



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 8 Issue: IX Month of publication: September 2020 DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2020.31685

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🕥 08813907089 🔰 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com



Leveling Resources for a Construction Project by Various Software based Leveling Tools

Ankit Gupta¹, Dr. Sanjay Tiwari²

¹P.G. Student, ²Professor, Department of Civil Engineering MITS Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India

Abstract: Resource management is the key factor of the project management in today's construction industry. Resources like material and labour have significant impact on construction projects, Project schedules obtained from network scheduling technique generally have unwished resource fluctuation that are clumsy, costly, inoperable and not fulfilling the max availability of resource at a particular time on construction sites. Resource leveling is essential in project management due to these undesirable and costly resource demands. Typically, schedules with improved resource profiles can be derived by shifting the activities within their float times considering the schedule of fixed duration found by various method like Critical Path method, Precedence diagram method, etc. However, if the project time duration can be extended, It is commended to find a schedule with a extensive resource leveling so far as a longer duration permits for extra float time for overall activities. In the modern era of development a project has a large number of activities, resources and relation whose scheduling could not made possible by manual operation. In this paper, we compare different tools of two commonly used software packages to enable the project manager decisive.

Keywords: Resource Leveling; Scheduling; Optimization; Project Management.

I.

INTRODUCTION

There are many scheduling techniques, such as Gantt charts, Critical Path Method (CPM), and Program Evaluation and Review Technique (PERT) used in construction and research projects. Among these techniques, CPM has been extensively used by construction industry practitioners by the late 1950s. Regardless its popularity, CPM has a general shortcoming as it assumes that resources are unlimited However, in real life, resources are limited and several activities may concurrently require these limited resources, which in turn may lead to scarcity and idleness. Such halts in production may bring about severe delays as well as cost overruns resulting from shortage costs, waiting costs, penalties, etc. Therefore, resources should be utilized proficiently in order to prevent project failures. Several studies focus on resource management in CPM networks Studies on resource management in CPM networks in construction projects can be categorized according to two approaches. The primary approach, which is known as resource allocation or resource-constrained scheduling, Assumes that, If there are limitations on resources. The main objective of this approach is to minimize project duration along with constraints on resources In the last 30 years, There are lot of project management software packages has appeared in the market to assist the project management organizations, Despite the wide spread of these packages , the project managers are left indecisive as to how they select the most suitable or appropriate tools for their respective tasks.

II. OBJECTIVE

The objective of this paper is to identify a optimum schedule for any construction project having various resource constraints and this paper also present the model approach which is capable of directly measuring and comparing the results generated by various software based resource leveling tools, impact of resource fluctuation on construction productivity and project duration , the approaches are also capable of generating experimental schedules that maximizes the capacity of resource utilization in construction projects.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

A number of resource leveling models and algorithms have been developed so far in order to reduce the level of fluctuation in resource utilization and their negative influence on construction productivity and finance .

Some major work published previously includes

Hani Gharaibeh (2014) author explains a comparison about project management software package on the basis of scoring model also tells about the efficiency of each software package and provided a score for each attribute which assist the user to understand how the software package executes.

T. Subramani (2015) explains about the Primavera P6 software and advantages of Primavera P6 software, he says that Primavera P6 is amazing software which is not only used by project planners but anyone involved in project, i.e. managers, engineers, schedulers, contractors can use Primavera P6software, focusing on the comparison of construction estimate methods application in project



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue IX Sep 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

utility. Primavera permit users to generate project templates, which can be kept and used for the future project, also Primavera can also be used to group and look over multiple projects at the same time.

P. Thangam (2016) explains that, Past work was carried out was not done properly with lack of planning, scheduling and resource allocation. So that resources were in vain and their result in increasing time. After using primavera software in work, it gives reform in quality of construction with prescribed cost and time.

Unmesh. Y. Polekar (2015) says that in each year an immense amount of money wastes due to unfair resource management. This improper management of resources also causes increase in time duration. Using Primavera software, we can organize our project and diagnose potential problems. Primavera software is used for making project management smoother, especially when we encounter difficulties Planning the project means thinking about, and documentation.

B.S.K Reddy (2015) says that resource plays important role in any construction project. The performance of any construction project directly depends upon resource management. For the purpose of resource optimization and leveling author choose primavera p6 software in ongoing construction project in Dubai at UAE. The main objective of this paper is to minimize under allocation of resources. After using primavera software author concluded that there is 5.65% resource reduction in the project.

Muhammad Sajjad (2016) focusing on how to select appropriate software for project management purpose. In this paper author predicts which of the among software tools are best suitable for the project management purpose. Author also discusses the web based project management tools in this paper. Author compares multiple software packages regarding software's features.

For Result Comparison, we use Sum of squares method by Hegazy (1999) Few Researcher deal with extending the project duration beyond the CPM duration and looked on the effect of such extensions on resource profiles, however these studies only assume a predetermined extended duration and are not focused on finding the minimal duration that gives the best leveling as we are finding in our study.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

To accomplish the above objectives, the author takes a case study of G+3 hospital building construction project to generate various schedules from different leveling tools available in Project Management Software Packages, In the following setup author takes two most commonly used software package named "Primavera P6" by Oracle Inc. And "Project 2013" by Microsoft Inc. . Both the Software have different approach to level the over allocated resources in order to fulfil the various constraints and dependencies in the project. To complete the above said work following System and softwares are required- A Computer System with Intel Core-i3 processor along with 4 gigabytes of RAM and 500 gigabytes of HDD(or higher configuration), "Primavera P6 Professional R16.2" or higher software by Oracle Inc. , "Project 2013" or higher by Microsoft Incorporation, "Microsoft Excel 2007" for Data calculation and management





ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue IX Sep 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

VI. RESEARCH WORK

To generate an ideal schedule for any project, the primary step is to collect data available for the project and follow the below explained steps

- 1) Creating EPS: The EPS stands for Enterprise project structure, create the complete structure of the organization with its branches and sub branches which is executing the project using Primavera
- 2) *Creating New Project:* The project composes a plan for creating a product or service contains a set of different activities and respective information. The project is administered under respective divisions in EPS. That may be given planned start and finish dates.
- 3) Work Breakdown Structure (WBS): WBS factors have defined and manage the project elements. It helps to clearly identify the deliverables, report and summarize project schedule and estimated duration at different levels of detail. WBS is a hierarchy of any project work that must be intact to complete a construction project. Each project has its own project WBS hierarchy structure with top level WBS element being equal to that of each EPS node of the respective project.

NBS				
Activities	Projects	WBS		
✓ Layout:	WBS			
WBS Code		-	WBS Name	Total Activities
🖃 🚞 🖸 + 3	Hospital Build		G+3 Hospital Building Construction	59
- F G	+3 Hospital Bu	ild.1	Pre-Construction Activities	4
- 🖬 G-	+3 Hospital Bu	ild.2	Foundation	7
🖻 🖬 G-	+3 Hospital Bu	ild.3	Structure	43
	G+3 Hospital	Build.3.1	Framing & Roof	16
-	G+3 Hospital	Build.3.2	Brickwork	5
	G+3 Hospital	Build.3.3	Door Window	4
ė. P	G+3 Hospital	Build.3.4	Interior	15
	👆 G+3 Hospi	ital Build.3.4	4.1 Plastering	5
	G+3 Hospi	ital Build.3.4	4.2 Flooring	5
	🔓 G+3 Hospi	ital Build.3.4	4.3 Plumbing	1
	G+3 Hospi	ital Build.3.4	4.4 Electrical	1
	G+3 Hospi	ital Build.3.4	1.5 Finishes	1

Fig. 2 Work Breakdown Structure

4) Defining Activity: The smallest sub divisions of a project are known as the activities. Activities are the fundamental and key work elements of a project and form the top to lowest level of a Work Breakdown Structure. The primary characteristics of activity includes Activity ID, activity name, duration, start and finish dates, activity calendar, activity codes, activity type, constraints, expenses, predecessor and successor relationships, resources, roles etc.

Activities						
Activities	Projects	WBS				
V Layout: C	lassic Sche	dule Layout F	ilter: All Activi	ities		
Activity ID	√ 4	Activity Name	Budgeted Labor	Original Duration	Remaining Duration	1
🖶 G+3	Hospita	I Build G+3 Hospital Building	(1900	116	116	Γ
🗆 🖬 G+	-3 Hospit	tal Build.1 Pre-Construction Act	tiv 18	5	5	L
	A1010 F	landover of Site to the Contractor	2	1	1	
	A1020 F	landover of Design and Drawing	0	0	0	[]
- A	1030 9	ite clearance	8	2	2	
	1040 N	Iobilisation of Formwork & Machinery	8	2	2	
🗆 🖬 G+	-3 Hospit	tal Build.2 Foundation	118	21	21	
- A	1050 9	Survey and Layout	12	2	2	1
- A	\1060 E	xcavation	32	8	8	
- A	1070 F	ormwork and Rebar - Column footing	32	4	4	
- A	1080 0	Casting- Column Footing	16	2	2	
🗕 🗕 A	\1090 F	ormwork and Rebar - Plinth Beams	12	2	2	
- A	1100 0	Casting- Plinth Beams	6	1	1	
	A1110 E	Backfill	8	2	2	
🗆 🖬 G+	-3 Hospit	tal Build.3 Structure	1708	91	91	
<			20.00		>	5

Fig. 3 Activity Table in Primavera P6



- 5) *Relationship Between Activities:* By assigning succeeding, preceding activities with significant relationship to the overall project activities, forms a network schedule, the activities should be connected to each other. Types of relationship between activities are as follow-
- a) Finish to start (FS) relationship
- b) Start to start (SS) relationship
- c) Finish to finish (FF) relationship
- *d*) Start to finish (SF) relationship

General	Status	Resources	Predecessors	Successors	Feedba	ICK		
÷	_	Activity	A1050			Survey a	nd Layout	
Activit	y ID 🗸	Activity Nam	e		Relations	Lag	Activity Status	Primary Resource
🗖 A1	020	Handover of	Design and Dra	wing	FS	0	Not Started	CNTR.Contractor
R A1	A1030 Site clearance					0	Not Started	USK.Unskilled Worker
		1	ove at (Зото				

Fig. 4 Activity Details Showing Relationships

6) *Creating a Calendar:* The calendars define the available work hours in each calendar days. Also specify national holidays, organizations, and project- specific work/non a workdays and resource vacation days. The calendar can be created and assign it to each activity. We can easily modify each day work hours by referring calendar

 Tota 	l work he	Jurs/day				(De	tailed work ho	ours/day		
<	0	Se	ptember :	2020		>	Work hou	irs/day	1	ок
Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thr	Fri	Sat	8.0	-	0	Cancel
	-	1	2	3	4	5			•	Help
8	7	8	9	10	11	12				
13	14	15	16	17	18	19			2	Work
20	21	22	23	24	25	26			×	Nonwork
27	28	29	30	_					<u> </u>	Standard
						-				Wo <u>r</u> kweek
Standa	rd 🗌	Nonv	vork	Exc	eption					Time Periods
Inhorit	holidaya	and avon	ptions fro	m Clobal	Calonda	-				
_	e>	and exce	ptions fro	im Global	Calenda					

Fig. 5 Calendar in Primavera P6

- 7) Activity Duration: In planning stage of the work, the project duration is entered in the original duration field. It is defines as the time required to complete an activity. The actual duration can only be entered for the activities, which are completed.
- 8) Creation of Resources: Project resources are the people, capital, and/or material goods required for the successful execution and completion of a project. In primavera resources are of 3 types labour, Non-labour and Material

V Display: Current Pr	oject's Resources				
Resource ID	Resource Name	Resource Type	Resource Type Unit of Measure Primary Role		Default Units / Time
F/C	Fitters/ Carpenters	Labor			1/d
CLNT CLNT	Client Representative	Labor			1/d
CNTR CNTR	Contractor	Labor			1/d
S ELCT	Electricians	Labor			1/d
PLMB	Plumbers	Labor			1/d
S PNTR	Painters	Labor			1/d
N-VBR	Needle Vibrator	Nonlabor			1/d
P-VBR	Plate Vibrator used Slabs	Nonlabor			1/d
C-MXR	Concrete Mixer	Nonlabor			1/d
🕵 USK	Unskilled Worker	Labor			1/d
SK SK	Skilled Worker	Labor			1/d





ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue IX Sep 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

- 9) Assigning Resources: After creation, Resources can be assigned to respective activities
- 10) Schedule Project: Project can be scheduled on any specific dates, Scheduling alone assumes that all activities will be begin at their respective early start dates and all resources are available unlimited
- 11) Creating Baseline: A complete copy of the primary schedule is a simple baseline plan which provides a target against which a project's performance is tracked.
- 12) Resource Loading Diagram: It is a simple graph between Resource demand v/s Time of any specific resource , In primavera Resource Loading Diagram can be obtained by Resource Usage Profile Tab on Toolbar
- 13) Over Allocation of Resources: If the resource demand of any resource exceeds its maximum availability then it is known as over allocation of resources. To dissipate this over allocation, leveling of resource has to be done.



14) Leveling Resources: Leveling of over allocated resource can be automatically in software, where we has to select leveling tools to the level the project in consideration of various resource constraints

	Level Resources	
Automatically level resources	when scheduling	Close
Consider assignments in other	projects with priority equal/higher than	Level
Preserve scheduled early and	late dates	Default
Recalculate assignment costs	after leveling	Derault
Level all resources	Select Resources	View Log
		Help
Level resources only within a	activity Total Float	
Preserve minimum float when	leveling 0h	
	-	
Max percent to over-allocate	resources 25	
Leveling priorities		
Field Name	Sort Order	
Activity ID	Ascending	
<	>	
🕂 Add 🖼 Remove		
Log to file		
C:\Users\ANKIT\Documents\S	SCHEDLOG.TXT	

Fig. 8 Leveling Window in Primavera P6



ctiviti		ources Projects WBS						
			Filter: All Activit	ties				
Activity I		C Activity Name		Original Duration	Remaining Duration		Nov 01 Nov 08 Nov 15 No Mon Tue Wed Thr Fri Sat Sun Mon Tue	v 22 Ved Th
	😑 A124	40 Casting - Beams and Floor slabs of Second floo	w 16	2	2	; of	Second floor	
	😑 A125	50 Formwork and Rebar- Columns of Third Floor	16	2	2	ar-I	Column's of Third Floor	
	📟 A126	60 Casting- Columns of Third Floor	8	1	1	mn	s of Third Floor	
	📟 A127	70 Formwork and Rebar-Beams and Floor Slabs	of T 32	4	4		Formwork and Rebar- Beams and Floor Slabs of Third Floor	
	📟 A128	30 Casting - Beams and Floor slabs of Third floor	16	2	2		Casting - Beams and Floor slabs of Third floor	
	G+3 Ho	ospital Build.3.2 Brickwork	300	39	39		▼ 23·Nov-	20, G+
	A129	Brickwork on Ground floor	72	12	12	- I.		
	A130	00 Brickwork on First floor	72	12	12		Brickwork on First floor	
	A131	0 Brickwork on Second floor	72	12	12		Brickwork on Second floor	
	📥 A132	20 Brickwork on Third floor	72	12	12		Brickwo	ark on
	A13	Brickwork of parapet walls on terrace	12	2	2		Brickwork of parapet walls on terrace	
-		ospital Build.3.3 Door Window	72	33	33		¥ 23 Nov-	20, G
		10 Installation of Doors and windows on Ground F	looi 18	6	6	win	dows on Ground Floor	
	A135	50 Installation of Doors and windows on First Floo	18	6	6		-the stallation of Doors and windows on First Floor	
	A136	0 Installation of Doors and windows on Second F	loo 18	6	6	~		
						× .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Displ	lave Current	Project's Resources					✓ Display: Open Projects Only	
esource			Default Units / / Time /			^ 20	Budgeted Units	
P-VB	R Pla	te Vibrator used {	1/d				Actual ones	
N-VB	BR Ne	edle Vibrator	1/d			16		
C-MX	KR Co	ncrete Mixer	1/d			12	🖉 🔲 Overallocated Early Units	
SK	Ski	illed Worker	1/d					
USK	Un	skilled Worker	1/d					
						¥ . 4		
Display	Activities f	or selected						
Tim	ne Period	Resource				Sun	Mon Tue Wed Thr Fri Sat Sun Mon Tue Wed Thr Fri Sat Sun Mon Tue Wed Thr Fri Sat Sun Mon Tue W	Ved T
		1					Nov 01 Nov 08 Nov 15 No	ov 22

Fig. 9 Resource Loading Diagram After Leveling

Above explained procedure was also applied similarly on Microsoft Project Software to perform leveling operation in different iterations

VII. OBSERVATION AND RESULT

In this work, we took a case study of "G+3 Hospital Building Construction" Project which has total 59 activities, 11 resource types and was initially assumed to be completed in **116 days** but the resources having constraint of maximum availability per day. After Scheduling, it was found that two resources (R1.1- Unskilled Worker, R1.2 –Skilled Worker) has over allocated than its maximum availability, we gave equal Priority Level to each activity

To level these resources we use total 4 iterations on different tools available in Project Management Software Package as follows-

		1401012	inprantation of Dorthard Tools to of a	
S. No.	Software Name	Sheet Code	Leveling Tool/ Priorities/Order	Duration
1	Primavera P6	Primavera Lvl-1	Activity ID	131 days
2	Primavera P6	Primavera Lvl-2	Total Float & Early Start	133 days
3	MS Project	MS Project Lvl-1	ID Only	134 days
4	MS Project	MS Project Lvl-2	Standard	126 days



Fig. 10 Resource Loading Diagram of R-1.1 Unskilled Worker Before Leveling



Fig. 11 Resource Loading Diagram of R-1.2 Skilled Worker Before Leveling

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue IX Sep 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com



Fig. 12 Resource Loading Diagram of R-1.1 Unskilled Worker After Primavera Lvl-1



Fig. 13 Resource Loading Diagram of R-1.2 Skilled Worker After Primavera Lvl-1



Fig. 14 Resource Loading Diagram of R-1.1 Unskilled Worker After Primavera Lvl-2



Fig. 15 Resource Loading Diagram of R-1.2 Skilled Worker After Primavera Lvl-2



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 8 Issue IX Sep 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com



Fig. 17 Resource Loading Diagram of R-1.2 Skilled Worker After MS Project Lvl-1



Fig. 18 Resource Loading Diagram of R-1.1 Unskilled Worker After MS Project Lvl-2



Fig. 19 Resource Loading Diagram of R-1.2 Skilled Worker After MS Project Lvl-2

To compare the resource level diagram results of different tools we use M_x Sum of square Method which Earlier used by (Hegazy 1999) in same context of comparing resource fluctuation,

	R-1.1 Unskilled Workers	R-1.2 Skilled Workers	Duration
	$\sum M_x$	$\sum M_x$	
Unlevelled	18230	3060	116
Primavera Lvl-1	14752	2552	131
Primavera Lvl-2	14632	2378	133
MS Project Lvl-1	14432	2496	134
MS Project Lvl-2	15400	2380	126

Table 2 Comparative results by Least Square Method

In the above result Iteration no.4 that is "MS Project Lvl-2" gives minimum project duration along with almost minimum $\sum M_x$ for R-1.2 Skilled Worker, Thus it the most optimum tool for the above case study.

VIII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we apply different leveling tools available in Software Based Project Management Packages to examine the best leveling tool to level resources fulfilling the constraints and condition for the above-explained case study of a construction project, As we know Indirect cost associated with any project has a straight relationship with the duration, thus to optimize the project costefficiently, the project should be leveled and scheduled with minimum duration along with fulfilling all the constraints The tools available in both softwares have their unique features and importance like we can add more than one tools for leveling in

Level operation, we can split the activities to be levelled in the MS-Project unlike in Primavera



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429

Volume 8 Issue IX Sep 2020- Available at www.ijraset.com

REFRENCES

- Jain, A. K.: and Joshi, R.: "Planning, Scheduling & Resource Allocation Analysis of a Residential Project using Primavera P6", International Journal of Scientific Research in Civil Engineering, 2019 IJSRCE | Volume 3 | Issue 4 | ISSN: 2456-6667
- [2] Bagade, P. P. : and Bhirud, A.: "Review On Construction Project Management Software Primavera P6" International Journal Of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology 2018 IJESRT | Volume 7(1) | January 2018
- [3] Subramani, T. : Sarkunam, A. : and Jayalakshmi, J. : "Planning and Scheduling of High Rise Building using Primavera" ISSN: 2248-9622, Vol. 4, Issue 6(version 5), June 2014, pp. 134-144.
- Sajjad, M.: Sadiq, M.: Kharwar N.: and Iqbal, M. S.: "Software Project Management: Tool assessment, Comparison and Advice for the Future Development", IJSSNS, Vol.16 No. 1 January 2016
- [5] Polekar, U. Y. : and Salgude, R. R. "Planning, Scheduling and Tracking of a residential Project using Primavera software" Internation journal of advance research in computer science and management studies, ISSN: 2321-7782, Vol. 03, Issue 5, May 2015
- [6] Reddy, B.S.K.: Nagaraju,S.K.: and Salman, M.D.: "A study on resource optimization for multiple projects using primavera" Journal of Engineering Science and Technology, Vol. 10, No. 02 (2015) 235-248
- [7] Thangam, P. E. : and Benila, R. M. : "Planning, Scheduling and Time Management of Six Lanes Road Construction Work at V.O.C Port Trust using Primavera P6 Software" IJSTE - International Journal of Science Technology & Engineering | Volume 2 | Issue 11 | May 2016
- [8] Gharaibeh, H.: "Evaluating Project Management Software Packages Using a Scoring Model—A Comparison between MS Project and Primavera" Journal of Software Engineering and Applications, 2014, 7, 541-554 | June 2014
- [9] El-Rayes, K. : and Jun, D.H. : "Optimizing Resource leveling in Construction Projects" J. Constr. Eng. Manage. 2009.Vol.135.No.11:1172-1180
- [10] Hegazy, T.: "Optimization of Resource Allocation And Leveling Using Genetic Algorithms" J. Constr. Eng. Manage. 1999.125:167-175
- [11] Stephenson, P. : and Fapohunda, J.A. : "Optimal Construction Resources Utilization: Reflections of Site Managers' Attributes. The Pacific Journal of Science and Technology, Vol. 11. No. 2. November 2010 (Fall) PN 105-109.











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)