) was used to compare with synthesised nanoparticles. The Broth Micro-dilution protocol was used as recommended by CLSI- Antibacterial assay. Column-1 contains formaldehyde, Column-2 contains MHB as broth control, Column-3 and Column-4 contains the drug in each row. Row A and B of streptomycin. Row C, D, E and F contain CaO NP. Row G and H contain CaO powder. Column-3 is known as a column of drug control. Now dilute the drugs horizontally from column-4 to column-11 by using multichannel micropipette. Column-12 was filled with bacterial inoculum as the positive control. The extract solutions over horizontally diluted 1:1 in MHB in 96 well plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours (34, 35). Inhibitory concentration and it was determined as the lowest concentration without turbidity. Streptomycin used as Drug (Standard) control. Formaldehyde was used as a negative control.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The chemically synthesised CaO nanoparticles was cubical crystals with grain size 100 nm (Figure1) and are found more stable with the shelf life of 6-8 month (in dry condition). UV-visual spectroscopy showed the peak at 370 nm and XRD peaks (2  $\Theta$ =1207, 1877) confirms the nanometre-sized crystals.

Toxicity assay of CaO NP was found to be most effective against *Paenibacillus polymyxa* with 0.153 mg/ml (153 ppm), CaO powder 0.301 mg/ml (301 ppm) and streptomycin with 0.280 mg/ml (280 ppm), whereas found least effective against *Rhizobium* sp. (*Melilotus indica*) with CaO NP 2.540 mg/ml (2540 ppm), CaO powder 3.900 mg/ml (3900 ppm) and Streptomycin with 0.912 mg/ml (912 ppm) followed with *B. subtilis* with CaO NP 1.392 mg/ml (1392 ppm), CaO powder 1.89 mg/ml and streptomycin 0.290 mg/ml (Figure2). No toxicity was reported in *A. chroococcum* (CaO powder 0.14 mg/ml, streptomycin 0.290 mg/ml); *B. licheniformis* (CaO powder 0.23 mg/ml, streptomycin 0.450 mg/ml); *P. fluorescens* (CaO powder 0.187 mg/ml; streptomycin 0.018 mg/ml), *P. putida* (CaO powder 0.156 mg/ml; streptomycin 0.008 mg/ml) and *Rhizobium* sp. (*C. tora*) (CaO powder 0.56 mg/ml; streptomycin 0.003 mg/ml) (Figure4).

Inhibitory concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>) was found more toxicity of CaO NP against *P. polymyxa* with CaO NP 0.153 mg/ml (153ppm), CaO powder 0.302 mg/ml, streptomycin 0.460 mg/ml. Less toxicity was found on *B. licheniformis* with CaO NP 2.713 mg/ml (2713

ppm), CaO powder 2.560 mg/ml, streptomycin 0.210 mg/ml. No inhibitory effectiveness was recorded on *A. chroococcum*, *P. putida* and *Rhizobium* sp. (*C. tora*) (Figure 3).

The present investigation was found that CaO NP was toxic only to some PGPRs viz., *P. polymyxa*. Comparative analysis found that CaO powder (Merck) was more toxic than synthesised CaO NP. Also, the toxicity of CaO NP against some PGPRs (*B. subtilis*, *B. licheniformis* and *Rhizobium* sp.. (*Melilotus indica*)) were observed with higher concentration i.e. above 1000 ppm. This clearly showed that CaO NP was least toxic to the rhizobacterial inoculants (found above 1000 ppm) and can be used with rhizobacteria for the formulation of Nano-Biofertilizers.





Figure1: Characterization of CaO nanoparticles i.e. SEM, UV-vis and XRD.






Figure2: Toxicity assay of CaO NP and CaO powder against some PGPRs.

Table1: Inhibitory concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>) value in mg/ml of CaO NP and CaO powder value against some PGPRs

| PGPRs                            | IC <sub>50</sub> (mg/ml) |                   |            |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------|
|                                  | Streptomycin             | CaO Nanoparticles | CaO Powder |
| <i>A. chroococcum</i>            | 0.190                    | 0.00              | 1.56       |
| <i>B. subtilis</i>               | 1.968                    | 1.319             | 1.88       |
| <i>B. licheniformis</i>          | 0.210                    | 2.713             | 2.56       |
| <i>P. fluorescens</i>            | 0.017                    | 0.496             | 0.108      |
| <i>P. putida</i>                 | 0.002                    | 0.00              | 0.12       |
| <i>P. polymyxa</i>               | 0.460                    | 0.153             | 0.302      |
| <i>Rhizobium sp. (M. indica)</i> | 0.800                    | 2.478             | 3.89       |
| <i>Rhizobium sp. (C. tora)</i>   | 0.002                    | 0.00              | 1.24       |



Figure3: Inhibitory concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>) value in mg/ml of CaO NP and CaO powder value against some PGPRs

Table2: Minimum Inhibitory concentration (MIC) value in mg/ml of CaO NP and CaO powder value against some PGPRs

| PGPRs                            | MIC (mg/ml)  |                   |            |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------|
|                                  | Streptomycin | CaO Nanoparticles | CaO Powder |
| <i>A. chroococcum</i>            | 0.290        | 0.00              | 0.14       |
| <i>B. subtilis</i>               | 1.800        | 1.392             | 1.89       |
| <i>B. licheniformis</i>          | 0.450        | 0.00              | 0.23       |
| <i>P. fluorescens</i>            | 0.018        | 0.00              | 0.187      |
| <i>P. putida</i>                 | 0.008        | 0.00              | 0.156      |
| <i>P. polymyxa</i>               | 0.280        | 0.153             | 0.301      |
| <i>Rhizobium sp. (M. indica)</i> | 0.912        | 2.540             | 3.90       |
| <i>Rhizobium sp. (C. tora)</i>   | 0.003        | 0.00              | 0.56       |




Figure4: Minimum Inhibitory concentration (MIC) value in mg/ml of CaO NP and CaO powder value against some PGPRs

#### IV. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors were thankful to Head, Department of Botany for providing the lab facilities; Dr. M.M. Dwivedi, NCEMP, University of Allahabad for CaO NP characterization; Dr. Ashutosh Pathak for valuable suggestions and UGC for the funds.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Ghosh Chaudhuri and S. Paria, Chemical reviews, 2011, 112, 2373-2433.
- [2] S. Sarkar, E. Guibal, F. Quignard and A. SenGupta, Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 2012, 14, 1-24.
- [3] M. J. Hajipour, K. M. Fromm, A. A. Ashkarran, D. J. de Aberasturi, I. R. de Larramendi, T. Rojo, V. Serpooshan, W. J. Parak and M. Mahmoudi, Trends in biotechnology, 2012, 30, 499-511.
- [4] MFDS (Ministry of Food and Drug Safety): Food additives database (2013)
- [5] Roy A, Gauri S S., Bhattacharya M and Bhattacharya J., Antimicrobial Activity of CaO Nanoparticles. Journal of Biomedical Nanotechnology 9, 1-8 (2013)
- [6] Tang Zhen-Xing, Yu Zhen, Zhang Zhi-Liang, Zhang Xin-Yi, Pan Qin-Qin and Shi Lu-E., Sonication-Assisted preparation of CaO nanoparticles for antibacterial agents. Quim. Nova, 36 (7), 933-936 (2013)
- [7] Sawai J. and Igarashi H., Evaluation of Antibacterial Activity of Inorganic Materials and Application of Natural Inorganic Materials to Controlling Microorganisms. Food Ingredients J. Japan. 203, 47 -57(2002)
- [8] Bunglavan S. J., Garg A.K., Dass R.S. and Shrivastava S., Use of nanoparticles as feed additives to improve digestion and absorption in livestock. Livestock Research International 2 (3),36-47(2014)
- [9] Hristozov D. and Malsch I., Hazards and Risks of Engineered Nanoparticles for the Environment and Human Health. Sustainability 1: 1161-1194 (2009)
- [10] Rogers M. A., Naturally occurring nanoparticles in food. Current Opinion in Food Science 7, 14-19(2016)
- [11] Boynton, R.S. (1980) Chemistry and Technology of Lime and Limestone. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- [12] Adroer, M., Valero, F., Poch, M. and Sola, C. (1994) A New Procedure for Water Decarbonation Process Control. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 33, 1501.
- [13] Yan, K., Chin, T., Liang, D.T., Laursen, K., Ong, W.Y., Yao, K. and Tay, J.H. (2003) Kinetic Study of Hydrated Lime Reaction with HC. Environmental Science & Technology, 37, 2556. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es020902v>
- [14] Foreman, P.C. and Barnes, I.E. (1990) A Review of Calcium Hydroxide. International Endodontic Journal, 23, 283- 297. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.1990.tb00108.x>
- [15] Murray, P.E., Hafez, A.A., Smith, A.J. and Cox, C.F. (2002) Hierarchy of Pulp Capping and Repair Activities Responsible for Dentin Bridge Formation. American Journal of Dentistry, 15, 236.
- [16] Ashurst, N. (1994) Cleaning Historic Buildings. London.
- [17] Ashurst, J. and Dimes, F.G. (1998), Conservation of Building and Decorative Stone. Butterworth-Heinemann Series in Conservation and Museology. Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford and Woburn.
- [18] Elert, K., Rodriguez-Navarro, C., Sebastian Pardo, E., Hansen, E. and Cazalla, O. (2002) Lime Mortars for the Conservation of Historic Buildings. Studies in Conservation, 47, 62-75.
- [19] Giorgi, R., et al. (2000) A New Method for Consolidating Wall Paintings Based on Dispersion of Lime in Alcohol. Studies in Conservation, 45, 154-161.
- [20] Baglioni, P. and Giorgi, R. (2006) Soft and Hard Nanomaterials for Restoration and Conservation of Cultural Heritage. Soft Matter, 2, 293-303. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b516442g>

- [21] López-Arce, P., et al. (2010) Influence of Porosity and Relative Humidity in the Consolidation of Dolostone with Calcium Hydroxide Nanoparticles: Assessment of Consolidation Effectiveness with Non Destructive Techniques. *Materials Characterization*, 61, 168-184. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matchar.2009.11.007>
- [22] Slížková, Z., et al. (2010) Consolidation of Porous Limestone with Suspensions of Calcium Hydroxide Nano-Particles in Alcohols. In: Litomyšl, Eds., *Stonecore—Recent Progress in the Consolidation of Calcareous Materials*, Czech Republic.
- [23] Daniele, V. and Taglieri, G. (2010) Nanolime Suspensions Applied on Natural Lithotypes: The Influence of Concentration and Residual Water Content on Carbonation Process and on Treatment Effectiveness. *Journal of Cultural Heritage*, 11, 102-106. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.culher.2009.04.001>
- [24] Dei, L. and Salvadori, B. (2006) Nanotechnology in Cultural Heritage Conservation: Nanometric Slaked Lime Saves Architectonic and Artistic Surfaces from Decay. *Journal of Cultural Heritage*, 7, 110-115. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.culher.2006.02.001>
- [25] Pianski, J., Brümmer, K. and Ziegenbalg, G. (2010) Nano-Particles for Stone Conservation-State of the Art, Characteristics and Recent Developments. In: Litomyšl, Eds., *Stonecore—Recent Progress in the Consolidation of Calcareous Materials*, Czech Republic.
- [26] Daniele, V. and Taglieri, G. (2011) Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> Nanoparticles Characterization: Microscopic Investigation of Their Application on Natural Stones. In: Mammoli, A.A., Brebbia, C.A. and Klemm, A., Eds., *Materials Characterisation V— Computational Methods and Experiments*, WIT Press, UK, 55-66. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2495/MC110051>
- [27] Daniele, V., Taglieri, G. and Quaresima, R. (2008) The Nanolimes in Cultural Heritage Conservation: Characterisation and Analysis of the Carbonation Process. *Journal of Cultural Heritage*, 9, 294-301. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.culher.2007.10.007>
- [28] Hansen, E., et al. (2003) A Review of Selected Inorganic Consolidants and Protective Treatments for Porous Calcareous Materials. *Studies in Conservation*, 48, 13-25. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1179/sic.2003.48.Supplement-1.13>
- [29] Salvadori, B. and Dei, L. (2001) Synthesis of Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> Nanoparticles from Diols. *Langmuir*, 17, 2371-2374. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/la0015967>
- [30] Liu, T., et al. (2010) Synthesis and Characterization of Calcium Hydroxide Nanoparticles by Hydrogen Plasma-Metal Reaction Method. *Materials Letters*, 64, 2575-2577. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2010.08.050>
- [31] Roy, A. and Bhattacharya, J. (2010) Synthesis of Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> Nanoparticles by Wet Chemical Method. *Micro & Nano Letters*, 5, 131-134. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1049/mnl.2010.0020>
- [32] Daniele, V. and Taglieri, G. (2012) Synthesis of Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> Nanoparticles with the Addition of Triton X-100. Protective treatments on Natural Stones: Preliminary Results. *Journal of Cultural Heritage*, 13, 40-46. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.culher.2011.05.007>
- [33] Daniele, V., Taglieri, G., Gregori, A. and Volpe, R. (2011) New Nano-Cementing Materials. In: 2nd Workshop ACI Italy Chapter “The New Boundaries of Structural Concrete”, 227-234.
- [34] Ravikant Singh, Saket Jha, Anand Pandey, Anupam Dikshit and Rohit K. Mishra, 2018, Comparison of Antibacterial Activities of essential oils of *Juniperus communis* L., *Pinus roxburghii* Sarg. and *Taxodium distichum* L. against *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (JETIR)* Volume 5(8):661-669
- [35] Singh RK, Singh R, Singh P, Jha S, Pathak A, Shukla SK, Dikshit A, Mishra RK. 2018. Synergistic effect of Essential oils of three Gymnosperms against *Vibrio cholera*. *Inter. Jour. Res. Appl. Sci. Eng. Tech. (IJRASET)*. 6(3): 2321-9653.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:  
7.429



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)