



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 8 Issue: VII Month of publication: July 2020

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2020.30399

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🕥 08813907089 🔰 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com



## **AXI2OCP Bridge Verification**

Sindhu J<sup>1</sup>, N. M. Mahesh Gowda<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>M. Tech Student, <sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Electronics and Communication, PES College of Engineering, Mandya, Karnataka, India

Abstract: AXI2OCP Bridge is a component which converts the AXI signals to OCP signals. Verification is a process of checking the design that is implemented is working according to the requirements specified. The Advanced eXtensible Interface (AXI) protocol and Open Core Protocol are the industry standard on-chip communication protocol. AXI2OCP Bridge helps to establish an effective communication between two components; one communicating in AXI protocol format and the other communicating in OCP format.

The AXI2OCP Bridge acts as a Design Under Test (DUT) module for the verification environment and it is implemented using verilog, which is a hardware description language.

The verification environment is implemented with the help of System Verilog (SV). Verifying helps in increasing the efficiency of the design. With the use of AXI20CP Bridge, it is easier to connect the AXI based CPU cores to other intellectual property which are OCP based.

Keywords: AXI20CP Bridge, Assertion Based Verification, System Verilog, cache transaction, protected transaction.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Today's System-on-Chip (SoC) has much Intellectual Property (IP) cores which are inbuilt in them and the correct synchronization between all of these cores during the communication of data is a big task [1]. The increasing complexity in modern SoC designs leads to more number of IP blocks to be integrated on to a chip. Bus protocols have much importance in the field of SoC design.

There are various types of standard protocols available and are used in SoC which requires a bridge to pass the information from one type of protocol to other type of protocol safely and without any data loss [2]. One such bridge is AXI2OCP Bridge, which converts Advanced eXtensible Interface (AXI) signals to Open Core Protocol (OCP) signals by mapping. AXI was introduced by ARM Holdings.

AXI protocol is a standard architecture designed for supporting the high frequency and higher performance SoC designs and incorporates the features such as independently acknowledged address and data channels, separate address/control and data phases, support for unaligned data transfers using byte strobes, out of order transaction, burst based transactions, separate read and write data channels to enable low- cost Direct Memory Access (DMA) ability to issue multiple outstanding addresses, easy addition of register stages to provide timing closure [3].

OCP was introduced by OCP International Partnership. The Open Core Protocol (OCP) defines the only non-proprietary, openly licensed, core centric protocol with high performance, bus independent interface between IP cores that reduces design time, design risk, and manufacturing costs and promote IP core reusability for SOC designs [4]. OCP being an existing standard, it is capable enough in meeting all the future SOC requirements in terms of performance, design methodology, including verification and modeling tools. OCP is utilized by many pipelined signal processing applications such as MPEG2 decoding and multiband DRAM architectures [5].

Features of OCP include point- to-point synchronous interface, pipelining and bus independence, separation between requests and responses, support of bursts through annotation of transfers with burst information, support for transmission of in-band information, out-of-order request and response delivery using multiple threads and tags. The benefit of System Verilog (SV) is that it allows the user to construct reliable, reiteration, verification environments in a regular syntax, cross functional projects. Now that SV incorporates Object Oriented Programming (OOP), inter-process communication and dynamic threads, it can be used for system design [6].



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue VII July 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

#### **II. RELATED WORK**

The aim specified in [2] is to design and verify various AXI2OCP Bridge features using coverage closure criteria. Here, the AXI2OCP Bridge is implemented using verilog hardware description language. AXI2OCP bridge protocol verification environment is constructed by using System Verilog assertion based functional coverage and code coverage. Creating verification environment of AXI2OCP Bridge and checking the response from the design is one of the verification criteria developed in this paper. This proposed implemented environment improves the coverage and it reduces the time taken for verification. The simulation result of AXI2OCP Bridge for different test cases like write, read and write-read transactions are observed. Realistic waveforms are created in Questa Sim tool by Mentor Graphics. The effective bus utilization of 94 percent for the read transaction and 84 percent for the write transaction is obtained.

The main focus of [7] is about measuring the bus utilization parameter for the AXI 3.0 protocols generated test cases and functional verification of the AXI2OCP Bridge using system verilog language. Mapping the different set of signals of AXI protocol and OCP with the help of AXI2OCP Bridge is explained and analyzed. AXI2OCP Bridge is implemented using verilog, verification environment is created using System Verilog. The implementation of the bridge, creating the verification environment and checking the response from this design is the verification criteria developed in [2]. A bus utilization of 76.92 percent for the read phase, 81.25 percent for the write phase and 95.45 percent for write\_read phase is obtained. The functional verification of the AXI2OCP Bridge with practical waveforms is generated by the Mentor Graphics Questa Sim tool.

#### **III. METHODOLOGY**

The verification environment of the AXI2OCP Bridge is as shown in Fig. 1. The components of the verification environment includes AXI generator, AXI coverage, AXI Bus Functional Model (BFM), AXI Monitor, Mailbox, OCP monitor, AXI assertion, OCP assertion, AXI2OCP assertion, AXI2OCP reference model, checker and the DUT which is the AXI2OCP Bridge. Testbench is implemented as a hierarchy of classes is as shown in Fig. 2. Class helps in keeping code modular by creating different components (class) for different testbench requirements. This also helps in Code reusability by reusing only required components.



Fig. 1. AXI2OCP verification environment components



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue VII July 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

The generator generates test cases based on the specifications. BFM drives the data generated by the generator to the DUT. Mailbox allows different process to exchange data between them. Monitor is used to sample the interface signals and converts signal level to transaction level. The reference model reflects the behavior of the DUT. The checker model compares the results from DUT and Reference Model. The coverage module measures the functionality feature coverage, the line coverage and the conditional coverage of the design. Assertion model is used to check the occurrence of a specific condition or sequence of events, warnings or errors which are generated on the failure of specific condition or sequence of events. Thus Assertion Based Verification helps to identify the design bugs and helps to improve the coverage of the design.

The reference model and checker are used for self checking. The AXI2OCP Bridge is the Design Under Test (DUT) module for the verification environment, verilog hardware description language is used for its implementation. To verify the functional working of the bridge and to check its response, the System Verilog verification language is used. In the top module, all the modules of AXI2OCP Bridge environment are instantiated.



Fig. 2. AXI2OCP hierarchy using System Verilog

The AXI generator generates test cases such as single read transaction, single write transaction, burst transactions and unaligned transactions. These generated test cases are sent to the mailbox, which stores the data until it is sent to the BFM in order to avoid the loss of data. The BFM receives the data from the mailbox and drives it to the AXI2OCP Bridge. The received data is sent to AXI monitor who then sends the data to the AXI2OCP reference model and AXI coverage model via the mailbox and the coverage for the respective test case is obtained. After the mapping of AXI signals to OCP signals, the result is sent to the OCP monitor and then to the reference model via mailbox. Same input data is given to both DUT module and the reference model. Since the data given to DUT and reference model are same, the outputs from these two must match with one another. The comparison of the outputs is carried on by the checker module. If there is any mismatch in the output, it denotes that there is some functional error in the DUT.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue VII July 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

#### **IV. SIMULATION AND RESULT**

All the simulations are carried out with the help of the tool Questa Sim 10.6c. Various test cases such as single read transaction, single write transaction, write transactions with varying burst length, checking for different burst types, one write transaction with aligned address. Similarly, different test cases are generated and the obtained coverage report for each test case is observed. Bus utilization is also calculated for every test case. Bus utilization is the percentage of number of valid count to number of busy count.Valid count is the actual number of clock cycles required for actual data transfer. Busy count is the number of clock cycles required for completing a transaction.

#### 1) Testcase 1: Write transaction with varying AWLEN



Fig. 3: Write transaction with varying AWLEN



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue VII July 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

sign Scope •	Hits % •	Coverage % *	Total Coverage:		27.87%	58.92%			
tb_top	26.80%	61.63%	Coverage Type •	Bins •	Hits •	Misses •	Weight •	% Hit •	Coverage
axi_if_inst	34.76%	34.76%	Covergroups	140	118	22	1	84.28%	90.80%
ocp_if_inst	41.55%	41.55%	Directives	3	3	0	1	100.00%	100.00%
tb_inst	13.63%	13.63%	Statements	2081	1655	426	1	79.52%	79.52%
axi2ocp_assert_inst	38.28%	75.35%	Branches	1235	654	581	1	52.95%	52.95%
dut	25.80%	46.52%	FEC Expressions	3340	442	2898	1	13.23%	13.23%
_svh_unit	49.36%	52.17%	FEC Conditions	13	2	11	1	15.38%	15.38%
byte_tx/print	100.00%	100.00%	Toggles	11614	2259	<b>935</b> 5	1	19.45%	19.45%
byte_tx/compare	0.00%	0.00%	Assertions	4	4	0	1	100.00%	100.00%
axi_transaction/new	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_transaction/print	100.00%	100.00%							
ocp_transaction/print	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_tx_resp/print	0.00%	0.00%							
axi_ref/new	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_ref/run	85.71%	85.71%							
axi_ref/convert_ocp2bytelevel	77.35%	68.42%							
axi_ref/convert_axi2bytelevel	0.00%	0.00%							
axi_cov	84.93%	95.40%							
axi_bfm/new	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_bfm/run	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_bfm/drive_request	44.44%	45.83%							
axi_bfm/write_addr	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_bfm/write_data	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_bfm/write_resp	72.72%	50.00%							
axi_bfm/read_addr	0.00%	0.00%							
axi_bfm/read_data	0.00%	0.00%							
axi_bfm/drive_idle_pkt	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_gen/new	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_gen/run	8.72%	38.00%							
axi monitor/run	0.00%	0.00%							
axi env/new	100.00%	100.00%							
axi env/run	80.00%	\$0.00%							
ocn hfm/new	100.00%	100.00%							
ocn hfm/mn	100.00%	100.00%							
oon hfm/drive response	71 429/	60 27%							
ocp_onit/drive_response	100 000	100.000/							
ocp_onn/arive_aunmy_response	100.00%	100.00%							
ocp_monitor/new	100.00%	100.00%							
ocp_monitor/run	94.28%	90.00%							
ocp_env/new	100.00%	100.00%							
	75 00%	75 000/							
ocp_env/run	13.00 70	/3.0070							

Fig. 4: Coverage report of write transaction with varying AWLEN

Testcase 1 is the verification of the write transaction. This write transaction is carried out for varying length of AWLEN. AWLEN is the signal indicating the burst length of the transaction. Here the burst length is varied from 0 to 15. The simulation waveform of this testcase is as shown in Fig. 3. The coverage report of the write transaction with varying AWLEN is given in Fig.4. Here we can see that there is 100 percent coverage of the assertions and 90.80 percent functional coverage.



#### International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429

Volume 8 Issue VII July 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

2) Testcase 2: Testing different burst type



Fig. 5: Testing different burst type



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue VII July 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

esign Scope «	Hits % •	Coverage %	Total Coverage:					25.78%	53.68%
i to top	24.96%	60.47%	Coverage Type •	Bins 4	Hits 4	Misses 4	Weight •	% Hit •	Coverage
axi_if_inst	33.44%	33.44%	Covergroups	140	57	83	1	40.71%	56.61%
ocp_if_inst	41.12%	41.12%	Directives	3	3	0	1	100.00%	100.00%
tb_inst	13.63%	13.63%	Statements	2083	1644	439	1	78.92%	78.92%
axi2ocp_assert_inst	38.28%	75.35%	Branches	1235	617	618	1	49.95%	49.95%
dut	23.87%	44.85%	FEC Expressions	3340	363	2977	1	10.86%	10.86%
i svh unit	42.25%	45.30%	FEC Conditions	13	2	11	1	15.38%	15.38%
byte_tx/print	100.00%	100.00%	Toggles	11614	2062	9552	1	17 75%	17.75%
byte_tx/compare	0.00%	0.00%	Assertions	4	4	0	1	100.00%	100.00%
axi_transaction/new	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_transaction/print	100.00%	100.00%							
ocp_transaction/print	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_tx_resp/print	0.00%	0.00%	5						
axi_ref/new	100.00%	100.00%	)						
axi_ref/imi	85.71%	85.71%	<u>.</u>						
axi_ref/convert_ocp2bytelevel	77.35%	68.42%							
axi_ref/convert_axi2bytelevel	0.00%	0.00%	0						
axi_cov	43.15%	78.30%							
axi_bfm/new	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_bfm/nm	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_bfm/drive_request	44.44%	45.83%							
axi_bfm/write_addr	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_bfm/write_data	100.	0% 100.	00%						
axi_bfm/write_resp	72.7	2% 50.	10%						
axi_bfm/read_addr	0.0	)% 0.0	0%						
axi bfm/read data	0.0	0.0	0%						
axi bfm/drive idle pkt	100.	00% 100.	00%						
axi gen/new	100.	00% 100.	00%						
axi gen/mn	8.6	37.	6%						
axi monitor/mn	0.0	)% 0.0	B%						
axi env/new	100.	00% 100.	00%						
axi env/run	<b>S0.0</b>	0% S0.	10%						
ocp_bfm/new	100.	00% 100.	00%						
ocp bfm/run	100.	00% 100.	00%						
ocp bfm/drive response	71.4	2% 69.	7%						
ocp bfm/drive dummy resp	onse 100.	00% 100.	00%						
ocp_monitor/new	100.	0% 100.	00%						
ocp_monitor/run	94.2	8% 90.	10%						
ocp_env/new	100.	00% 100.	00%						
ocp_env/run	75.0	0% 75.	10%						
avilaça antinanı	100	0% 100.	00%						
axizoop envinew	100								

Fig. 6: Coverage report of testing different burst types

Testcase 2 is for testing the different burst types of the AXI protocol such as fixed burst type, wrap burst type, increment burst type and reserved burst type. Fig 5 shows the simulation waveform obtained for the different burst type test and the Fig. 6 gives the coverage report of this testcase. The coverage report indicates a functional coverage of 56.61 percent and 100 percent assertion coverage.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue VII July 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

3) Testcase 3: Write\_read transaction



Fig. 7:Write\_read transaction



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue VII July 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

	6 to the second			eraen.					
esign Scope ∢	Hits % •	Coverage % ◄	Total Coverage:	21.98%	54.73%				
ci_tb_top	20.77%	59.44%	Coverage Type •	Bins •	Hits •	Misses •	Weight •	% Hit •	Coverage
axi_if_inst	25.66%	25.66%	Covergroups	140	71	69	1	50.71%	71.07%
ocp_if_inst	22.94%	22.94%	Directives	3	3			100.00%	100.00%
tb_mst	13.63%	13.63%	Statements	2083	1669	414		80.12%	80.12%
axi2ocp_assert_inst	38.28%	/3.35%	Branches	2240	2.49	2002		49.51%	49.31%
i arb neit	46.40%	43.3270	FEC Conditions	13	340	2992	1	15 390%	15 390%
byte_tx/print	100.00%	100.00%	Toggles	11614	1347	10267	1	11 59%	11 59%
byte tx/compare	0.00%	0.00%	Assertions	4	4	0	1	100.00%	100.00%
axi transaction/new	100.00%	100.00%	()				<u> </u>	<u>/(</u> )	Communitie
axi_transaction/print	100.00%	100.00%							
ocp_transaction/print	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_tx_resp/print	0.00%	0.00%							
<u>axi_ref/new</u>	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_ref/run	85.71%	85.71%							
axi_ref/convert_ocp2bytelevel	75.47%	65.78%							
axi_ref/convert_axi2bytelevel	0.00%	0.00%							
axi_cov	52.73%	85.53%							
axi_bfm/new	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_bfm/run	100.00%	100.00%							
axi bfm/drive request	20.00%	34.10%							
axi_bmi/write_addr	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_bfm/write_data	100.00%	100,00%							
axi_bfm/write_resp	72.72%	50.00%							
axi_bfm/read_addr	94.11%	75.00%							
axi_bfm/read_data	33.33%	22.22%							
axi_bfm/drive_idle_pkt	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_gen/new	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_gen/run	8.60%	37.96%							
axi_monitor/run	0.00%	0.00%							
axi_env/new	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_env/run	<b>S0.00%</b>	80.00%							
ocp_bfm/new	100.00%	100.00%							
ocp_bfm/run	100.00%	100.00%							
ocp_bfm/drive_response	71.42%	69.37%							
ocp_bfm/drive_dummy_response	100.00%	100.00%							
ocp_monitor/new	100.00%	100.00%							
ocp_monitor/run	94.28%	90.00%							
ocp_env/new	100.00%	100.00%							
ocp_env/run	75.00%	75.00%							
axi2ocp_env/new	100.00%	100.00%							
axi2ocp_env/run	100.00%	100.00%							

Fig. 8: Coverage report of write\_read transaction

Testcase 3 indicates the write and read transaction verification of the AXI2OCP Bridge. The AXI protocol writes a data to the memory and the read operation takes place to the same memory location by the OCP. Fig. 7 shows the simulation waveform of the write and write transaction and Fig. 8 gives the coverage report. The coverage report indicates a 71.07 percent functional coverage and 100 percent assertion coverage.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue VII July 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

#### 4) *Testcase 4:* Write\_read loop test



Fig. 9: Write\_read loop test



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue VII July 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

sign Scope •	Hits % •	Coverage % •	Total Coverage:	29.09%	56.46%				
i_tb_top	28.13%	61.45%	Coverage Type •	Bins •	Hits •	Misses •	Weight •	% Hit •	Coverage
axi_if_inst	51.65%	51.65%	Covergroups	140	76	64	1	54.28%	70.09%
ocp_if_inst	49.78%	49.78%	Directives	3	3	0	1	100.00%	100.00%
tb_inst	13.63%	13.63%	Statements	2083	1671	412	1	80.22%	80.22%
axi2ocp_assert_inst	38.28%	75.35%	Branches	1235	636	599	1	51.49%	51.49%
dut	26.37%	46.03%	FEC Expressions	3340	419	2921	1	12.54%	12.54%
i_svh_unit	48.50%	50.20%	FEC Conditions	13	2	11	1	15.38%	15.38%
byte_tx/print	100.00%	100.00%	Toggles	11614	2551	9063	1	21.96%	21.96%
byte_tx/compare	0.00%	0.00%	Assertions	5	5	0	1	100.00%	100.00%
axi_transaction/new	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_transaction/print	0.00%	0.00%							
ocp_transaction/print	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_tx_resp/print	0.00%	0.00%							
axi_ref/new	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_ref/run	85.71%	85.71%							
axi_ref/convert_ocp2bytelevel	/9.24%	/1.05%							
axi_ref/convert_axi2bytelevel	0.00%	0.00%							
axi_cov	30.10%	85.04%							
axi_0ini/new	100.00%	100.00%							
avi bfm/drive request	66 66%	70 83%							
axi_bfm/write_addr	100.00%	100.00%							
axi bfm/write_data	100.00%	100.00%							
axi bfm/write resp	72.72%	50.00%							
axi_bfm/read_addr	94.11%	75.00%							
axi_bfm/read_data	83.33%	58.33%							
axi bfm/drive idle pkt	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_gen/new	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_gen/run	10.52%	38.46%							
axi_monitor/run	0.00%	0.00%							
axi env/new	100.00%	100.00%							
axi env/run	80.00%	80.00%							
ocp bfm/new	100.00%	100.00%							
ocp_bfm/run	100.00%	100.00%							
ocp bfm/drive response	97.95%	91.66%							
ocp bfm/drive dummy response	100.00%	100.00%							
ocp_monitor/new	100.00%	100.00%							
ocp_monitor/nun	94.28%	90.00%							
ocn_env/new	100.00%	100.00%							
och env/mn	75.00%	75.00%							
ovi) carvina	100 000/	100.000/							
axizocp_env/new	100.00%	100.00%							

Fig. 10: Coverage report of write\_read loop test

Testcase 4 is a write and read loop test. Fig. 9 indicates the simulation waveform of this testcase and Fig. 10 gives the coverage report. The obtained functional coverage is 70.09 percent and the assertion coverage is 100 percent.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue VII July 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

5) Testcase 5: Write\_read with varying AWLEN



Fig. 11:Write\_read with varying AWLEN



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue VII July 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

Coverage Summary by Structu	rage Summary by Structure:		Coverage Summary by Type:								
Design Scope 4	Hits % •	Coverage % •	Total Coverage:					30.23%	60.32%		
axi_tb_top	28.96%	62.21%	Coverage Type •	Bins 4	Hits •	Misses •	Weight •	% Hit •	Coverage •		
axi_if_inst	47.01%	47.01%	Covergroups	140	131	9	1	93.57%	96.20%		
ocp_if_inst	51.73%	51.73%	Directives	3	3	0	1	100.00%	100.00%		
tb_inst	13.63%	13.63%	Statements	2098	1700	398	1	81.02%	81.02%		
axi2ocp_assert_inst	38.28%	75.35%	Branches	1235	664	571	1	53.76%	53.76%		
dut	27.38%	47.17%	FEC Expressions	3340	459	2881	1	13.74%	13.74%		
axi_svh_unit	55.46%	55.37%	FEC Conditions	13	2	11	1	15.38%	15.38%		
byte_tx/print	100.00%	100.00%	Toggles	11614	2614	9000	1	22.50%	22.50%		
byte_tx/compare	0.00%	0.00%	Assertions	5	5	0	1	100.00%	100.00%		
axi_transaction/new	100.00%	100.00%									
axi_transaction/print	100.00%	100.00%									
ocp_transaction/print	100.00%	100.00%									
axi_tx_resp/print	0.00%	0.00%									
axi_ret/new	100.00%	100.00%									
axi_ret/run	85./1%	85./1%									
axi_ref/convert_ocp2bytelevel	/9.24%	/1.05%									
axi_ferconvert_axi2ovielever	02 920/	08 109/									
avi bfm/nau	100.00%	100.00%									
axi hfm/mn	100.00%	100.00%									
axi hfm/drive request	66 66%	70.83%									
axi bfm/write addr	100.00%	100.00%									
and the function of the	100.000/	100.000/									
axi_onn/write_data	100.00%	100.00%									
axi_bfm/write_resp	72.72%	50.00%									
axi_bfm/read_addr	94.11%	75.00%									
axi_bfm/read_data	33.33%	22.22%									
axi_bfm/drive_idle_pkt	100.00%	100.00%									
axi gen/new	100.00%	100.00%									
axi gen/nm	13.77%	39.72%									
axi monitor/mn	0.00%	0.00%									
	100.000/	100.000/									
axi_env/new	100.00%	100.00%									
axi_env/run	80.00%	<u>\$0.00%</u>									
ocp_bfm/new	100.00%	100.00%									
<u>ocp_bfm/run</u>	100.00%	100.00%									
ocp_bfm/drive_response	97.95%	91.66%									
ocp_bfm/drive_dummy_response	100.00%	100.00%									
ocp_monitor/new	100.00%	100.00%									
ocp monitor/run	94.28%	90.00%									
ocp env/new	100.00%	100.00%									
ocp env/run	75,00%	75,00%									
axi2ocn env/new	100.00%	100.00%									
axizoop envirew	100.00%	100.00%									
axi2ocp_env/nun	100.00%	100.00%									

Fig. 12: Coverage report of Write\_read with varying AWLEN

Testcase 5 verifies the AXI2OCP Bridge for a write and read transaction with AWLEN varying from 0 to 15. Fig. 11 indicates the simulation waveform of this testcase and the Fig. 12 gives the coverage report for this testcase. The functional coverage obtained for this testcase is 96.20 percent and the assertion coverage obtained is 100 percent.



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue VII July 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

The bus utilization percentages of the above testcases are tabulated in Table.1. The average bus utilization obtained is 88.584percent.

	Т	able. 1. E	Bus utiliz	ation
Testcase	Testcase name	Valid Count	Busy Count	Bus Utilization %=
1	Write transaction with varying AWLEN	136	165	*100 82.42%
2	Testing different burst type	39	43	90.69%
3	Write_read transaction	17	19	89.47%
4	Write read loop test	16	18	88.89%
5	Write_read with varying AWLEN	139	152	91.45%



Fig. 13: Merged testcases



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue VII July 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

unian Saana d		Total Coverage:					12 9704	62 36%	
sign acope	37 168	64 530/c	Cororaco Tomo e	Bire	liter	Micros	Waight	% IGe -	Corerar
avi if inst	35 76%	35 76%	Covergroups	140	140	O D	1	100.00%	100.00%
and if just	41 55%	41 55%	Directives	140	140		1	100.00%	100.00%
de inst	13 6396	12 6294	Statements	2002	1625	449	1	70 400	79 4094
avilana avart int	10.0070	75 258/	Branchas	1005	740	196		60 6104	60 649/0
axi2ocp_assent_mst	33.2379	(0.0070	Drancnes	2240	640	2601		10.420/	10.430/
<u></u>	31.3470	30.7270	FEC Expressions	12	042	2091	1	15.300/	12.4378
1 svn_unit	49.4270	41.0470	Terelu	11614	2007	0717		13.38%	13.3370
byte_tx-print	100.00%	100.00%	loggies	11614	2897	8/17		24.94%	24.94%
byte_tx/compare	0.00%	0.00%	Assertions		ف	0	1	100.00%	100.00%
axi_transaction/new	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_transaction/print	0.00%	0.00%							
ocp_transaction/print	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_tx_resp/print	0.00%	0.00%							
axi_ref/new	100.00%	100.00%							
axi ref/run	85.71%	85.71%							
axi_ref/convert_ocp2bytelevel	77.35%	68.42%							
axi_ref/convert_axi2bytelevel	0.00%	0.00%							
axi cov	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_bfm/new	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_bfin/run	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_bfm/drive_request	44.44%	45.83%							
axi_bfm/write_addr	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_bfin/write_data	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_bfm/write_resp	72.72%	50.00%							
axi_bfm/read_addr	0.00%	0.00%							
axi bfm/read_data	0.00%	0.00%							
axi_bfm/drive_idle_pkt	100.00%	100.00%							
axi_gen/new	100.00%	100.00%							
axi gen/run	5.33%	5.42%							
axi_monitor/run	0.00%	0.00%							
axi env/new	100.00%	100.00%							
axi cnv/run	80.00%	80.00%							
Concernation of the second	100.00%	100.00%							
ocp offinitiew									
ocp_omrnew	100.00%	100.00%							
ocp_bfm/run ocp_bfm/run	100.00%	100.00% 69.37%							
ocp_bfm/mm ocp_bfm/mm ocp_bfm/drive_response ocn_bfm/drive_dummy_response	100.00% 71.42%	100.00% 69.37% 100.00%							
ocp_bin/nw ocp_bin/nm ocp_bin/drive_response ocp_bin/drive_dummy_response ocp_monitor/new	100.00% 71.42% 100.00%	100.00% 69.37% 100.00%							
ocp_omniew ocp_bfm/run ocp_bfm/drive_response ocp_bfm/drive_dummy_response ocp_monitor/new ocp_monitor/new	100.00% 71.42% 100.00% 100.00% 94 28%	100.00% 69.37% 100.00% 100.00%							
ocp_omniew ocp_bfm/drive_response ocp_bfm/drive_response ocp_monitor/new ocp_monitor/new ocp_monitor/new	100.00% 71.42% 100.00% 100.00% 94.28%	100.00% 69.37% 100.00% 90.00%							
ocp_omniew ocp_bfm/run ocp_bfm/drive_response ocp_bfm/drive_dummv_response ocp_monitor/new ocp_monitor/new ocp_monitor/nem ocp_env/new	100.00% 71.42% 100.00% 100.00% 94.28% 100.00% 75.00%	100.00% 69.37% 100.00% 90.00% 100.00% 75.00%							
ocp_omniew ocp_bin/drive_response ocp_bin/drive_dummy_response ocp_monitor/new ocp_monitor/new ocp_env/new ocp_env/new ocp_env/new	100.00% 71.42% 100.00% 94.28% 100.00% 75.00%	100.00% 69.37% 100.00% 90.00% 100.00% 75.00%							

Fig. 14: Coverage report of merged testcases

Fig. 13 indicates the simulation waveform when all the test cases are executed together or merged and the Fig. 14 gives the coverage report of the overall testcases. With inference from the above coverage report, we can see that 100 percent coverage is obtained for the functionality of the AXI2OCP Bridge and there is 100 percent coverage of assertions.

#### V. CONCLUSION

A verification environment is built for AXI2OCP Bridge using System Verilog. The reference model and checker are included in the verification environment for self checking. The simulation waveforms and coverage reports are generated using Questa sim 10.6c tool by Mentor Graphics. The AXI2OCP bridge is verified for various test cases like write transactions with varying AWLEN, testing various burst type, Write\_read transaction, Write\_read loop and Write\_read transaction with varying AWLEN. Bus utilization is calculated for various test cases and obtained an average bus utilization of 88.584 percent. 100percent functional coverage is obtained indicating the efficient verification of the functionalities of the AXI2OCP Bridge. The coverage of the design has been improved through the Assertion Based Verification. The coverage reports show that 100 percent coverage is obtained for directives covergroups (functional coverage) and assertions.



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429

Volume 8 Issue VII July 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

#### REFERENCES

- N. Gaikwad and V. N. Patil, "Verification of AMBA AXI On-Chip Communication Protocol," 2018 Fourth International Conference on Computing Communication Control and Automation (ICCUBEA), Pune, India, 2018, pp. 1-5.
- [2] Limbanna. P. Rathod, Dr. Jayanthi. K. Murthy, Mr. Shaik Chand Basha, "Design and Coverage Driven Verification of AXI2OCP Bridge for Industrial SoC Designs", International Journal Of Advanced Research in Engineering & Management (IJAREM), 2017, PP. 07-13.
- [3] C. Sarojini and J. Thangaraj, "Implementation and Optimization of Throughput in High Speed Memory Interface Using AXI Protocol," 2018 9th International Conference on Computing, Communication and Networking Technologies (ICCCNT), Bangalore, 2018, pp. 1-5.
- [4] R. Bhakthavatchalu, G. R. Deepthy, S. Vidhya and V. Nisha, "Design and analysis of low power open core protocol compliant interface using VHDL," 2011 International Conference on Emerging Trends in Electrical and Computer Technology, Nagercoil, 2011, pp. 621-62.
- [5] G. R. A. Pamarthy, M. D. Prakash and A. Yadlapati, "Extensions of open core protocol and their high level verification using system verilog and UVM," 2017 International Conference on Inventive Communication and Computational Technologies (ICICCT), Coimbatore, 2017, pp. 475-477.
- [6] M. P. Deepu and R. Dhanabal, "Validation of transactions in AXI protocol using system verilog," 2017 International conference on Microelectronic Devices, Circuits and Systems (ICMDCS), Vellore, 2017, pp. 1-4
- [7] G. Mahesh and S. M. Sakthivel, "Functional verification of the Axi2OCP bridge using system verilog and effective bus utilization calculation for AMBA AXI 3.0 protocol," 2015 International Conference on Innovations in Information, Embedded and Communication Systems (ICIIECS), Coimbatore, 2015, pp. 1-5.











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)