



iJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 6 Issue: XII Month of publication: December 2018

DOI:

www.ijraset.com

Call: ☎ 08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Media Advertisements and Consumers Behaviour : A Study

Dr. Rambabu Lavuri¹, Maloth Naresh Naik²

¹Post Doctoral Fellow, Dept.of Business Management, Osmania University.

²Research Scholar, Dept.of Business Management, Osmania University.

Abstract: *The present research paper think about was directed to discover the effect of promotion activities on buyers conduct towards FMCG items. A survey was set up to evaluate the effect of promotions on FMCG with choosing items, with the sample of 239, the sample information has been gathered from choosing zone in the state capital of Telangana. Information examination was tried by means of unique measurements, rates, ANOVA, relationship and different relapse investigation by utilizing SPSS 20.0 Version. The consequence of ANOVAs the investigation demonstrated that notices, media vehicles, and ad appeals have a beneficial outcome on customer buying of choosing results of Fast moving buyer products, Correlations results demonstrated that there is a solid relationship between's media advertisements, ad appeals with consumer behavior with respect FMCG products in the selected zone. The results of Multiple regression demonstrated that there is a solid connection between's media vehicles and promotions bids. Various relapse results showed that advertisements, media vehicles and promotions advances having a noteworthy effect on purchaser buy choices.*

Keywords: *Media, Advertisements, Ads Appeals, Consumer behavior, FMCG, Media vehicles.*

I. INTRODUCTION

The objective of all association is to makes benefits and a promoting concern can do that by expanding its deals at profitable costs. This is conceivable, if the item is broadly agile to be the last buyers, channel individuals and mechanical clients and through influential contentions. It is induced to get it. Exposure makes a thing or a thought known to individuals. It is a general term demonstrating endeavors at mass intrigue. As close to home incitement of interest for an item administration or specialty unit by planting monetarily noteworthy news about it in a distributed medium or acquiring ideal introduction of it upon video TV or stage that isn't paid for by the support. Then again, publicizing indicates an explicit endeavor to advance an explicit item or administration at a specific expense. It is a strategy for attention. It constantly deliberates straightforwardly supported by the support and includes certain expense and thus is paid for. It is a typical type of non-individual correspondence around an association or potentially its items thought benefit and so forth that is transmitted to an intended interest group through a mass medium. In like manner, speech the term exposure and promoting are utilized synonymously. Publicizing assumes a critical job during the time spent moving the merchandise from the makers to the shoppers. With mass showcasing to disperse the yield of creation, the GDP may increment to a significant degree. Promoting builds mass advertising while at the same time supporting the purchaser to decisions and inclinations from among the assortment of items offered for his determination and choice. It was just in the last 50% of the nineteenth century, that mass publicizing, as we probably are aware it today, appeared. Large scale manufacturing turned into a reality, and channels of dispersion must be produced to adapt to the physical development of products, making a requirement for mass correspondence to illuminate buyers of the decisions accessible to them. We are altogether impacted with commercials in our everyday life.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Broad scholarly research has been directed on the brain science of feeling (e.g., Lazarus 1984) and the manners by which promotion evoked emotions may impact customer reaction to advertising correspondence (e.g., Batra and Ray 1986; Holbrook and Batra 1987). Researchers have likewise seen that publicizing may summon both positive and negative feelings when looking to convince. Without a doubt, Brown, Homer and Inman (1998, p. 115), recommend that from a viable viewpoint, "the overall quality of positive and negative inclination impacts conceivably could manage publicists' choices in regards to executional techniques."

As indicated by the Morden (1991) promoting gives the information about the item and make the thought as a top priority about it. Rosaldo (1989) referred to in Monaghan and only (2000) found that culture impacts all human movement is about culture. A new innovation gives the diverse item to the purchasers, it improves the item quality and change the style of item (Stanton and Futrell (1987). Geert Hofstede (1984) has been progressively learned about culture on work esteems; he says that adjustment in culture can

be effortlessly seen yet the assessment of the way of life in the human instinct is troublesome. Discernment is the psychological procedure, the data are gotten from the person from nature in the wake of sorting out it, and individual draws significance from it. Park and Lessing (1981) suggested that a superior comprehension of customer basic leadership process of the abstract learning in light of the fact that the target information is autonomously identified with the buyer discernment and their basic leadership conduct.

III. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

The goals of the investigation are to understand the accompanying

- A. To study the influence of media advertisements on Socio economic factors of consumers.
- B. To analyze the impact of the media vehicles procedure in buy choice of buyer on FMCG items.
- C. To analyze the effect of media ads appeals on shopper acquiring conduct towards FMCG items.

IV. HYPOTHESIS OF TE STUDY

The following are the hypothesis designed with above objective

- 1) *HO1*: There is no significant impact of media Advertisements on Socio economic factors of consumers.
- 2) *HO2*: There is no significant impact of media vehicles on buy choice of buyer of FMCG items.
- 3) *HO3*: There is no significant effect of ads appeals on shopper acquiring conduct towards FMCG items.

V. METHODOLOGY

- 1) *Research Design*: Descriptive research
 - 2) *Sources of data*: The examination is worried about the impact of media Advertisements on purchaser conduct towards FMCG items. The information gathered from Primary source and optional sources, essential wellspring of information is gathered from the respondents through organized poll and direct meetings with regard buyers. It was so as to gather information on media vehicles and media offers. Auxiliary information is gathered from different Journals, Periodicals, for example, Magazines, Business papers, and from subject related books and sites. FMCG Products: Toothpaste, Cool beverages, Snacks, Chocolates and Biscuits and so on.
 - 3) *Sample Size*: 174 Respondents From Hyderabad City
 - 4) *Data collection methods*: Data has been collected using a structure questionnaire through survey method and personal interview with consumers.
 - 5) *Sampling area*: Hyderabad city
 - 6) *Sampling Method*: Convenience sampling method has been used.
 - 7) *Statistical tools used*: Frequency, Mean, ANOVAs, Correlation and Multiplr Regression using SPSS 20.0.
- To test the reliability of the data, Cronbach's alpha test is conducted.

VI. RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION

| Table-1: Reliability Statistics | | |
|---------------------------------|--|------------|
| Cronbach's Alpha | Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items | N of Items |
| .791 | .473 | 21 |

Source: Author's findings

From the Table 1, it shown that the questionnaire is tested for its reliability and presented the results here. The questionnaire developed is pretested and validated through face validity as it was sent to a carefully selected sample of experts and it also has a sufficiently good reliability score. The result given the value of the as **0.791**. It indicates that, the data have a high reliability and validity.

Summary Item Statistics: It is evident that the summary of the means, variances, covariance and inter-item correlations are presented in the following table.

It is obvious the minimum and maximum, mean, Range, and variance values for item means, item variances are positive. Maximum mean is witnessed for Item means is 4.151. Maximum variance is 1.425, maximum inter item covariance is witnessed is.654 and maximum inter-item covariance is found to be. 575.

Table-2: Summary Item Statistics

| | Mean | Minimum | Maximum | Range | Maximum / Minimum | Variance | N of Items |
|-------------------------|-------|---------|---------|-------|-------------------|----------|------------|
| Item Means | 3.672 | 2.154 | 4.151 | 2.341 | 2.024 | .224 | 21 |
| Item Variances | .423 | .120 | 1.425 | 1.315 | 12.722 | .064 | 21 |
| Inter-Item Covariances | .042 | -.297 | .654 | 1.122 | -1.320 | .051 | 21 |
| Inter-Item Correlations | .054 | -.714 | .575 | 1.326 | -1.121 | .054 | 21 |

Source: Author's findings

1) *General Profile Of Respondents*: The frequency distribution of demographic variables is presented in the following table.

Table-3: Age in years

| | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|--------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|
| 20-25 | 50 | 20.9 | 20.9 |
| 26-30 | 67 | 28.0 | 49.0 |
| 31-35 | 65 | 27.2 | 76.2 |
| 36-40 | 42 | 17.6 | 93.7 |
| 41 and above | 15 | 6.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 239 | 100.0 | |

Source: Author's findings

More than 28.0% of the total respondents are in the age group of 26-30years, followed by 27.2%, 20.9%, 17.6% and 6.3% by the age of 31-35years, 20-25 years, 36-40 years and 41 years above respectively.

Table-4: Gender

| | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|--------|-----------|---------|--------------------|
| Male | 162 | 67.8 | 67.8 |
| Female | 77 | 32.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 239 | 100.0 | |

Source: Author's findings

From the above table it is evident that 67.8% of the total respondents belongs to Male followed with 32.2% by Female respective.

Table-5: Education

| | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|
| SSC | 21 | 8.8 | 8.8 |
| Intermediate | 8 | 3.3 | 12.1 |
| Degree | 85 | 35.6 | 47.7 |
| Pg Degree | 84 | 35.1 | 82.8 |
| Ph.D And Above | 41 | 17.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 239 | 100.0 | |

Source: Author's findings

From the results in the above table, it is observed that 35.6% of the respondents are studied Degree, followed by 35.1%, 17.2%, 8.8% and 3.3% studied Pg Degree, PhD and above and SSC education respectively.

Table-6: Occupation

| | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|
| Govt Employee | 25 | 10.5 | 10.5 |
| Private Employee | 57 | 23.8 | 34.3 |
| Business | 101 | 42.3 | 76.6 |
| Home Maker | 32 | 13.4 | 90.0 |
| Others | 24 | 10.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 239 | 100.0 | |

Source: Author's findings

It is evident from above table, that more than 42.3% of the respondents working as Business, and it is observed that 23.8%, 13.4%, 10.5% and 10.0% working as Private Employee, Home Maker, Govt Employee and others respectively.

Table-7: Income in rupees

| | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|
| Below 20,000 | 20 | 8.4 | 8.4 |
| 20,001-30,000 | 115 | 48.1 | 56.5 |
| 30,001 - 40,000 | 48 | 20.1 | 76.6 |
| 40,001-50,000 | 30 | 12.6 | 89.1 |
| 50,001 and above | 26 | 10.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 239 | 100.0 | |

Source: Author's findings

48.1% of family have an income between 20,001-30,000 followed by 20.1%, 12.6%, 10.9% and 8.4% with the income of 30,001 - 40,000, 40,001-50,000, 50,001 and above and below 20,000 have family income level of respondents

a) *Anova* : The analysis of variance (ANOVA) is used to determine whether there are any statistically significant differences between the means of two or more independent (unrelated) groups. It is conducted in order to understand whether there is any significant difference in opinions of demographical respondents and advertisements, the results are presented in the following table.

2) *H01*: There is no significant impact of media Advertisements on Socio economic factors of consumers.

Table- 8:ANOVA

| | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|-----|-------------|-------|------|
| Age in years | Between Groups | 63.405 | 18 | 3.523 | 2.893 | .000 |
| | Within Groups | 267.833 | 220 | 1.217 | | |
| | Total | 331.238 | 238 | | | |
| Gender | Between Groups | 4.010 | 18 | .223 | 1.017 | .001 |
| | Within Groups | 48.183 | 220 | .219 | | |
| | Total | 52.192 | 238 | | | |
| Education | Between Groups | 27.773 | 18 | 1.543 | 1.326 | .003 |
| | Within Groups | 255.925 | 220 | 1.163 | | |
| | Total | 283.699 | 238 | | | |
| Occupation | Between Groups | 18.575 | 18 | 1.032 | .862 | .001 |
| | Within Groups | 263.374 | 220 | 1.197 | | |
| | Total | 281.950 | 238 | | | |
| Income in rupees | Between Groups | 17.006 | 18 | .945 | .717 | .002 |
| | Within Groups | 289.696 | 220 | 1.317 | | |
| | Total | 306.703 | 238 | | | |

Source: Author's findings

a) *Interpretation:* It is evident that all 5 dimensions of demographical variable's F value is found to be statistical significant, meaning there by there is significant impact of the media advertisements on Socio economic variables, followed with values of age: $F(18,220) = 2.893$, $p < .05$, gender: $F(18,220) = 1.017$, $p < .05$, education $F(18,220) = 1.326$, $p < .05$, occupation $F(18,220) = .862$, $p < .05$ and income in rupees $F(18,220) = .717$, $p < .05$ respectively.

3) *HO2:* There is no significant impact of media vehicles on buy choice of buyer on FMCG items.

| Table-9: ANOVA | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----|-------------|-------|------|
| | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| TV | Between Groups | 69.652 | 18 | 3.870 | 7.079 | .000 |
| | Within Groups | 120.256 | 220 | .547 | | |
| | Total | 189.908 | 238 | | | |
| Radio | Between Groups | 27.180 | 18 | 1.510 | 3.811 | .105 |
| | Within Groups | 87.179 | 220 | .396 | | |
| | Total | 114.360 | 238 | | | |
| News paper and Magazine | Between Groups | 9.514 | 18 | .529 | 1.877 | .000 |
| | Within Groups | 61.967 | 220 | .282 | | |
| | Total | 71.481 | 238 | | | |
| Outdoor | Between Groups | 11.278 | 18 | .627 | 1.669 | .002 |
| | Within Groups | 82.571 | 220 | .375 | | |
| | Total | 93.849 | 238 | | | |
| Internet | Between Groups | 69.652 | 18 | 3.870 | 7.079 | .002 |
| | Within Groups | 120.256 | 220 | .547 | | |
| | Total | 189.908 | 238 | | | |

Source: Author's findings

a) *Interpretation:* It is observed from the above table, media vehicles like TV, News paper and Magazine, Outdoor and Internet F values found to be statistically significant, meaning there by there is significant impact of these three vehicle on buy choice of buyer on FMCG items, followed with values of TV: $F(18,220) = 7.079$, $p < .05$, Newspaper and magazine $F(18,220) = 1.877$, $p < .05$, Outdoor: $F(18,220) = 1.669$, $p < .05$, and internet: $F(18,220) = 7.079$, $p < .05$; but vehicle like Radio is not statistically significant, followed with values of radio $F(18,220) = 3.811$, $p > .05$.

4) *HO3:* There is no significant effect of ads appeals on shopper acquiring conduct towards FMCG items.

| Table- 10: ANOVA | | | | | | |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|-----|-------------|-------|------|
| | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Emotional | Between Groups | 2.707 | 18 | .150 | .913 | .004 |
| | Within Groups | 36.247 | 220 | .165 | | |
| | Total | 38.954 | 238 | | | |
| Action | Between Groups | 3.551 | 18 | .197 | 1.075 | .001 |
| | Within Groups | 40.373 | 220 | .184 | | |
| | Total | 43.925 | 238 | | | |
| Family Drama | Between Groups | 2.280 | 18 | .127 | .748 | .000 |
| | Within Groups | 37.260 | 220 | .169 | | |
| | Total | 39.540 | 238 | | | |
| Music and melody | Between Groups | 1.562 | 18 | .087 | .503 | .000 |
| | Within Groups | 37.978 | 220 | .173 | | |
| | Total | 39.540 | 238 | | | |

Source: Author's findings

- a) *Interpretation:* It is evident that all four dimensions of Advertisements appeal's F value is found to be statistically significant, meaning there by there is significant impact of the advertisements on demographical variables, followed with values of Emotional: $F(18,220) = .913$, $p < .05$, Action: $F(18,220) = 1.075$, $p < .05$, Family Drama: $F(18,220) = .748$, $p < .05$, Music and melody: $F(18,220) = .503$, $p < .05$
- 5) *Correlation:* correlation tends to be used measures the strength and direction of the linear relationship between demographical variable and with dimensions. The Pearson correlation coefficient measures the strength of the linear association between demographical variable and four major dimensions.

Table-11: Correlation between Variables and consumer behavior

| S.no | Variables | Correlation(r) |
|------|--------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Age | .255* |
| 2 | Gender | .172* |
| 3 | Education | .211** |
| 4 | Occupation | .162* |
| 5 | Income (in rupees) | -.101* |
| 6 | Media Vehicles | .282** |
| 7 | Media ads appeals | .255* |

Source: Author's findings

***. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).*

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).*

In order to develop further understanding of relationship among media vehicles, advertisementss appeal with all the demographical variables, the Pearson correlation techniques was calculated in the study, numerical values of the correlation coefficient reflect the degree of association between each of the advertisements constructs. From table-11, Correlation results show that there is a strong positive correlation between media vehicles and media ads appeals with consumer behaviour, Income negative correlation with the consumer behaviour.

- a) *Multiple Regression:* Multiple regression analysis is a set of statistical processes for estimating the relationships among variables. It is useful to learn more about the relationship between several independent or predictor variables and a dependent or criterion variable.
- 6) *H01:* There is no significant impact of media Advertisements on Socio economic factors of consumers.

Table-12: Model Summary

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate | F | Sig. |
|--|-------------------|----------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------|
| 1 | .290 ^a | .521 | .374 | .51240 | 3.241 | .000 ^b |
| a. Predictors: (Constant), Income in rupees, Gender, Occupation, Age in years, Education | | | | | | |

Source: Author's findings

R Square is found to be 0. .374, meaning there by that 37% of the variation in dependent variable is explained by predictors. Since the F value is found to be significant , the null hypothesis is rejected and alternative hypothesis accepted , meaning there by that there is a significant difference in the variation caused by predictors.

| Table-13: Coefficients ^a | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--------|------|
| Model | | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | T | Sig. |
| | | B | Std. Error | Beta | | |
| 1 | (Constant) | 0.920 | .297 | | 11.210 | .000 |
| | Age in years | .241 | .052 | .311 | 3.423 | .000 |
| | Gender | .162 | .024 | .141 | 1.121 | .002 |
| | Education | .147 | .043 | .157 | 1.342 | .001 |
| | Occupation | -.112 | .052 | -.132 | -1.341 | .001 |
| | Income in rupees | .129 | .037 | .152 | 1.003 | .002 |
| a. Dependent Variable: Media advertisements | | | | | | |

Source: Author's findings

The coefficient for age (0.241) is significantly different from 0 because its p-value is 0.000, which is smaller than 0.05. The coefficient for gender (0.162) is significantly different from 0 because its p-value is 0.002, which is smaller than 0.05. The coefficient for education (0.147) is statistically significantly different from 0 because its p-value is 0.001 definitely smaller than 0.05. The coefficient for occupation (-0.112) is statistically significant because its p-value of 0.001 is smaller than .05. The coefficient for income in rupees (.129) is a significantly different from 0 because its p-value is 0.002, which is smaller than 0.05.

7) *H02*: There is no significant impact of media vehicles on buy choice of buyer on FMCG items.

| Table-14 :Model Summary | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|----------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------|
| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate | f | Sig. |
| 1 | .312 ^a | .370 | .294 | .28215 | 5.372 | .000 ^b |
| a. Predictors: (Constant), internet, TV, Newspaper and Magazine, Radio, Outdoor | | | | | | |

R^2 value is found to be 0.294, meaning there by that 29% of the variation in dependent variable is explained by predictors. Since the F value is found to be significant, the null hypothesis is rejected and alternative hypothesis accepted, meaning there by that there is a significant difference in the variation caused by predictors.

| Table- 15: Coefficients ^a | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--------|------|
| Model | | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. |
| | | B | Std. Error | Beta | | |
| 1 | (Constant) | 4.527 | .284 | | 17.334 | .000 |
| | TV | .341 | .039 | .192 | .227 | .000 |
| | Radio | -.102 | .032 | .113 | 1.420 | .025 |
| | Newspaper and Magazine | .172 | .056 | .237 | -2.948 | .004 |
| | Outdoor | .106 | .044 | .112 | 1.273 | .005 |
| | Internet | .227 | .055 | .300 | -3.891 | .000 |
| a. Dependent Variable: Buy choice of Buyer | | | | | | |

Source: Author's findings

The coefficient for TV (0.341) is significantly different from 0 because its p-value is 0.000, which is smaller than 0.05. The coefficient for Radio (-.102) is not significantly different from 0 because its p-value is 0.025, which is larger than 0.05. The coefficient for Newspaper and Magazine (0.172) is statistically significantly different from 0 because its p-value is 0.004 definitely smaller than 0.05. The coefficient for Outdoor (0.106) is not statistically significant because its p-value of 0.005 is smaller than 0.05. The coefficient for Internet (.227) is significantly different from 0 because its p-value is 0.000, which is smaller than 0.05.

8) *H03*: There is no significant effect of ads appeals on shopper acquiring conduct towards FMCG items.

| Table-16: Model Summary | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|----------------------------|--------|-------------------|
| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate | f | Sig. |
| 1 | .428 ^a | .513 | .447 | .12457 | 75.327 | .000 ^b |

a. Predictors: (Constant), Emotional, Action, Music and melody, Family drama

Source: Author's findings

R^2 value is found to be 0.447, meaning there by that 44.7% of the variation in dependent variable is explained by predictors. Since the F value is found to be significant, the null hypothesis is rejected and alternative hypothesis accepted, meaning there by that there is a significant difference in the variation caused by predictors.

| Table-17 :Coefficients ^a | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|-------|------|
| Model | | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. |
| | | B | Std. Error | Beta | | |
| 1 | (Constant) | 1.624 | .196 | | 1.427 | .000 |
| | Emotional | .194 | .032 | .124 | 2.142 | .001 |
| | Action | .182 | .021 | .133 | 1.175 | .002 |
| | Music and melody | .257 | .043 | .257 | 3.235 | .000 |
| | Family drama | .428 | .031 | .524 | 7.356 | .000 |

a. Dependent Variable: Consumers behavior

Source: Author's findings

The coefficient for Emotional (0.194) is significantly different from 0 because its p-value is 0.001, which is smaller than 0.05. The coefficient for Action (0.182) is not significantly different from 0 because its p-value is 0.002, which is larger than 0.05. The coefficient for Music and melody (0.257) is statistically significantly different from 0 because its p-value is 0.000 definitely smaller than 0.05. The coefficient for Family drama (0.428) is statistically significant because its p-value of 0.000 is smaller than 0.05.

VII. LIMITATION

- The study will be carried out to understand the impact of media advertisements on consumer behavior.
- The sample selected may not represent the whole population. Hence, the limitation of generalization will be there.
- Since few categories in FMCG products are considered, consumer preference in whole FMCG sector cannot be measured.

VIII. CONCLUSION

The present paper inferred that, effects of media promotions on buyer conduct towards FMCG items. In present period media notices assume a crucial job for imparting target clients by the compelling message, and it has capacity to conveying last client by the best visual and sound correspondence. As for the results showed that More than 28.0% of the total respondents are in the age group of 26-30years, followed by 27.2%, 20.9%, 17.6% and 6.3% with the age of 31-35years, 20-25 years, 36-40 years and 41 years above, followed with evident that 67.8% of the total respondents belongs to Male followed with 32.2% by Female, 35.6% of the respondents are studied Degree, followed by 35.1%, 17.2%, 8.8% and 3.3% studied Pg Degree, PhD and above and SSC, 48.1% of family have an income between 20,001-30,000 followed by 20.1%, 12.6%, 10.9% and 8.4% with the income of 30,001 - 40,000, 40,001-50,000, 50,001 and above and below 20,000 have family income level of respondents

The result of ANOVAs the study showed that media advertisements, media vehicles, and advertisements appeals have positive effect on consumer behavior with of Fast moving consumer goods, Correlations results showed that there is a strong correlation between media ads appeals and media vehicles on consumer behavior, multiple regression results indicated that media ads, media vehicles and media strategy having significant impact on consumer behavior.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.R.Krishnan, "Determinants of Customer Loyalty Factors and its Impact in Consumer Durable White Goods Market in Chennai City, Tamilnadu-A Study", International Journal of Management (IJM), Volume 2, Issue 1, 2011, pp. 15 - 29, ISSN Print: 0976-6502, ISSN Online: 0976-6510.
- [2] Aaker, A. D., Batra, R., & Myers, G.J. (1996). Advertising management (5th ed.). New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- [3] Berthon, P.L., Pitt, F. and Watson, R.T.,(1996), The World Wide Web as an advertising medium: Toward an understanding of conversion efficiency. Journal of Advertising Research, 36: 43-54.
- [4] Bhat, S., Bevans, M. and Sengupta, S., (2002), Measuring users' web activity to evaluate and enhance advertising effectiveness. Journal of Advertising, 31: 97-106.
- [5] Bloch, P.H., Sherrell, D.L. and Ridgway, N.M., (1986), Consumer search: An extended framework. Journal of Consumer Research, 13: 119-126.
- [6] Calder, B., & Sternthal, B. (1980). Television advertising wearout: An information processing view. Journal of Marketing Research, 17, 173-186.
- [7] Cho, C.H., (2003), Factors influencing clicking of banner ads on the WWW. CyberPsychology & Behavior, 6: 201-215.
- [8] Clark, M. E., Brock, T. C., & Stewart, D. W. (1994). Attention, attitude, and affect in response to advertising. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- [9] Danaher, P.J. and Mullarkey, G.W., (2003), Factors affecting online advertising recall: A study of students. Journal of Advertising Research, 43: 252-267.
- [10] David, J. (2001). Effects of television advertising on child's purchase behavior.
- [11] Ducoffe, R.H. (1996), Advertising value and advertising on the web. Journal of Advertising Research, 36: 21-35.
- [12] Edwards, J., (2005), Pharma formulates plans to move marketing from TV. Brandweek, 46: 5.
- [13] Engel, F. J., Blackwell, D. R., & Miniard, P. (1986). Consumer behavior. New York: CBS
- [14] Faber, R.J., Lee, M. and Nan, X., (2004), Advertising and the consumer information environment online. American Behavioral Scientist, 48: 447-466.
- [15] Gong, W. and Maddox, L.M., (2003), Measuring web advertising effectiveness, Journal of Advertising Research, 43: 34-49.
- [16] Newman, E.J., Stem Jr. and Sprott, D.E., (2004), Banner advertisement and web site congruity effects on consumer web site perceptions. Industrial Management & data Systems, 104: 273-281.
- [17] Palanisamy, R., (2004), Impact of gender differences on online consumer characteristics on web-based banner advertising effectiveness. Journal of Services Research, 4: 45-74.
- [18] R. Punniyamoorthy, Dr. B. Parthiban, "An Empirical Study On Impact Of Advertisement With Reference To Fast Moving Consumer Goods In Chennai", International Journal Of Management (Ijm), Issn 0976 – 6502(Print), ISSN 0976 - 6510(Online), Volume 6, Issue 1, January (2015), Pp. 280-290



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)