



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 8 Issue: VIII Month of publication: August 2020 DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2020.31258

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🕥 08813907089 🔰 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com



Integrating the Safety Risk in Time-Cost Analysis of Construction Projects

Himanshu Sharma¹, Dr. M. K. Trivedi², ^{1, 2}Department of civil engineering, MITS Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh

Abstract: Safety risk management has a substantial effect on inconsistent injury rate of construction industry, project cost and both labour and public spirits. A significant amount of attention has been given to develop the time-cost trade off models using several methodologies. These methodologies mainly include the deterministic, heuristic and meta-heuristic approaches. Since the safety parameter is rarely added in time-cost trade-off models, an attempt is made to develop the time-cost-safety trade off model. This paper has addressed these issues to present a multi-objective optimization model to simultaneously optimize total time, total cost and overall safety risk (OSR).

Keywords: Time-cost-quality trade-off; generalized precedence relations; Critical path;

I. INTRODUCTION

In the present serious condition and with the quick advancement of materials, equipment and techniques, development organizations should focus on time, cost and safety execution of their tasks all the while to have the option to endure. The prior fulfilment time and the lower all out cost of ventures make the higher alluring circumstance for the two contractual workers and customers. Then again the effect of safety hazard the executives on undertakings' cost (e.g., remuneration cost) and both work and open confidence is evident to all. Studies show that there is an unbalanced physical issue rate in development ventures [1]. In this manner there is a solid need to join safety appraisal into development arranging, for example, time-cost streamlining (TCO) and present a hearty model for time-cost-safety advancement (TCSO). As indicated by the shrouded trade-off connection between time, cost and safety, it may be troublesome in genuine scale undertakings to distinguish the best mix of development options which prompts the most ideal sparing in venture time, cost and safety chance score. Venture pressure may build safety chance score and absolute cost of tasks (i.e., the immediate and roundabout costs), in spite of the fact that it decreases the aberrant cost. Then again limiting safety hazard score prompts higher complete cost, and maybe, time invades. Therefore streamlining of cost is to the detriment of time and safety. The completion of project on time and within the budget with least wastage of resources is the main objectives. As we know that the construction sites are way risky too and now days we can hear a lot of accidents on construction projects, so now safety & risk is also one of the most important factor to be considered to avoid the accidents and loss of livelihood. The critical path method (CPM) was introduced in 1950's, CPM has been the basis for project scheduling and calculating the total project time and cost.

- 1) Construction Time: It is defined as the total duration required for the fulfilment of the whole task from zero level to the completed item. So the real time required for the whole exercises to get finished is named as Construction Time. Critical path is picked for the construction. The longest path is known as Critical path. Construction time thoroughly relies on assets accessible for the construction and relies straightforwardly upon them. More the assets lesser is the time required for the comprehensive construction.
- 2) Construction Cost: Expenses acquired in the general construction span is named as Construction cost. Further it is partitioned among two classes direct cost and roundabout cost. Cost which are legitimately demanded to construction are named as Direct Cost (labour, material, machines and so on). What's more, the cost which is utilized in construction from second way not straight forwardly is named as Indirect cost (Stationary, Cleaning, research centre, safety and medical and so on).
- 3) Construction Safety and Risk: The finishing of project on time and within the financial plan with least wastage of assets is the primary targets. As we realize that the construction destinations are way dangerous as well. Presently a day we can hear a ton of mishaps on construction locales, so now security and hazard is likewise one of the most significant factor to be considered to stay away from the mishaps and loss of job. Safety is also a risk factor to consider when working with new employees. They lack the training and experience to know all the rules or be able to identify hazardous situations on the jobsite. Safety training is just as, if not more, important as skills training and should be a top priority with new hires. To combat labour shortages, offer competitive wages and benefits and develop a strong company culture that values employees and rewards hard work and dedication. This requires time and money to invest in training and development of your workforce. To retain workers, provide opportunities for training, mentoring, and continuing education courses available to both your new and existing employees.



Establish advancement opportunities and career paths for workers to move up within your organization. We have taken mainly three types of risk in consideration

- a) Labour injury risk,
- *b)* Equipment failure risk &
- c) Material wastage risk.
- 4) *Project Safety Risks (PSR):* The safety risk score of a recognized risk can be effectively given by increasing its probability and seriousness as it is appeared in Eq. (1). As indicated by Eq. (2), combined adding of acquired safety risk scores for an elective leads the general safety risk score. This issue is likewise appeared in Fig. 1, in which safety risk things are spoken to through R1 to Rn.



Fig.1 Activity-based safety risk assessment method for TCST

$$R_{kji} = l_{kji} \times S_{kji} \quad (1)$$

$$PSR_{Kj} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{kji} \quad (2)$$

Where PSR_{kji} is project safety risk score of jth alternative of activity k. l_{kji} , \bar{s}_{kji} and R_{kji} , respectively, refer to likelihood, severity and safety risk score of safety risk item i.

5) *Cost Function:* As referenced, the TCST model has three target capacities including: (1) all out cost minimization, (2) complete time minimization and (3) venture danger score minimization. For limiting complete cost of undertaking, the immediate and roundabout cost ought to be determined by Eq. (3) and (4). Then again in motivation extends the reward and punishment ought to be resolved just as the objective term of the venture. Eq. (5) presents venture absolute cost segments lastly Eq. (6) shows the principal target capacity of the model.

$$C_{direct} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}$$
(3)
$$C_{indirect} = C_{d} \times T$$
(4)

$$indirect = C_d \times I$$

$$C_{total} = \begin{cases} C_{airect} + C_{indirect} + (T - T_g)C_b, T < T_g \\ C_{airect} + C_{indirect} + (T - T_g)C_p, T > T_g \end{cases}$$
(4)
(5)

Where Ci = direct cost of activity i, Cd = indirect cost per day, T = total duration of project, Tg = goal duration of project and, Cb and Cp refer to bonus and penalty cost per day respectively.

6) *Time Function:* CPM technique is used for deciding execution season of venture. Because of ancestors of every action all ways all the way can be planned and by adding every way's movement terms and discovering limit of them, the model can gauge the undertaking fulfilment time. This issue and the subsequent target capacity of the model are spoken to by Eq. (7) and (8).

$$T = T_{implementation\,time} = Max[T_1, T_2, T_p, \dots, T_m], path$$
(7)
Minimize T (8)

Where m = the number of paths of project network

Each option (alternative) of activities has its safety risk score is determined and by summing selected options' safety score, project total safety risk score cab be figured. So the third objective function can be formulized as Eq. (9) in which PSR is overall safety risk score of project.

(9)

(6)



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue VIII Aug 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

II. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

In our investigation we have experienced from different model for the figuring of construction time, construction cost and safety for conveying the most appropriate outcome for the construction cycle exercises. In the exploration all the different models are contrasted and each other with all the primary three angle for example construction time, construction cost and by and Project safety risk and a proposal is accommodated conveying the most appropriate last model for doing the examinations further.

- 1) Step-1: A random initial population of size N is generated within the variable range and the objective functions for each chromosome are evaluated.
- 2) *Step-2:* The initial population is sorted based on the objective values using fast sorting method to generate several non-dominated fronts. Each member in each front is assigned a fitness value (rank).
- 3) Step-3: The crowding distance for each member in each front is calculated and sorted according to its objective value
- 4) Step-4: Based on the rank and crowding distance the parent population is selected from the initial population using binary tournament selection.
- 5) Step-5: Crossover and mutation are performed to the parent population to generate offspring population.
- 6) Step-6: The parent and off-spring population are combined to generate a population size of 2N.
- 7) Step-7: Elitism is performed to select the best population of size N according to its objective function value.
- 8) *Step-8:* The stopping criterion is checked.
- 9) Step-9: Step 2 to 7 is repeated if necessary.
- 10) Step-10: As the number of optimum solution are generated and by applying weighted sum method a single optimum solution is obtained

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION											
						SI k = 1		SI k = 2		SI k = 3	
ID	Activity	Succe	Alt	Time	Cost	RL	RS	RL	RS	RL	RS
		ssors		(Days)	(US \$)	L_{km}	$S_{km} \\$	L_{km}	$S_{km} \\$	L_{km}	$S_{km} \\$
						Α	А	А	Α	А	А
1	Site Clearance	2	1	8	10,039.42	1	1	1	1	1	1
			2	8	9,849.86	1	2	1	2	1	2
2	Excavation	3	1	6	1082.13	3	3	1	1	3	2
			2	6	891.05	3	4	2	1	4	3
3	Footing	4	1	12	155,45.67	3	2	4	2	3	2
			2	10	170,39.34	2	2	3	3	3	2
4	Formwork	5	1	5	562.13	3	5	2	1	2	2
			2	4	590.32	2	4	3	2	3	4
5	Retaining wall	6	1	26	158,34.49	3	3	2	2	1	2
			2	16	172,74.94	2	4	3	3	1	1
6	Basement	7	1	32	74,124.65	2	3	4	5	3	3
			2	29	76,345.78	3	4	4	6	4	4
			3	23	84,312.34	4	5	5	6	3	3
7	Slab	8	1	22	32,646.05	4	5	3	3	3	4
			2	11	29,759.59	4	6	4	4	4	4
8	Exterior wall	9	1	18	65,959.52	2	3	2	4	2	3
			2	29	105,296.94	2	2	3	3	1	2
			3	11	157,433.42	2	2	3	2	2	3
9	Interior wall	13	1	37	58,570.35	2	3	2	4	2	1
			2	21	59,999.39	2	2	3	3	1	2
			3	32	57,668.29	2	2	3	2	2	2
			4	17	63,321.11	2	2	3	3	2	3
10	Flooring	-	1	34	38,411.50	2	3	2	4	2	1
			2	17	65,326.48	2	2	3	3	1	2
			3	12	50,214.22	2	2	3	2	2	3
		11 4	D.	·1 C ·1	o coso stu		•	•	•	•	•

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 4. Details of the case study project



International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue VIII Aug 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

As the outcome completed is a computational assignment, different calculation gave their outcome fluctuating assortments in the arrangement. Each outcome assessed is contrast from each with some variety. However, the entire outcome gives the effect in the arrangement. So we need to pick the rough arrangement from these alternatives. According to the case study, on analysing the results the most optimum solution we get to finish the project is with time duration 211 days, and total cost with RS. 500787.9 and with Project Safety risk 298.

IV. CONCLUSION

Time cost security compromise issue is one of the critical issues in adventure execution and has been ever contemplated by adventure heads. A couple of philosophies had made and applied to examine the time-cost security compromise and occasions of these procedures are CPM, heuristic technique, numerical programming draws near, and transformative based calculations Through composing writing audit and connections, the Non-overwhelmed Sorting Genetic Algorithm-II system is discovered to be a viable apparatus for looking the close worldwide ideal answers for an enormous construction issue. This was clarified by the presentation of GA when being applied to contextual investigation. The GA based multi-target time-cost wellbeing compromise issue is equipped for upgrading the time, cost, and security and danger of the undertaking. Here, action resources and time were straight forwardly used to analyse adventure cost. It was portrayed that this estimation can describe the Pareto front of the issue. So that, the leader gets decisions to comprehend adventure as demonstrated by his need. This GA based TCST solver took a ton of emphases; anyway it was a vivacious and discretionary glancing through calculation so it restricted the fundamentals to catch in close by optima. Along these lines, the headway of the GA based multi-target approach gives a compelling model to understanding endeavour time-cost smoothing out with resources thought. The assurance of Fitness work is inconvenient task for GA figuring. An ill-advised assurance of wellbeing limit may cause the estimation to be gotten into neighbourhood ideal plan. Through the features of MATLAB programming, this issue can be enduring. In genetic figuring model, size of the hidden people is furthermore critical for the pattern of computation. With increase in people size model puts aside a more exertion to make an ideal or near ideal courses of action. On the contrary side, little people size can trap a computation in neighbourhood optima, with the objective that it couldn't give an ideal game plan. The assessment of the yield got by proposed model with yield of the procedures used to deal with TCST issue, exhibit that the course of action gave by proposed model is healthier than others. So it will in general be contemplated that the GA based multi-target improvement headway model with features of MATLAB writing computer programs is more effective and capable to handle time-cost and wellbeing smoothing out issue for huge advancement adventure.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hallowell MR, Gambatese JA. Construction safety risk mitigation, J Constr Eng Manag 2009; 135(12): 1316-23.
- [2] A. Afshar and H.R. Zolfaghar Dolabi, international journal of optimization in civil engineering Int. J. Optim. Civil Eng., 2014; 4(4):433-450
- [3] Feng C-W, Liu L, Burns SA. Using genetic algorithms to solve construction time-cost trade-off problems, J Comput Civil Eng 1997; 11(3): 184-9.
- [4] Li H, Cao J-N, Love P. Using machine learning and GA to solve time-cost trade-off problems, J Constr Eng Manag 1999; 125(5): 347-53.
- [5] Zhang H, Li H. Multi-objective particle swarm optimization for construction time-cost tradeoff problems, Constr Manag Econ 2010; 28(1): 75-88.
- [6] Kalhor E, Khanzadi M, Eshtehardian E, Afshar A. Stochastic time-cost optimization using non-dominated archiving ant colony approach, Autom Constr 2011; 20(8): 11931203.
- [7] Hegazy T. Optimization of construction time-cost trade-off analysis using genetic algorithms, Can J Civil Eng 1999; 26(6): 685-97.
- [8] El-Rayes K, Khalafallah A. Trade-off between safety and cost in planning construction site layouts, J Constr Eng Manag 2005; 131(11): 1186-95.
- [9] Zheng DX, Ng ST. Stochastic time-cost optimization model incorporating fuzzy sets theory and nonreplaceable front, J Constr Eng Manag 2005; 131(2): 176-86.
- [10] Zahraie B, Tavakolan M. Stochastic time-cost-resource utilization optimization using nondominated sorting genetic algorithm and discrete fuzzy sets, J Constr Eng Manag 2009; 135(11): 1162-71.
- [11] Kartam NA. Integrating safety and health performance into construction CPM, J Constr Eng Manag 1997; 123(2): 121-6.











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)