



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 9 Issue: VIII Month of publication: August 2021 DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2021.37844

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🛇 08813907089 🕴 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

# Failure Analysis and Optimization of the Tie Rod Using FEA

Shraddha Shrikrushna Bhirad<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mechanical Engineering Department, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Jayawantrao Sawant College of Engineering, Hadapsar, Maharashtra, India

Abstract: A car's steering wheel is used to connect the steering gear which is connect to the wheels via the tie rod ends. The main use of the tie rod end is to ensure the wheels are aligned. Hence the functioning of tie rod is crucial for steering as well as suspension performance of vehicle. Today's world is competitive with respective to efficiency as well as economical in price, every organization striving for cost effective product at a lower price and within minimum period for 'time to market. In this work, an attempt is made on optimization of the tier rod through changing materials and to reducing the weight. FEA analysis is performed on solid section and hollow sections with different thicknesses. The stress results at critical locations for different design iterations are discussed in this work. From the FEA results it is observed that the effected wright for hollow section is reduced by 18.15 % compare to solid section.

Keywords: Tie rod, ANSYS, CATIA, Stress, optimization

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Design of suspensions components in an automotive is very critical as they are constantly under varying loads. While designing the component we must ensure the safety. Apart from design perspective it is important to focus on the weight and cost of an individual component. The tie rod is an important part of suspension system. It connects the steering to the suspension in order to transform the motion. A tie rod assembly is attached to each end of the relay rod. The tie rod assembly consists of inner and outer tie rods that are usually connected through an adjusting sleeve.

In Many instances, failure analysis results indicate that the primary cause of failure of the tie rod was likely material deficiency [1]. The failures in the tie rod assembly is observed at the threaded locations, thus, The results of these experiments suggest strong guidelines on the minimum number of turns of thread engagement for preventing the failure of thread teeth of steel tie rods in practical shear and bending applications [2].



Fig.1 Exploded view of a manual rack-and-pinion steering gear assembly.



## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429

Volume 9 Issue VIII Aug 2021- Available at www.ijraset.com

Now days, a light weight carbon composite tie bar was developed to replace the conventional steel tie bar. This new composite tie bar can achieve high elastic strain and provides a consistent compaction pressure to the stack over long operation time [3]. For both conventional and composite tie rods, FEA techniques are generally used to determine its strength and durability [4]. Tie rod design is also validated with respective to dynamic analysis to ensure that natural frequencies are away from the system operating frequencies [5]. To optimize the weight of the tie-rod, many lightweight materials including aluminum combinations are used [6]. The above research papers have been very useful for deciding the analysis strategy. There were numerous conference papers, reference manuals, book by Robert cook Concepts and applications of FEA will be helpful for the project. In this work, the results obtained from EEA results are fully validated with amerimental results.

obtained from FEA results are fully validated with experimental results. It is found that there is a good agreement between FEA and numerical results. The objective of this work is to determine; tie-rod stress and deformation analysis, identification of critical factors that affects stress and deformation of tie rod, optimization of tie-rod based on critical factors, and suggestion of best possible combinations of the parameters for better results.



Fig. 2 Tie Rod Drawing

Parameter	Initial Design	Unit			
Е	211	GPa			
L	405	mm			
D	50	mm			
W * H	15*15	mm			
D	21	mm			
Density	7.830 -9	Tons/mm <sup>3</sup>			
Thickness	Solid	mm			

#### Table I Tie Rod Parameters

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 9 Issue VIII Aug 2021- Available at www.ijraset.com

## II. FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS APPROACH

Finite element analysis is performed to optimize the tie rod in two ways as follows;

- 1) Changing Material
- 2) Optimizing the design parameters

#### A. Optimization Analysis For Tie Rod At Different Materials

Materials are selected based on the usage in the industry. Four materials are selected which are widely used for tie rod. The mechanical properties of different materials are listed as shown in Table II.

Table II Material Properties					
				Tensile	
	Young's			strength,	
	Modulus	Poisson's	Density	yield	
Material	'E' (Mpa)	ratio	(Kg/m3)	(Mpa)	
Steel- SM45C	$210 \times 10^3$	0.3	7860	343	
Aluminum					
Alloy 6082	$72 \times 10^3$	0.33	2710	310	
Cast Iron – FG					
350	$110 \ge 10^3$	0.29	7200	350	
Titanium alloy	113.80 x	0.342	4430	880	
(Ti-6Al-4V)	$10^{3}$	0.342	4430	880	

3D model is created in 3D modeling software that is CATIA, and meshing is done in ANSYS (Fig. 3).

Higher order elements are used for meshing the model as shown in Fig. 4.



Fig. 3 (a) CAD model of tie rod, (b) Threaded portion



Fig. 4 Mesh Model





Volume 9 Issue VIII Aug 2021- Available at www.ijraset.com



Fig. 5 Results: Deformation and Stress

The stress at the critical sections of the tie rod for different materials is shown in Table II. From the FEA results shown in Table II indicates that there is no significant change stress.

Lable III Results					
Sr	Motorial	Weight, Deformation, kg mm		Stress, MPa	
No	Material				
1	Steel	1.7517	0.037057	28.483	
2	Aluminum alloy	0.6181	0.10107	28.72	
3	Gray Cast Iron	1.6066	0.06064	28.641	
4	Titanium alloy	1.0309	0.075799	28.166	

### B. Optimization Analysis: Design Optimization

 Design Optimization: Rectangular Cross Section: Hollow rectangular bar with different thickness are analyzed in order to optimize the design. Eight different design iteration are considered in this work as shown in Table II Till design iteration-7, the stress from FEA is observed below the yield strength (Table V). Design Iteration-8 shows that the stress from the FEA is above the allowable strength of the material. The deformation and stress plots for the design iteration-7 is shown in Fig 5.

Table IV Design iterations					
Trial No	Hole ID, mm	Hole ID, mm	Thickness, mm		
1	19.00	5.00	7.00		
2	19.00	6.00	6.50		
3	19.00	7.00	6.00		
4	19.00	8.00	5.50		
5	19.00	9.00	5.00		
6	19.00	10.00	4.50		
7	19.00	11.00	4.00		
8	19.00	12.00	3.50		

Table IV Design Iterations

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)



ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429 Volume 9 Issue VIII Aug 2021- Available at www.ijraset.com



Fig. 6 Results: Deformation and Stress

Trial No	Hole ID, mm	Thickness, mm	Weight, kg	Stress, MPa	Deformation, mm
1	5.00	7.00	1.69	30.461	0.05
2	6.00	6.50	1.67	32.439	0.06
3	7.00	6.00	1.65	39.56	0.07
4	8.00	5.50	1.62	43.516	0.07
5	9.00	5.00	1.60	49.054	0.07
6	10.00	4.50	1.56	58.548	0.08
7	11.00	4.00	1.53	75.163	0.08
8	12.00	3.50	1.49	197.8	0.14

Table V Design Iterations

2) Design Optimization: Circular Cross Section



Fig. 7 Results: Deformation and Stress





Volume 9 Issue VIII Aug 2021- Available at www.ijraset.com

### **III.TEST RESULTS**

Based on results of rectangular cross section of tie rod (section 2), at design iteration 7, it is observed that the stress is below the allowable limit of the material. The FEA analysis is repeated with circular hollow section of the tie rod with the same dimensions of design iteration-7 (Hollow rectangular cross section and observed that the peak stresses are lower than the allowable limit of the material. Testing is done for hollow circular cross section and the measured displacement is 0.0954 mm (Table VI). From the FEA, the displacement of the hollow circular cross section is 0.0946 mm. It is observed that there is good agreement between FEA and test results.

Table VI Test Results						
Condition	ID, mm	Material	Material Deformation, mm		1	
New Design	11	Steel- SM45C(C	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3	Avg.
	11	ircular with hole)	0.09 55	0.0952	0.0955	0.0954

Table VI Test Results

Parameters	Existing Rod	Suggested Rod		
Motorial	Steel- SM45C (Rectangular	Steel- SM45C (Circular +		
Wateria	+ circular and Solid)	Hollow- ID 11 mm)		
Weight	1.7517 kg	1.4338 kg		
% Change in Weight	Hollow Tie rod is 18.15 % lighter than solid			
Stress (Static)	28.544 MPa	45.674 MPa		
% Change in Stress	Hollow tie rod has 37.50 % more Stress than solid but its within			
70 Change in Suess	allowable limit			
Deflection (Static)	0.035969 mm	0.094642 mm		
% Change in	Hollow tie rod has 62.0 % More deflection than Solid but it's within			
Deflection	allowable limit			

#### Table VII Results Comparison

#### **IV.TEST RESULTS**

- A. Tie rod material and rod diameter play important role in rod failure and should be carefully selected.
- B. Tie rod with hollow ID- 11 mm shows safe results and is selected for further work.
- *C.* Circular section and Hollow tie rod at 11 mm ID show less weight (18.15 %) compare to Rectangular + Circular and solid and hence finally suggested for weight reduction case.
- D. Hollow tie rod at 11 mm ID shows high Stress (37.50 %) and deflection (62.0 %) values compare to existing but those are within limit.
- *E.* Fabrication of Tie rod with square and circular section is complex than only circular shape. Hence this new selection will also save time.
- F. Future scope of this work includes; dynamic analysis can be done, composite materials can be tested for less weight applications, and effect of Vibrations can be considered

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A.H. Falah"Failure investigation of a tie rod end of an automobile steering system" at Elsevier, Engineering Failure Analysis 14 (2007) 895–902.
- [2] Wei Duan "Failure analysis of threaded connections in large-scale steel tie rods" at Elsevier, Engineering Failure Analysis 18 (2011) 2008–2018.
- [3] Soohyun Nam "Development of the light weight carbon composite tie bar" at Elsevier, Composite Structures 134 (2015) 124-131.
- [4] Manik A. Patil, "FEA of Tie Rod of Steering System of Car" at IJAIEM, Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2013, ISSN 2319 4847.
- [5] NerioTullini "Bending tests to estimate the axial force in tie-rods" at Elsevier, Mechanics Research Communications 44 (2012) 57-64.
- [6] M. Amabili "Estimation of tensile force in tie-rods using a frequency-based identification method" at Elsevier, Journal of Sound and Vibration 329 (2010) 2057–2067.
- [7] MalgeSangeeta "Performance of the Structural Analysis of Ford CarSteering Rod" at IJRAT, Volume 2, Issue 2, February 2014, E-ISSN 2321-9637.
- [8] H.R. Kim"A study of the manufacturing of tie-rod ends with casting/forging process"at Elsevier, Journal of Materials Processing Technology 125–126 (2002) 471–476











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)