iJRASET
International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

**Volume:** 9 **Issue:** VI **Month of publication:** June 2021

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2021.36137

www.ijraset.com

Call: 08813907089 | E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
*ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429*
*Volume 9 Issue VI June 2021- Available at www.ijraset.com*

# Analysis of Multi-Storied Building with Prestressed Beam using ETABS

Arya Prakash[1], Najma Ananthakumar[2]
[1]*PG Scholar, Civil Engineering Department, Mahaguru Institute of Technology, Mavelikara, India*
[2]*Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering, Department, Mahaguru Institute of Technology, Mavelikara, India*

***Abstract:*** ***Concrete is good in compression and weak in tension and the steel is strong in tension. So the use of reinforced concrete to resist compression and to hold bars in position and to the steel resist tension. In India RCC structures are commonly used in residential as well as business buildings. Nowadays the Post Tensioning method is widely used due to its advantages. Post tensioning is a form of prestressing, that means the steel is stressed before the concrete has to support the service loads. In this paper is exposed to the assessment of execution of Reinforced concrete beam (RCC beam) and Post-Tensioning beam (PT beam) multistoried building structure framework with seismic load using ETABS software. And also evaluate the performance of PT beam under different seismic zone (zone I, zone II) evaluate the performance of PT beam under soil type (medium soil) and compare the performance of RCC deep beam and PT beam with multistory building system with seismic loading performance.***
***Keywords***: ***Post tensioned beam, Reinforced concrete beam, Seismic load, Prestressing, Storey Displacement.***

## I. INTRODUCTION

In India RCC Structures are normally used in residential as well as business Buildings. At the present in construction industry the post tensioning method is widely used due to its advantages. Post-tensioning is a form of pre-stressing. It means that the steel is stressed before the concrete has to support the service loads. And most precast and pre-stressed concrete is actually pre tensioned the steel is pulled before the concrete is poured. It is commonly used for floor beams, piles and railways sleepers, as well as structures such as bridges, water tank and runways. And pre-stressed concrete is not necessary for columns and wall only economical for tall columns and high retaining walls. In ordinary reinforcement concrete which consist of concrete and mild steel. Concrete is good in compression and weak in tension. Compressive stress is resisted by concrete and tensile stress by steel but in the case of pre-stressed concrete, the concrete and steel are stressed prior to the application of external loads. The main advantages of pre-stressed concrete members are free from cracks and resistance to the effect of impact, shock, and stresses are higher than RCC structures. And also high tensile strength of steel and high compressive strength of concrete are used for pre-stressing that makes it more economical.

Two decade back there was a major issue that is lack of skilled workers for pre-stressing work. Nowadays there are endless workers for execution of a similar work and are less expensive. Analysis of RCC beam and PT beam section with multistory structure framework can be done by the software like ETABS. To calculate the multistory buildings strength and its property done by manually is very difficult and time consuming, however the software makes it with ease and rapidly. It also provides the multistory building 3D view. And evaluate the PT beam structure in different seismic zone condition (zone II, zone III) and under the soil type II. And compare the result with RCC beam structure.

### *A. Scope and Objectives*

The main objective of the project is:

*1)* To conduct Response Spectrum method on PT beam and RCC beam building.
*2)* To evaluate the performance of PT beam under different seismic zone.
*3)* To analyse the Bending moment, Shear force and Storey Displacement.
*4)* To compare the results of PT beam building and RCC beam building at two Zone (II&III).

## II. MODELLING

The modelling and analysis is carried out in ETABS 2019 software. A 15 storied building plan is used for this analysis. The building plan 20m X 25m and height of each storey is 3.5m. And analysis is done both in RCC beam and PT beam with different zone condition such as zone II and zone III. Seismic loads are applied in the structure.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

Table.1 Geometric Details

| Sl no | Description | Details |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | No. of stories | 15 |
| 2 | Plan dimension | 20x25m |
| 3 | Floor height | 3.5m |
| 4 | Grade of concrete | M 30 |
| 5 | Grade of steel | Fe 415 |
| 6 | Slab thickness | 200mm |
| 7 | Colum size | 600x600mm |
| 8 | Beam size | 450x450 |
| 9 | Seismic zone | I,II |
| 10 | Soil type | Medium soil |

The plan of PT beam building and RCC beam building is shown below. Plan dimension is 20 X 25mm. And column, beam and slab size is given in the table1.



Fig:1 Plan of PT beam building




Fig:2 Plan of RCC beam building

*A. Material Properties*

The materials selected in this analysis are M30 concrete and Fe 415 grade steel. The data regarding the modelling of the buildings shown in table 1.

*B. Sectional Properties*

The sectional properties are selected in this analysis are PT beam and RCC beam of sectional size 450x450mm and column size is 600x600mm.




Fig 3: Sectional property of PT beam

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

*C. Load Cases*

In this analysis the loads will include Dead load (IS 875 part I), Live load (IS 875 part II) and seismic load (IS 1893-2002). The dead load assigned in the form of Super dead load that is wall load at the frames are 15.17 kN/m and floor load at the shells are 5 kN/m and floor finish is taken as 1 kN/m. the load Combinations are considered for the design and analysis are as per IS 1893:2002.




Fig 4: Load conditions

## III. ANALYSIS OF THE STRUCTURE

The analysis is done by ETABS 2019 software and using Response spectrum method for the analysis of the structure. Response spectrum method is used to get the Storey Displacement, Storey Shear and Moment of the building.



Fig 5: Modelling of PT beam structure

An RCC structure is a get together of beam, column and slab associated wit one another as a solitary unit. In this paper, we compare the bending moment, shear force and storey displacement of both RCC beam and PT beam structures. And also analyse the structures in different zone conditions.



Fig 6: 3D Modelling of RCC beam structure

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

From Response spectrum analysis results the Bending moment value of the Reinforced concrete beam structure and Post-Tensioned beam are given below.

Table 2: Bending moment of RCC beam and PT beam building at zone II

| Storey | RCC beam (kNm) | PT beam (kNm) |
|---|---|---|
| Storey 15 | 95.321 | 46.341 |
| Storey 14 | 94.241 | 45.809 |
| Storey 13 | 93.104 | 45.5389 |
| Storey 12 | 92.561 | 45.224 |
| Storey 11 | 91.942 | 44.8357 |
| Storey 10 | 91.110 | 43.7273 |
| Storey 9 | 90.101 | 42.982 |
| Storey 8 | 89.601 | 42.680 |
| Storey 7 | 88.651 | 42.479 |
| Storey 6 | 87.980 | 42.241 |
| Storey 5 | 87.554 | 41.961 |
| Storey 4 | 87.187 | 41.626 |
| Storey 3 | 86.344 | 41.265 |
| Storey 2 | 83.567 | 40.668 |
| Storey 1 | 81.623 | 40.601 |

Table 3: Bending moment of RCC beam and PT beam at zone III

| Storey | RCC beam (kNm) | PT beam (kNm) |
|---|---|---|
| Storey 15 | 95.321 | 46.341 |
| Storey 14 | 94.241 | 45.809 |
| Storey 13 | 93.104 | 45.5389 |
| Storey 12 | 92.561 | 45.224 |
| Storey 11 | 91.942 | 44.8357 |
| Storey 10 | 91.110 | 43.7273 |
| Storey 9 | 90.101 | 42.982 |
| Storey 8 | 89.601 | 42.680 |
| Storey 7 | 88.651 | 42.479 |
| Storey 6 | 87.980 | 42.241 |
| Storey 5 | 87.554 | 41.961 |
| Storey 4 | 87.187 | 41.626 |
| Storey 3 | 86.344 | 41.265 |
| Storey 2 | 83.567 | 40.668 |
| Storey 1 | 81.623 | 40.601 |

The bending moment is maximum at the top of the storey. The bending moment value goes on increasing as the height of the building increases. The value of bending moment is higher for RCC beam than PT beam.




Fig:7 Bending moment at zone II




Fig 8: Bending moment at zone III

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

Table 4: Shear force of RCC beam and PT beam

| Storey No | RCC Beam(kN) | PT Beam(kN) |
|---|---|---|
| Storey 15 | 221.412 | 110.540 |
| Storey 14 | 220.842 | 108.115 |
| Storey 13 | 216.708 | 105.575 |
| Storey 12 | 215.607 | 105.061 |
| Storey 11 | 213.491 | 104.508 |
| Storey 10 | 210.681 | 102.816 |
| Storey 9 | 207.318 | 101.332 |
| Storey 8 | 203.297 | 99.571 |
| Storey 7 | 198.578 | 97.538 |
| Storey 6 | 193.113 | 95.188 |
| Storey 5 | 186.869 | 92.502 |
| Storey 4 | 183.562 | 90.854 |
| Storey 3 | 179.525 | 90.591 |
| Storey 2 | 174.558 | 90.316 |
| Storey 1 | 168.532 | 89.996 |

Table 5: Shear force of PT beam and RCC beam at Zone III

| Storey No | RCC Beam (kN) | PT Beam ( kN) |
|---|---|---|
| Storey 15 | 221.312 | 110.412 |
| Storey 14 | 220.689 | 108.101 |
| Storey 13 | 216.698 | 105.572 |
| Storey 12 | 215.593 | 105.055 |
| Storey 11 | 213.381 | 104.035 |
| Storey 10 | 210.210 | 102.811 |
| Storey 9 | 207.318 | 101.213 |
| Storey 8 | 203.102 | 99.532 |
| Storey 7 | 198.234 | 97.534 |
| Storey 6 | 193.112 | 95.182 |
| Storey 5 | 186.679 | 92.495 |
| Storey 4 | 183.236 | 91.049 |
| Storey 3 | 179.213 | 90.701 |
| Storey 2 | 174.432 | 90.305 |
| Storey 1 | 168.074 | 89.542 |

The value of shear force increases and lower values at the bottom storey levels. And compared to PT beam building RCC beam building has higher value.




Fig 9: Plot of Shear force at zone II




Fig 10: Plot of Shear force at zone III

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

Table 6: Storey Displacement of PT beam and RCC beam

| Storey | RCC Beam (mm) | PT Beam (mm) |
|---|---|---|
| Storey 15 | 28.305 | 11.037 |
| Storey 14 | 27.281 | 10.973 |
| Storey 13 | 25.968 | 10.94 |
| Storey 12 | 24.391 | 10.894 |
| Storey 11 | 22.581 | 10.83 |
| Storey 10 | 20.585 | 10.693 |
| Storey 9 | 18.447 | 10.329 |
| Storey 8 | 16.208 | 9.722 |
| Storey 7 | 13.905 | 8.909 |
| Storey6 | 11.572 | 7.934 |
| Storey 5 | 9.241 | 6.838 |
| Storey 4 | 6.935 | 5.656 |
| Storey 3 | 4.687 | 4.419 |
| Storey 2 | 2.544 | 3.15 |
| Storey 1 | 1.93 | 1.871 |
| Base | 0 | 0 |

Table 7: Storey Displacement of PT beam and RCC beam at zone III

| Storey No | RCC Beam (mm) | PT Beam (mm) |
|---|---|---|
| Storey 15 | 23.533 | 8.998 |
| Storey 14 | 23.189 | 8.945 |
| Storey 13 | 22.714 | 8.891 |
| Storey 12 | 22.085 | 8.834 |
| Storey 11 | 21.085 | 8.766 |
| Storey 10 | 20.378 | 8.648 |
| Storey 9 | 19.317 | 8.358 |
| Storey 8 | 18.133 | 7.881 |
| Storey 7 | 16.832 | 7.249 |
| Storey 6 | 15.421 | 6.493 |
| Storey 5 | 13.901 | 5.645 |
| Storey 4 | 12.281 | 4.733 |
| Storey 3 | 10.428 | 3.776 |
| Storey 2 | 8.01 | 2.78 |
| Storey 1 | 3.061 | 1.744 |
| Base | 0 | 0 |

The value of displacement goes on increasing from the base of the building to the higher storey. So the displacement is directly proportional to the height of the building. Also the displacement value is more for RCC beam. Comparatively the storey displacement value is higher for zone II than displacement value of zone III.




Fig 11: Shows the displacement of the PT beam and RCC beam building




Fig 12: Shows storey displacement at zone III

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the response spectrum analysis of a 15 storied high storey building with RCC beam and PT beam at two seismic zone III and zone II was analysed using ETABS 2019 software. And determined the parameters such as storey displacement, shear force and bending moment.

*A.* The shear force value increases with the increase in floor height and shear force value of RCC beam is more than the PT beam.
*B.* And also the value of bending moment for the RCC beam building is more than the PT beam. Comparatively the seismic zone II showed higher values than that of zone III shear force and bending moment value.
*C.* The value of storey displacement increasing from base of the building to the higher storey as the height of the building increasing. And the maximum value of storey displacement is 28.304mm.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

*D.* The seismic zone II the storey displacement of the RCC beam building has higher than that of PT beam building and seismic zone III the storey height displacement is also higher in RCC building. The seismic zone II shows higher displacement values than that of zone III.

*E.* The values of Storey Shear shear, Displacement and Bending Moment are more for Zone II than that of comparing with Zone III values.

## V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Authors wish to express their gratitude to Priya Grace IttiEipe, HoD, Mahaguru Institute of Technology, Mavelikara. Above all authors thank GOD Almighty for his grace throughout the work.

## REFERENCES

[1] Andrea dall'asta, Laura ragni and Alessandra Zona (2007), "simplified method for failure analysis of concrete beams prestressed with external tendons", journal of structural engineering, vol 133 issue I Jan 2007.

[2] Gounda Ghanem, Sayed Abd El-bakey et.al (2016), "Behavior of RC Beams Retrofitted with External post –tensioned system", International Journal of Civil, Mechanical and Energy science (IJCMES), Vol 2 issue 2455-5304 Jan 2016

[3] Abbas H. Mohammed, Nildem Taysi et.al (2017) "Finite element analysis and optimization of boded posttensioned concrete slabs", Congent Engineering (2017),4: 1341288.

[4] Ankit M., N. Jayarammappa and kiran (2018), "Flexural Behaviour of Post tensioned beam", International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, vol 9, Issue 7, july.

[5] Andre Felipe Aparecido de Mello et. Al (2016), "Analysis and Design of Reinforced Concrete Deep Beams by Manual Approach of stringer –panel method", Latin American Journal of Solid and structures 2016.

[6] Ajinkya D and V G Khurd(2017), "Nonlinear Flexural Behavior Of Post Tensioned Beam", international journal for research in applied science and engineering technology(IJRASET), Issue 11; volume 5.

[7] A Sahu, R Anubhav Rai, Y.K Bajpai(2014), "Cost Comparison Between RCC and Post Tensioned Prestressed Beam Spanning 26m", International Journal Of Computational Engineering Research ,Vol-4, Issue 6.

[8] Siddharth Jain and Rahul Sathbhaiya (2020), "Analysis of Long Span Structure Considering Prestressed Beams Using ETABS", International Journal of Scientific Research in Civil Engineering, Volume 4, Issue 5.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved



10.22214/IJRASET

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)