



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 8 Issue: IV Month of publication: April 2020 DOI:

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🛇 08813907089 🕴 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

An Attempt of Project Management by Critical Chain and Dynamic Progress Method – A Case Study of G+1 Residential Building Project

Sandeep M Joshi¹, Dr. Navnath V Khadake²

¹Imperial College of Engineering, Wagholi, Pune, Maharashtra ²Ph.D. (Civil), M.B.A. (Proj. Mgmt), FIE, FIV, FICA, MISH, C' Engr, Head civil Engg Deptt, Imperial college of Engineering and Research, Wagholi.

Abstract: A Attempt made to study all dimensions related to project management technique by using a widely used Critical path analysis. CPA was introduced back in 1950's ,which at that time was a major improvement .Since then it is widely used accepted approach for project planning and effective management.

CPM is based on "duration "as a main deciding factor to calculate end date of project and all its dependent factors to calculate and end date of project and all its dependent like critical activities and path. Using CPM as a duration based approach, often it provides estimates that are 60% under the actual completion schedule and @30% under the actual cost.

But now a day's projects are becoming very complex in nature and demands integration of sizable amounts of agencies involved, it is becoming very essential for a project manager to develop an approach which suits the requirements and "duration" as an outcome. Critical chain project management and Dynamic Progress Methods can be new alternative methods which can overcome the drawbacks of CPM method.

DPM starts resources as the foundation and builds upwards from there. A case study under consideration gives a comparison of CPM Vs DPM and scope of future research in DPM .Also the use of softwares MSP 2016 and its limitation on Critical path method is very important.

Keywords: Project management technique, Critical path method, Duration, Critical chain project management, Dynamic progress method

I. INTORDUCTION

When introduced Critical path method was a great improvement in the field of project planning and management James E Kelley.Jr.and Morgan R. Walker officially introduced the CPM to the world by an article titled "Critical path planning and scheduling" published in March 1959.

A. Critical Path Schedule Approach

Critical path is defined as the pathway of interconnected activities for which there is no extra time available to perform tasks. In some cases, there may be several critical paths through project that have no extra time available, in that case, pathway with longest duration is often considered the critical path. There are mainly 3 types of dependencies between predecessor task (represented by first letter) and a successor task (represented by second letter) are -

- 1) *Finish to Start*: The predecessor task must finish before the successor task can start. This is most frequently used relationship to define relationships between activities.
- 2) Start to Start: Both the predecessor and successor task must start on same time.
- 3) Finish to Finish: Both the predecessor and successor task must finish on same time.

II. OBJECTIVES

- A. To study in Critical path methodology in detail with its limitations.
- *B.* To study suitability of Dynamic Progress Method, Critical chain project management, which overcomes limitation of Critical path method.
- C. A case study of construction of ground floor residential building.



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue IV Apr 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

- A. From the various literature reviews, the critical path method analysis was studied in detail with all its merits and demerits and advents of Dynamic progress method compared with real life case study project.
- B. In CPM method since each duration of activity is based on totally experience of project management team and duration as output, there are very likely chances that duration assigned is very approximate and does not relate to actual duration of task completion and vary up to 60% under estimated. This uncertainty will not give practical projection of end date of completion of project and also misinterpret the calculation of critical path. The limitation of CPM is also applicable when resources are allocated to a activity, CPM approach with Microsoft planning as computer tool will not take account the efficiency of each resource and evenly distributed to all over span for particular activity. The studies show that cost projection given by this approach are @ 40% accurate.
- *C.* The recent studies made to overcome the limitation of CPM are adopting resource oriented approach that is resource are main inputs and deciding factor. The approaches are critical chain project and Dynamic progress method which tries to overcome the shortfalls of existing system. The use of software like Pmblox can give rise to solution.

IV. METHODOLOGY

The present study considers CPM approach using Microsoft project planning 2016 as a planning software which defines critical path activities, and steps are as follows –



The methodology of this paper is represented in Fig - 1.





ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue IV Apr 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

A. 5 Critical Path Network For Project with MSP 2016

The project considered here is a construction of residential building of total estimated duration is of 306 days with critical path as defined by Red color in Gantt Chart view.

Gantt Chart	Task Usage *	Retwork	Diagram Team Resource Sheet Planner Resource Sheet Sort Or Planner Resource Sheet Planner Resource Sheet Plann	utline Tables	Highlight: [No F Filter: [No F Group by: [No C	lighlight] * Tir ilter] * M iroup] *	nescale: onths *	Coom Entire Select Project Task	ed S		B Switch Windows Arrange All New Window Hide ~	Macros	
	la	ask views	Resource Views	L	ata		4	toom		split view	Window	Macros	
×	4												
	0	Task Mode	Task Name	- Duration	- Start	Finish	Predecess: +	Free Slack 👻	Total Slack	1st Quarter Jan Feb Mar	2nd Quarter 3rd Quarter Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep	4th Quarter 1st Quarter Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb	2nd Quarter Mar Apr May Jun
	0	-	G+1 Residential Building works schedule	306 days	01/01/20	03/03/21		0 days	0 days				8
	1	-	Lineout works	6 days	01/01/20	08/01/20		0 days	0 days	-			
	2	-4	plinth works	21 days	07/01/20	04/02/20	1FS-2 days	23 days	23 days				
	3	-4	procurement of material for slab	42 days	09/01/20	06/03/20	1	0 days	0 days	t and the second			
	4	-	1st slab concreting	21 days	09/03/20	06/04/20	2,3	0 days	0 days	+	h		
	5	-	2nd slab concreting	14 days	07/04/20	24/04/20	4	0 days	0 days		1		
	6	-4	Curing and deshuttering of 1st slab	21 days	07/04/20	05/05/20	4	0 days	35 days		* II.		
	7	-4	Ground floor masonary upto lintel level	14 days	06/05/20	25/05/20	6	0 days	35 days				
	8	-4	curing and deshuttering 2nd slab	21 days	27/04/20	25/05/20	5	0 days	0 days		*		
	9	-4	masonary above lintel level	14 days	26/05/20	12/06/20	7	188 days	188 days				
-	10	-	1st floor masonary	14 days	26/05/20	12/06/20	8	0 days	0 days		i		
	11	-4	Ground floor internal plaster	21 days	26/05/20	23/06/20	7	0 days	35 days		* *		
	12	-4	GR Floor wc bath waterproofing	21 days	24/06/20	22/07/20	11	160 days	160 days				
	13	-4	1st floor masonary above lintel level	21 days	15/06/20	13/07/20	10	0 days	0 days		t		
5	14		First floor internal plaster	21 days	14/07/20	11/08/20	13	0 days	0 days		*		
	15	-4	Milestone activity	1 day	12/08/20	12/08/20	14,11	0 days	0 days		*		
	16		External plaster	28 days	13/08/20	21/09/20	15	0 days	0 days		The second se		
	17		First floor waterproofing	14 days	13/08/20	01/09/20	15	131 days	131 days				
	18	-	FF Tiling	14 days	24/08/20	10/09/20	15FS+7 days	42 days	42 days		+		
	19	-4	External plaster 2 sides	28 days	01/10/20	09/11/20	16FS+7 days	0 days	0 days				
	20		Milestone event 2	1 day	10/11/20	10/11/20	19,18	0 days	0 days			+	
	21	-	Terrace waterproofing	28 days	11/11/20	18/12/20	20	0 days	0 days			t in the second s	
	22		Electrical works	21 days	11/11/20	09/12/20	20	7 days	7 days				
	23		plumbing	14 days	11/11/20	30/11/20	20	14 days	14 days				
	24	-	fixing shutters	7 days	21/12/20	29/12/20	22,23,21	0 days	0 days			1	
	25	-1	External nainting	21 days	30/12/20	27/01/21	24	0 days	0 davs				
EADY		TACKS - AL						_			5		
		EW TASKS : AL	NO SCHEDOLED										



EILE	5- TASK	¢ - ∓	GANTT CHAR	T TOOLS	G+1 Re	sidential sche	dule for paper 2.n	npp - Project Prof	fessional				? – & × Sian in A ×
Gantt Chart • U	Task sage -	Network [Calendar Other View	Diagram - Team & Concernent Sheet - Planner - Concernent Sheet - Planner - Concernent Sheet - Sort	Outline Tables	Highlight: [No H Filter: [No f Group by: [No G	Highlight] * Filter] * Group] *	Timescale: Months •	Zoom Entire Se Project	C Timeline Details		Switch Windows *	Macros	- J - G - K
× ✓	4	SK VIEWS	Resource views	L	ata			200m	SI	DIIT VIEW	window	Macros	~
		Task	Task Mana	Duration	Charles	Finish	Bradessee	Free Clark	Tetel Cleak	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter 3rd Quarter 4t	Quarter 1st Quarte	n 2nd Quarter
21	•	Mode •	Task Name	Duration	* Stdft *	* FINISH	 Predecesso - 	O dava		Jan Peb Mar .	Apr May Jun Jui Aug Sep O	tt Nov Dec Jan Peb	mar Apr May Jun
21	-		Float inclusion	28 days	11/11/20	16/12/20	20	U days	0 days				
22	_		Electrical works	21 days	11/11/20	09/12/20	20	7 days	7 days			- 1	
23	-	-+	piumping	14 days	11/11/20	30/11/20	20	14 days	14 days			-	
24	-		Tixing shutters	7 days	21/12/20	29/12/20	22,23,21	U days	0 days			1	
25	_	-	External painting	21 days	30/12/20	2//01/21	24	0 days	0 days				
26		-	internal painting	21 days	30/12/20	27/01/21	24	0 days	0 days				
27	_	-4	Completion of painint milestone	1 day	28/01/21	28/01/21	25,26	0 days	0 days			1	
28		-4	Oilpaint	7 days	29/01/21	08/02/21	27	0 days	0 days				
29		-4	Cleaning	7 days	09/02/21	17/02/21	28	0 days	0 days			<u>_</u>	
30		-4	Possesion	10 days	18/02/21	03/03/21	29	0 days	0 days				
RT													_
HA													
E													
AN													
G													
	-												
	-												
	-												
	-												
	_												
													×
4									l.	4			: •
READY	S NE	W TASKS : AU	TO SCHEDULED								2		+
	ρT	ype here t	to search O	н 🌖	P3 🔒			•	XI			^ 🦟 💼 🕬 ENG	14:14

Fig no 3 - Second part of schedule with durations defined for activities



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue IV Apr 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

Here Critical path as defined and critical activits as listed are as follows –

FILE Gantt Chart • U	TASK Task sage	K RESOU	RCE REPORT PROJECT VIEW FORMAT Diagram Team Resource Usage A Sort O Planner Cother Views Panner Views Panner Views	ten Tables	Highlight: [No H Filter: Critic Group by: [No C	Highlight] - Tin al - M sroup] -	mescale: onths *	Zoom Entire Sel	C Timeline ected asks	e v	New Window Window	Sign in a x
×	10.		The source shows		5010			20011		part treat		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
~ ×	0	Task Mode 👻	Task Name	- Duration	- Start -	Finish	+ Predecesso +	Free Slack	👻 Total Slack	1st Quarter Dec Jan Feb I	2nd Quarter 3rd Quarter Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug 5	4th Quarter 1st Quarter 2nd Quarter Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May
0		-4	G+1 Residential Building works schedule	306 days	01/01/20	03/03/21		0 days	0 days			
1		-4	Lineout works	6 days	01/01/20	08/01/20		0 days	0 days	.		
3		-4	procurement of material for slab	42 days	09/01/20	06/03/20	1	0 days	0 days	1 martine	r l	
4		-4	1st slab concreting	21 days	09/03/20	06/04/20	2,3	0 days	0 days		teen 1	
5		-4	2nd slab concreting	14 days	07/04/20	24/04/20	4	0 days	0 days		i	
8			curing and deshuttering 2nd slab	21 days	27/04/20	25/05/20	5	0 days	0 days		1	
10		-4	1st floor masonary	14 days	26/05/20	12/06/20	8	0 days	0 days		1	
13		-4	1st floor masonary above lintel level	21 days	15/06/20	13/07/20	10	0 days	0 days		1	
14		-4	First floor internal plaster	21 days	14/07/20	11/08/20	13	0 days	0 days		1	
15			Milestone activity	1 dav	12/08/20	12/08/20	14.11	0 davs	0 davs		Ť	
16			External plaster	28 days	13/08/20	21/09/20	15	0 days	0 days		1	
PH 19		-4	External plaster 2 sides	28 days	01/10/20	09/11/20	16FS+7 days	0 days	0 days			- T
E 20		Milestone event 2	1 day	10/11/20	10/11/20	19,18	0 days	0 days			ĥ	
AN 51		-4	Terrace waterproofing	28 days	11/11/20	18/12/20	20	0 days	0 days			1
O 24			fixing shutters	7 days	21/12/20	29/12/20	22,23,21	0 days	0 days			1
25		-4	External painting	21 days	30/12/20	27/01/21	24	0 days	0 days			i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
26		-4	internal painting	21 days	30/12/20	27/01/21	24	0 days	0 days			1
27		-4	Completion of painint milestone	1 day	28/01/21	28/01/21	25,26	0 days	0 days			5
28		-	Oilpaint	7 days	29/01/21	08/02/21	27	0 days	0 days			i .
29		-4	Cleaning	7 days	09/02/21	17/02/21	28	0 days	0 days			
30		-4	Possesion	10 days	18/02/21	03/03/21	29	0 days	0 days			
La I												
READY	FILTER ADD		NEW TASKS - AUTO SCHEDULED								5	
	-								-			14:16
	V D	ype here t	to search O	-i 😳	PS			<u>•</u>	×			^ (% ₩0 Φ)) ENG 21/04/2020

Fig no 4 -Critcal path with zero slack and shown in Gantt chart with red

From above data it is very clear that activities with '0' Days as free slack are the critical activities and hence slippages on completing above tasks will delay the overall schedule.

B. CCPM Approach

The new approach tries to cover the flaws in the CPM method as -

Since CPM uses a factor of safety in assigning duration to every activity since it is totally based on duration, CCPM approach eliminates this factor of safety in assigned duration with optimizing resources critically and reviewing duration critically, hence duration is optimized for critical activities and ultimately overall duration of project is reduced.

CPM uses Parkinson's law effect, that every activity will expand to fill the extra time that is allowed for it. CCPM approach this is how stripping extra time of every activity.

For critical path method, extra time is placed at the end of project called project buffer, whereas in CCPM approach, buffers are placed at the end of activities by analyzing the path and network, thus reduced the end duration.

In the case under consideration, it is illustrated as follows -

New assigned durations based on approach of Critical chain project management approach, the revised schedule completion dates come to 237 days from 306 days. That means there is saving of 69 days.

Chart * Us	Task age -	Network I Calendar Other Vie	Diagram * I Resource Usage * A E Sort O Team & Other Views * Sort O	utline Tables	Filter: [No F Filter: Critic Group by: [No C	lighlight] - Ti al - M 5roup] -	mescale: Ionths *	Zoom Entire Se Project 1	C Timelin lected fasks	e	- - -	New Window Window	Macros	
	TdSK.	c views	Resource views		oata			20011		Split view		WINDOW	Macros	
×	0	Task Mode 👻	Task Name	- Duration	🖌 Start 🔍	Finish	 Predecesso + 	Free Slack	👻 Total Slack	Dec Ji	st Quarter an Feb Ma	2nd Quarter - 3rd Quarte ar Apr May Jun Jul Aug	r 4th Quarter 1st Qu Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan	arter 2nd Quarter Feb Mar Apr May
0			G+1 Residential Building works schedule	237 days	01/01/20	26/11/20		0 days	0 days	60				
1			Lineout works	4 days	01/01/20	06/01/20		0 days	0 days	- E				
3		-4	procurement of material for slab	21 days	07/01/20	04/02/20	1	0 days	0 days	1				
4		-4	1st slab concreting	21 days	05/02/20	04/03/20	2,3	0 days	0 days					
5		- 4	2nd slab concreting	14 days	05/03/20	24/03/20	4	0 days	0 days		1			
8		-4	curing and deshuttering 2nd slab	14 days	25/03/20	13/04/20	5	0 days	0 days			in ,		
10		-4	1st floor masonary	12 days	14/04/20	29/04/20	8	0 days	0 days			in the second se		
13			1st floor masonary above lintel level	12 days	30/04/20	15/05/20	10	0 days	0 days			1		
14			First floor internal plaster	19 days	18/05/20	11/06/20	13	0 days	0 days			1		
15			Milestone activity	1 dav	12/06/20	12/06/20	14.11	0 davs	0 davs			5		
L 16			External plaster	25 days	15/06/20	17/07/20	15	0 days	0 days			1		
H 19			External plaster 2 sides	25 days	29/07/20	01/09/20	16FS+7 days	0 days	0 days			-	h	
E 20		-4	Milestone event 2	1 day	02/09/20	02/09/20	19,18,17	0 days	0 days				Ť.	
NH 21		-4	Terrace waterproofing	20 days	03/09/20	30/09/20	20	1 day	1 day				1	
O 24			fixing shutters	7 days	02/10/20	12/10/20	22,23,21	0 days	0 days				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
25			External painting	16 days	13/10/20	03/11/20	24	0 days	0 days				i	
26			internal painting	16 days	13/10/20	03/11/20	24	0 days	0 days				1	
27		-	Completion of painint milestone	1 day	04/11/20	04/11/20	25,26	0 days	0 days				Ť-)	
28			Oilpaint	7 days	05/11/20	13/11/20	27	0 days	0 days				1	
29			Cleaning	4 days	16/11/20	19/11/20	28	0 days	0 days				16	
30			Possesion	5 days	20/11/20	26/11/20	29,28,27	0 days	0 days				Ť.	
							~							
READY	TTEP APPLI		NEW TASKS - AUTO SCHEDULED									5		
							~ ~							15:32

Fig 5- Schedule prepared with CCPM approach -



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429

Volume 8 Issue IV Apr 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

CONCLUSION

After studying the results of case study, a CCPM approach was seen to be the most suitable approach than the existing CPM approach since it eliminates few drawbacks of CPM methods as stated above.

There is still new emerging approaches like Dynamic Progress monitoring approach which can be still studied further to see its feasibility since this approach is very based on Resources efficiency, resource as an input and takes into account the other factors like it information to top management from time to time during project execution. Management corrective actions are suggested with effective use of pmBLOX software are mainly to add resources, Work extra, shift resources, reduce work hours etc.

REFERENCES

[1] "Going beyond CPM" Conference paper by White J Chris/Shlotes, Robert M by Project Management Institute on dated 22.10.2011

V.

- [2] Prof. Siddesh K. Pai, Multi-Project Management using Critical Chain Project Management (CCPM) The Power of Creative Engineering, International Journal & Magazine of Engineering, Technology, Management And Research, 2348-4845, 2014.
- [3] "The Dynamic Progress Method" by Robert M Sholtes ,J.Christ white published by CRC press 2016













45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)