



iJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 7 Issue: X Month of publication: October 2019

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2019.10129>

www.ijraset.com

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

A New Approach for Getting Optimality of Assignment Problems

Bhavika M Patel¹, Mitali J. Doshi²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, Dharmsinh Desai University, Nadiad, India

Abstract: *This article is devoted to present a new approach of zero suffix method (NAZ_s), which is different from existing zero suffix methods for solving assignment problem. The assignment problem is one of the fundamental combinatorial optimization problems which arise from diverse situation in real life where we have to find an optimal way to allocate m-resources to n-activity in an injective ways.*

The results of computational experiments shows that the proposed approach of solving assignment problem is free from degeneracy, which gives better approximation to reach optimality and hence helps in making decision for taking appropriate action while handling assignment problems. Also the proposed approach is quite simple, easy to understand, apply and different from the existing approaches for solving assignment problem.

In this article we solve some real life problem numerically and find its optimal solution by using the proposed NAZ_s method and compare the result with the Hungarian method to prove the validity of proposed approach.

Keywords: *Assignment problem, optimal solution, zero suffix method, Hungarian method and NAZ_s.*

I. INTRODUCTION

The assignment problem arises due to the deviation between the available resources and the degree of the productiveness for performing different types of activity.

Assignment problem is one of the earliest application and special case of linear programming problem, which deals with allocation of various resources to various activities on one to one basis.

Assignment problem is visualized by its two aspects; the assignment represents the fundamental combinatorial structure, while the objective function reflects the desire to be optimized.

Nonetheless the inquisition is 'How to carry out an assignment with the aim of optimality and simultaneously satisfy all the related constraints?' 'To answer the above question, different methods are available, such as Enumeration method, Transportation model, Simplex method, Hungarian method. It does in such a way that the total cost / time involved in the process is minimized whereas profit/ sales are maximized.

The assignment model gives a simple approach for solving these types of problems.

The most popular and basic combinatorial method for assignment problem is developed and published by Kuhn [1] which is known as the Hungarian method.

Abdur [2] proposed a new approach to solve an unbalanced assignment problem by converting it to balanced one. Ahmed and Ahmad [3], Dutta and Pal [4] have developed modified versions of Hungarian method. Basirzadeh [5] introduced Hungarian-like method, called Ones Assignment Method and Ghadle and Muley [6] introduced Revised Ones assignment method by taking a simple modification on Ones Assignment Method which can be applied for assignment problems. Singh et al. [7] has done comparative analysis between Hungarian and MOA method. Sadeghi [8] introduced new algorithm for Transportation problem. Sharma et al. [9] introduced modified approach of zero suffix method for Transportation problem. Sudha and Vanisri [10] has developed an improved zero suffix method for optimal solution of an assignment problem.

In this article we develop a modified approach of zero suffix method which is different from the present methods of solving assignment problem. Our method is based on find the suffix value of zeroes which is obtained by row and column reduction in cost matrix. Assign the resource to that activity that has maximum suffix value. The main concept of assignment problem is to find optimal allocation of activity to an equal number of resources. An assignment problem is optimal if it optimizes the total cost or effectiveness of doing all the jobs.

The organization of this article is given as follow: section 2 discusses the mathematical formulation of assignment problem. Section 3 elaborates the detailed algorithm with new approach for solving assignment problem. In section 4 numerical examples have been discussed and section 5 concludes about the optimality.

II. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION OF ASSIGNMENT PROBLEM:

As the assignment problem is a particular case of the transportation problem, it can be formulated as a linear programming problem (LPP). Suppose there are n activities to be performed by using n resources. Each task must be performed by using one and only one resource and each resource is used to perform one and only one activity.

$$\text{Optimize } W = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}x_{ij}$$

Subject to the constraints

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_{ij} = 1, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$$

Where,

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } i^{\text{th}} \text{ resource is assigned to } j^{\text{th}} \text{ activity} \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Associated to each assignment problem there exist one matrix called cost matrix, namely $[c_{ij}]$, which is always a square matrix and is defined as follow

$$[c_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & \dots & \dots & c_{1n} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & \dots & \dots & c_{2n} \\ | & | & & & | \\ | & | & & & | \\ c_{n1} & c_{n2} & \dots & \dots & c_{nm} \end{bmatrix}$$

Where, c_{ij} = cost of effectiveness of assigning i^{th} resources to j^{th} activity.

III.A NEW APPROACH FOR SOLVING ASSIGNMENT PROBLEM:

The algorithm of NAZ_S method for finding an optimal solution of assignment problem consists of following steps:

- 1) *Step 1:* Construct the assignment problem (Minimization problem).
- 2) *Step 2 Row Reduction:* Find minimum element of each row and then subtract that row minimum element from every element of corresponding row of cost matrix.
- 3) *Step 3 Column Reduction:* Find minimum element of each column and then subtract that column minimum element from every element of corresponding column of cost matrix. From step 2 and step 3 we obtain reduced estimated cost matrix say $[d_{ij}]$.
- 4) *Step 4* In matrix $[d_{ij}]$ there will be at least one zero in each row and column. Find suffix value of all the zeros denoted as Z_S using below formula,

$$Z_S = \frac{\text{sum of } a_{ij}}{\text{No. of } a_{ij} \times \text{No. of } b_{ij}}$$

Where, a_{ij} = non zero entries in i^{th} row and j^{th} column of $[d_{ij}]$

b_{ij} = zero entries in i^{th} row and j^{th} column of $[d_{ij}]$

- 5) *Step 5* Select the maximum value of Z_S from all the suffix values. If it has unique maximum suffix value then assign that resource to corresponding activity. Once allocation is done delete that row and corresponding column from $[d_{ij}]$, which generate new reduced estimated cost matrix. Next check whether there exist at least one zero in each row and column in new reduced estimated cost matrix. If not, then apply row / column reduction as in steps 2 and 3 whichever is applicable. Now find Z_S of new reduced estimated cost matrix using Step 4 and repeat the process.

6) *Step 6:* If there are more than one maximum value of Z_s then for breaking up the tie for allocation we use following procedure: Observe the suffix position for the resources for which tie occurs and consider the cost entries from the original cost matrix $[c_{ij}]$ corresponding to that suffix position. Now take the cost difference of maximum and minimum entry from suffix positions in $[c_{ij}]$

Alternatively, $C.D[R(i)] = Max_{CS} - Min_{CS}$

Where $C.D[R(i)] =$ Cost difference for resource i ,

$Max_{CS} =$ Maximum cost value corresponding to suffix position and

$Min_{CS} =$ Minimum cost value corresponding to suffix position.

Next consider maximum value from all $C.D[R(i)]$ to allocate i^{th} resource to j^{th} activity.

7) *Step 7:* While performing Step 6, if more than one maximum value of $C.D[R(i)]$ exist then for tie breaking situation we consider minimum cost value from the cost values corresponding to those suffix positions. Then allocate the resource having minimum cost value to the corresponding activity.

8) *Step 8:* Repeat Step 2 to Step 7 until all the resources are allocated to different activities.

a) *Author's Remark:* The above algorithm can be applied to maximization assignment problems also by converting them to minimization problem by subtraction all the entries of the cost matrix from the maximum cost entry.

Certain illustrations of assignment problem are solved on the basis of above algorithm.

IV. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

1) *Illustration 1:* There are six resources to be allocated to six activities. Only one resource can be assigned to one activity. The amount of time\ cost in hours required for the resource per activity are given in the following matrix.

Table I

$[C_{ij}] =$

	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
A	9	8	7	5	3	10
B	6	4	2	8	7	9
C	8	10	9	6	4	12
D	9	6	5	4	1	11
E	3	5	6	7	11	8
F	2	4	3	5	8	9

Solution: On applying row reduction we get

TABLE II

	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
A	6	5	4	2	0	7
B	4	2	0	6	5	7
C	4	6	5	2	0	8
D	8	5	4	3	0	10
E	0	2	3	4	8	5
F	0	2	1	3	6	7

On applying column reduction we get

TABLE III

	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
A	6	3	4	0	0	2
B	4	0	0	4	5	2
C	4	4	5	0	0	3
D	8	3	4	1	0	5
E	0	0	3	2	8	0
F	0	0	1	1	6	2

Now find the suffix value for those positions whose value is zero & the suffix value is mentioned in the bracket []. The suffix value is calculated by using above formula Z_s .

TABLE IV

$[d_{ij}] =$

	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
A	6	3	4	0 [0.96]	0 [1.21]	2
B	4	0 [0.89]	0 [1.78]	4	5	2
C	4	4	5	0 [1.00]	0 [1.25]	3
D	8	3	4	1	0 [1.67]	5
E	0 [1.25]	0 [0.77]	3	2	8	0 [1.13]
F	0 [1.33]	0 [0.71]	1	1	6	2

From all of the above suffix value, 1.78 is the maximum so assign the resource B to activity III. Next delete the 2nd row and 3rd column from the $[d_{ij}]$ and apply the same process.

Table V

	I	II	IV	V	VI
A	6	3	0 [0.83]	0 [1.25]	2
C	4	4	0 [0.83]	0 [1.25]	3
D	8	3	1	0 [1.72]	5
E	0 [1.40]	0 [1.00]	2	8	0 [1.22]
F	0 [1.50]	0 [1.05]	1	6	2

From all of the above suffix value, 1.72 is the maximum so assign the resource D to the activity V. Next delete the 3rd row and 4th column from the table 5 and apply the same process.

TABLE VI

	I	II	IV	VI
A	6	3	0 [1.40]	2
C	4	4	0 [1.40]	3
E	0 [1.00]	0 [0.75]	2	0 [0.75]
F	0 [1.08]	0 [0.83]	1	2

In table 6 there are more than one maximum value of $Z_s = 1.40$. So for breaking up the tie we use

$$C.D[R(A)] = Max_{CS} - Min_{CS} = 5 - 0 = 5$$

$$C.D[R(C)] = Max_{CS} - Min_{CS} = 6 - 0 = 6$$

$$Max \{ C.D[R(A)], C.D[R(C)] \} = 6$$

So, we allocate resource C to activity IV. Next delete the 2nd row and 3rd column from the table VI we get

Table VII

	I	II	VI
A	6	3	2
E	0	0	0
F	0	0	2

In the first row of table VII there is no zero so apply row reduction and then same process

Table VIII

	I	II	VI
A	4	1	0 [1.17]
E	0 [1.00]	0 [0.25]	0 [0.50]
F	0 [1.00]	0 [0.50]	2

From all of the above suffix, 1.17 is the maximum so assign the resource A to the activity VI. Next delete the 1st row and 3rd column from the above table VIII and apply the same process.

Table IX

	I	II
E	0 [0]	0 [0]
F	0 [0]	0 [0]

In table (ix) all the suffix values are same so for breaking up the tie we use

$$C.D[R(E)] = Max_{CS} - Min_{CS} = 5 - 3 = 2$$

$$C.D[R(F)] = Max_{CS} - Min_{CS} = 4 - 2 = 2$$

$$Max \{ C.D[R(E)], C.D[R(F)] \} = 2$$

The same value appears for both the resources. Hence for breaking such tie we consider minimum cost value from the cost values corresponding to those suffix positions. Then allocate the resource having minimum cost value to the corresponding activity.

$$i.e \ Min \{ C_{51}, C_{52}, C_{61}, C_{62} \} = \ Min \{ 3, 5, 2, 4 \} = 2$$

Therefore resource F is allocated to the activity I. Hence resource E is allocated to the activity II.

Final allocations are as follows $A \rightarrow IV, B \rightarrow III, C \rightarrow IV, D \rightarrow V, E \rightarrow I, F \rightarrow II$

& the minimum assignment cost = Rs (10 + 2 + 6 + 1 + 3 + 4)

$$= \text{Rs } 26$$

Next we consider maximization problem and its optimal solution.

2) *Illustration 2:* An marketing firm have five sales person, and five products have to be sold. Sales done by each of the sales person is given in the effectiveness matrix. The problem is to maximize the sales.

TABLE X

	I	II	III	IV	V
A	32	38	40	28	40
B	40	24	28	21	36
C	41	27	33	30	37
D	22	38	41	36	36
E	29	33	40	35	39

Convert the above maximization problem to minimization problem by subtracting all the values of the cost matrix from the maximum cost value. Here maximum cost value is 41.

Table XI

	I	II	III	IV	V
A	9	3	1	13	1
B	1	17	13	20	5
C	0	14	8	11	4
D	19	3	0	5	5
E	12	8	1	6	2

On applying row and column reduction we get

Table XII

	I	II	III	IV	V
A	8	0	0	7	0
B	0	14	12	14	4
C	0	12	8	6	4
D	19	1	0	0	5
E	11	5	0	0	1

Now find the suffix value for those positions whose value is zero & the suffix value is mentioned in the bracket []. The suffix value is calculated by using the above formula for Z_s

TABLE XIII

	I	II	III	IV	V
A	8	0 [2.61]	0 [1.75]	7	0 [1.61]
B	0 [5.85]	14	12	14	4
C	0 [4.85]	12	8	6	4
D	19	1	0 [2.25]	0 [2.89]	5
E	11	5	0 [1.75]	0 [2.44]	1

$$[d_{ij}] =$$

From all of the above suffix value, 5.85 is the maximum so assign the resource B to activity I. Next delete the 2nd row and 1st column from the $[d_{ij}]$ and apply the same process.

Table XIV

	II	III	IV	V
A	0	0	7	0
C	12	8	6	4
D	1	0	0	5
E	5	0	0	1

In the second row of table XIV there is no zero so apply row reduction in that row and then same process

Table XV

	II	III	IV	V
A	0 [1.75]	0 [1.10]	7	0 [1.08]
C	8	4	2	0 [2.00]
D	1	0 [0.83]	0 [1.25]	5
E	5	0 [0.83]	0 [1.25]	1

From all of the above suffix value, 2.00 is the maximum so assign the resource C to the activity V. Next delete the 2nd row and 4th column from the table. XV and apply the same process.

TABLE XVI

	II	III	IV
A	0 [2.16]	0 [1.75]	7
D	1	0 [0.25]	0 [1.33]
E	5	0 [1.25]	0 [2.00]

From all of the above suffix value, 2.16 is the maximum so assign the resource A to the activity II. Next delete the 1st row and 1st column from the table XVI and apply the same process.

TABLE XVII

	III	IV
D	0 [0]	0 [0]
E	0 [0]	0 [0]

In table XVII all the suffix values are same so for breaking up the tie we use

$$C.D[R(D)] = Max_{CS} - Min_{CS} = 5 - 0 = 5$$

$$C.D[R(E)] = Max_{CS} - Min_{CS} = 6 - 1 = 5$$

$$Max\{C.D[R(D)], C.D[R(E)]\} = 5$$

The same value appears for both the resources. Hence for breaking such tie we consider minimum cost value from the cost values corresponding to those suffix positions. Then allocate the resource having minimum cost value to the corresponding activity.

$$\text{Min}\{C_{43}, C_{44}, C_{53}, C_{54}\} = \text{Min}\{0, 5, 1, 6\} = 0$$

Therefore resource D is allocated to the activity III. Hence resource E is allocated to the activity IV.

Final allocations are as follows $A \rightarrow II, B \rightarrow I, C \rightarrow V, D \rightarrow III, E \rightarrow IV$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{\& the maximum sale} &= \text{Rs } (38+40+37+41+35) \\ &= \text{Rs } 191 \end{aligned}$$

V. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF NAZ_s WITH HUNGARIAN METHOD

Table XVIII

	NAZ _s Method	Hungarian Method	Optimum
Illustration 1	26	26	26
Illustration 2	191	191	191

VI. CONCLUSION

In this article, a new method is introduced for solving assignment problems. The NAZ_s method can be used for both maximization as well as minimization type of problems. Here, we have developed a new approach for finding value of zero suffix and solving assignment problems which give optimal solution. Moreover the optimal solution obtained from our method is same as that of optimal solution obtained by Hungarian method.

Thus the proposed method is easy to understand and apply. Also it has different approach from existing methods. Hence it will help in having precise decisions while dealing with real world problems.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kuhn HW, The Hungarian method for the assignment problem, *Neval Research Logistics*.1955; 2: 83-97.
- [2] Abdur R, An Alternative Approach for Solving Unbalanced Assignment Problems, *Jahangirnagar University Journal of Science*. 2017; 40(2): 45-56.
- [3] Ahmed A1, Ahmad A, A New Method for Finding an Optimal Solution of Assignment Problem, *International Journal of Modern Mathematical Sciences*. 2014; 12(1): 10-15.
- [4] Dutta J, Pal SC, A note on Hungarian method for solving Assignment problem, *Journal of Information and Optimization Science*. 2015; 36(5): 451-459.
- [5] Basirzadeh, H, Ones Assignment Method for solving assignment problems, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. 2012; 6(47): 2345-2355.
- [6] Ghadle KP, Muley YM, Revised Ones Assignment Method for solving assignment problem, *Journal of Statistics and Mathematics*. 2013; 4: 147-150.
- [7] Singh S, Dubey GC, Shrivastava R, A Comparative Analysis of Assignment Problem, *Journal of Engineering*. 2012; 2(8): 01-15.
- [8] Sadeghi J, A Method for Solving the Transportation Problem, *Journal of Statistics and Management System*. 2018; 21(5): 817-837.
- [9] Sharma S, Shanker Rama, Shanker Ravi, A Modified Zero Suffix Method for Finding an Optimal Solution for Transportation Problems, *European Journal of Scientific Research*. 2013; 104(4): 673-676
- [10] Sudha S, Dr.Vanisri D, Finding an Optimal Solution of an Assignment Problem by Improved Zero Suffix Method, *International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology*. 2015; 3(XI): 502 – 507.



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)