iJRASET
International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 9 **Issue:** IX **Month of publication:** September 2021

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2021.37990

www.ijraset.com

Call: 08813907089 | E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429
Volume 9 Issue IX Sep 2021- Available at www.ijraset.com

An Energy-Efficient Mobile Sink based Clustering using Simulated Annealing for Traversing

Shubhangi Jadon[1], Neha Bhardwaj[2]
[1]*Master of Technology,* [2]*Assistant Professor, Department of CSE & IT, Madhav Institute of Technology & Science, Gwalior-474001, India*

Abstract: ***Over recent decades, both scientific and commercial societies have been seeing the progress of wireless sensor networks (WSNs). Clustering is the most common form of growing WSN lifetime. The optimal number of cluster heads (CHs) & structure of clusters are the main problems in clustering techniques. The paper focuses on an efficient CH preference mechanism that rotates CH between nodes amid a greater energy level than others. Original energy, residual energy as well as the optimum value of CHs is assumed to be used by the algo for the choice of the next category of IoT-capable network cluster heads including ecosystem control, smart cities, or devices. The updated version of K-medium algo k-means++. Meanwhile, Simulated Annealing is implemented as the shortest path tree for mobile nodes which is constructed to establish the connection between the nodes for finding the shortest and secure path for data transmission hence resulting in faster data sending and receiving process.***

Keywords: WSN, CH selection, Residual energy (RE), Network Lifetime, Energy-efficient (EE).

I. INTRODUCTION

The broad definition of a WSN is several sensors in an environment-friendly environment. Sensors may gather different data types based on their established techniques. The processed data is submitted to a Base Service or sink during a certain processing phase. This procedure is carried out using different routing approaches. A battery or power supply that is always restricted is one of the sensor components. Such devices are often usually placed in locations where human beings cannot reach, so such components cannot be recharged or traded. Therefore, the power sources of the sensors can be utilized to the greatest degree possible, defined as energy efficiency that will improve the existence of the network. By sinking or matching energy usage of nodes, energy conservation may be accomplished. Clustering is an energy-saving approach. Clustering strategies on WSNs may be utilized for a variety of reasons: improved scalability, lower load, decreased energy usage, latency reduction, collision avoidance, link assurance, fault tolerance, load balancing (LB), energyhole avoidance & enhanced network life. [1]. Moreover, it helps to split the network region into sub-areas, which happens if other portions of the network field are not accessible to sensor nodes[2].

The best usage can be made of a WSN in remote places where human activity is difficult. The network must grow ad-hoc in such a challenging environment, as well as the nodes will sense the event & details to a central node called a dish or BS for the next processing and analysis stage. WSN suffers from an energy hole issue in static routing where repeated contact is rendered as sensed data is sent to the static sink. For energy efficiency, sinking agility is useful to manage load based on the remaining capacity, network access, and efficient data transmission. Applications in the sensor sector involve sinking mobility, for example. Health system, prevention of incidents, battle area, identification of intrusions, land sliding area, and so forth. Finding sink versatility allows improving the wireless network's existence by growing energy usage, path reconfiguration [3].

Figure 1.Shows the deployment of WSN in wireless networks [3]




Figure 1.Architecture of WSN

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

Clustering has been suggested by the scientific district to collect data from WSN and is one of the energy-efficient alternatives. It creates a variety of clusters.

There are a variety of nodes with participants CH in every cluster. It gathers data as of its participants (communication inside cluster). To order to send data to unify BS CHs collaborate CHs).

Section II, the remainder of the paper explains relevant research briefing on the approaches to data collection & routing to mobile devices in WSM in literature. The suggested system is discussed in depth in Section III. Section IV explains the efficiency evaluation of the suggested model for simulation set-up as well as the results.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Shah et al. [4], who refers to mobile sinks as "data mules," proposed to form the basic definition of mobile sinks. The mule conducts a random walk in the surrounding field to collect data packets & then dump data at a few points of entry. Energy usage may be minimized considerably since the propagation size between nodes is low.

Wang et al. [5] Proposal for enhances network existence with a Mobility-Based data collection algo. The region of the network in its approach is circular, by MSs in back & forth movement on edge of the loop.

Wang etal. [6] Implemented a technology based on the versatility of clustering algorithms &SN use under the principle of the smart home network in [6]. Two situations for a specific no. of mobile sinks were listed. First, they useda standard sink for separate loop movement. We then applied multi-mobile sinks to determine the strongest no. in the triangular region with cell sinks. We assumed the handheld sinks were running at a steady speed. The pace of the mobile agent is a significant parameter in mobility dependent strategies.

In [7], the rate of distribution of the mobile dish is reduced. This scheme will improve network existence by utilizing many mobile disks with a set speed to reach a large packet transmission ratio.

The decreased sink speed, however, decreases the latency of the data delivery [8], when utilizing both sinks, the problem of data latency can be solved and the packet supply ratio improves. This paper seeks to address the issue of the energy vacuum by utilizing uneven speeds on the MSs. Furthermore, the speeds of MSs are independently set in dissimilar angles.

The energy usage of nodes is known to assess the optimum cluster size before clustering. In this paper [9]. A phase genetic algo (GA) is used to evaluate the cluster size interval and to extract the exact interval value. Energy loss is an underlying problem that contributes to a major reduction in the lifespan of the network. This problem is induced by synchronized resource depletion of nodes in different network layers. Therefore, to match the energy usage of CH, we suggest the Circular motion of Mobile-Sink with diverse speed algo (CM2SV2).

Throughout this context[10] a modern cluster centered solution is suggested utilizing managed flooding with several mobile sinks to increase the lifespan of a WSN by taking inno.RE of each node & efficient transmission of data inside WSN. This method, independent of the tool used for specifying the direction of travel on a mobile sink, utilizes an advance model and a regulated mobility model. Simulation tests show that the numerous cell sink with a decreased path reconstruction has improved WSN's EE or life.

III. SYSTEM MODEL

1) For implementing the sensor network, we adopt the following specific assumptions[11]
2) There are standard sensor nodes.
3) At first, the same residual energy is visible to all sensor nodes.
4) Both systems are standardized and operational, including data collection, retrieval, and contact.
5) There are two-way or symmetrical contact connections between sensor nodes.
6) The node for mobile sinks has infinite capacity and can fly anywhere in area R.
7) Around sensor nodes, no obstacles occur.

The sensors are deployed randomly in the field &the whole system is separated in several clusters. The cluster head for the area is selected depending on the energy level of sensors. Generally, CH is selected as a sensor with the lowest residual energy. The other participants then relay knowledge to the center of the cluster. The leader of the cluster shall send data to the mobile sink.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429
Volume 9 Issue IX Sep 2021- Available at www.ijraset.com

A. Energy Model

Two methods, free-space interface ef d2 as well as the multipath fading, were used for energy consumption analysis
Emd4 pattern. The distance among the transmitter & receiver is base on all types. the figure displays the radio energy model. 2. Then the radio uses a k- packet to relay it at distance d:

$$E_{TX(k,d)} = E_{TX-elec}(K) + E_{TX-amp}(k,d)$$

$$\begin{cases} k * E_{elec} + k * \varepsilon_f * d^2 \\ k * E_{elec} + k * \varepsilon_m * d^4 \end{cases}$$

$$E_{RX}(k) = E_{RX-elec}(K) = k*E_{elec}$$

ETX: energy usage needed for the transfer of packages.
Eelec: is electronic energy that relies on filtering, digital coding modulation, or signal amplification.
ERX: energy usage needed for the reception of packets.
d0: is equivalent to the multipath fading sequence square root of the EDA separating the free space model.




Figure 2. Radio Model

B. Network Model

The common model of WSN is included in this paper. This has the following qualities and characteristics. In the sensing area, sensor nodes are used at random so each node will measure the distance from its neighboring nodes[12]. All sensor nodes are normal after the random rollout is finished and engage in the selection process for clusters. Throughout the sensing area, each sensor may either be run as a standard node or as CH, base on characteristics of sensors, including distance among both sensors or residual energy. A growing part of the cluster senses the atmosphere and transmits the data to its respective CH..no. of CHs remains still below the number of sensors in the field. every sensor normally functions at different sensor & power levels. contact media is wireless & correspondence will take place within the link distance among cluster leaders &CHs.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

A. Problem Formulation

Three specific problems may be clarified by the ACO protocol drawbacks.

1) To tackle broad cumulative issues, the first issue involves inefficiency.
2) Since ACO's time complexity is O (n*(n-m*T/2), solving large-scale problems requires more time.
3) Since ACO requires a broad variety of parameters the incorrect collection of initial values will contribute to local optimum, stagnation around local optimum, premature convergence, and finally a convergence of the entire ant colony & inability to achieve the optimum solution.
4) As in the case, if the problem becomes too high, each ant can step individually and requires more time to run the whole algorithm, thereby decreasing the output of reaching the optimum.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

B. Proposed Approach

1) *K-MEANS++:* We used a modern clustering algorithm in the proposed study to resolve the limitations of previous research algorithms. The latest method for the clustering is the update to the K-means, which is also referred to as K-means++. We settled on a plan to use the Cluster Head (CH), and later connecting CH-nodes to the ACO substitute in the current work by a virtual Annealing algorithm. For the k-means clustering algo, k-means++ is an algo for the discovery of the first values (or seeds). The NP-hard k-mean problem is an approximation algo — a way to avoid often weak clustering by standard k-mean algo. The idea behind this approach is that it is good to spread the k initial Cluster Center (CC): the first CC is selected by random from clustered data points, after which the remaining data points are selected in a probability proportional (PP) to its square distance from most similar cluster centers.

The following is K-Means++ algo:

Step 1 Select a center consistently between the data points.

Step 2 Compute the distance from x to the closest core for every database point x, compute D(x),.

Step 3 Should choosedata point randomly as core by the weighted distribution of probabilities where an item is select by PP to D(x)2.

Step 4 Replicate steps 2 & 3 to pick k centers.

Step 5 That now initial centers, continue with regular clustering by k-means.

2) *Cluster Head selection (CHS):* An optimal CHS approach will tackle various issues to boost network existence, such as minimal node energy consumption, the gap between cluster or cluster head, or contact range. The mobile sink will also move across the R area to efficiently protect all cluster heads. Accordingly, the best cluster head is chosen and the best path is determined for MDC similar to the suggested strategy.

We mean that the Boolean xij value for the sensor nodes is part of the CHs:

$$\begin{cases} 1, \text{if } s_i \text{ joins to } c_j \\ 0, \quad \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $1 \le I \le n$, $1 \le j \le m$. There are many clusters in the entire network. A cluster node acts as a CH&the majority of nodes are part of the cluster. CH is chosen following: firstly measure the network's cumulative residual energy:

$E_r = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{res}(i)$

Measure Th threshold value by calculating the total sensor residual energy:

Th=1/n.Er:

To select a sensor si as a CH, the residual energy of si must be greater than the threshold value and must be higher than that of the other sensors. Further, in this sub-region, there should be a min total gap amid Si and other sensors. In the sub-region where(x1;y1) are the sensor co-ordinates, the difference amid the sensors si (x1) or sj (x2; y2) is amid the sensors sj and sj (x2;y2) the sensor co-ordinates sj. The cluster head cj is selected according to the above constraints and its specifics are transmitted to the entire cluster members Identification.

3) *Simulated Annealing:* Simulated annealing is a metaheuristic technique influenced by the metallurgical ringing method. It is a basic method of optimization that involves heating and cooling the content controller to amplify the crystal. Depending on the ambient temperature, the energy is decreased to eliminate flaws in metal frameworks. The simulated rinse technique uses temperature improvement as the control factor and internal strength. A primary S solution and a modified solution generated as S 'starts the simulated scrub. When the health function F(S *) is less than F(S), the solution for the procedure is given.

$$Pb = \exp\left(\frac{-(f(S^*) - f(S))}{T_m}\right) \qquad (1)$$

The higher fitness value of S * with the given likelihood in Eq is recognized. [6]. The quest method will prevent the distortion in the local optima for this specific approach. In this case, F(S *) is the adjacent solution's fitness function and F(S) is a real solution's fitness function. The control parameter is described by Temperature Tm. The balance status is reached based on the sequence of moves and the temperature regulation parameter is defined based on the cooling intensity.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

The Tm control parameter affects global search efficiency. The virtual annealing cycle has a greater chance if the temperature obtains a large initial value. The SA protocol would be inferred if no modifications exist following a series with a temperature drop. If the initial temperature is small and the processing period shorter, the probability of finding a global solution is further reduced.

$Tm = \delta k + To + Tfn$ (2)

Here δk is decreasing Tm, $0 < \delta < 1$, k is the number of stints provided by neighboring solutions; To is the initial temperature value, but Tfn is the final temperature value. The procedure for SA is described below algo.

C. Algorithm of Simulated Annealing

step 1. Produce a key solution s0
step 2. Do
step 3. New s0 approach with s0 * neighbor produce
step 4. Calculate the Eq-based probability Pb. (1) The following: (1)
step 5. Support or reject a current Pb approach
step 6. Check the latest possible option
step 7. Heat minimization
step 8. Should not surpass stopping state.

Pseudocode: SA based K-means++

1) {si: Set of sensors in a given region R}
2) {cj: Set of CHs in si}
3) {Eres: re of sensors }
4) {Th: Threshold value for si to be selected as a CH}
5) {CRi: Max communication range of si }
6) {dis(si, cj): Distance among si&cj}
7) {Dcj : Degree of CH}
8) {Xicj: Constraint value for sito join CH cj}
9) {n: No.of sensor nodes}
10) {Nd: No.of dead nodes}
11) Deploy sensors randomly
12) Partition region R into No.of sub-regions
13) while T RUE do
14) loop i:= 1 to n
15) Calculate RE of si
16) end loop
17) Calculate Thusing Eq. 5
18) Find RE ofcj
19) Calculate distance among si&cj
20) Find the degree of cj
21) Calculate Xicj using Eq. 10
22) Sensor sijoins cjif Xicjis max
23) Call ACO with CH coordinates
24) Traverse MDC to collect data
25) Find Nd
26) if (Nd= n) then
27) FALSE
28) end if
29) end while

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

This segment contrasts the efficiency of the suggested solution with the latest work on the various sensor nodes. In the MATLAB setting, simulation experiments were carried out. Table 1 displays the test parameters. Figure 3 demonstrates the deployment and sinking location of specific sensor nodes.

Table 1.Parameters detail

Parameters	Value
Network size	200.200
No. of sensors	100–500
No. of rounds	3000–5000
Sensor energy	0.5 J
BS position	(100, 100)
Clustering	Dynamic
CH probability	0.05
Data collection	MDC




Fig. 3Nodes Deployment




Fig. 4. Network lifetime of 100 nodes




Fig. 5. Network lifetime of 300 nodes

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved




Fig. 6.Network lifetime of 500 nodes

If the first node dies &the second node lives, Figures 4, 5 & 6 equate the lifespan networks for the current algo to the algo suggested. At the outset, the algo is tested with dead conditions for first & last nodes in conditions of network existence. No.of sensor nodes for this evaluation is between 100 and 500.

The following statistics indicate that the solution introduced completes a longer network existence than the prior algorithm. The suggested algo also guarantees accurate collection or avoidance of gateways. The suggested algo in increasing iteration selects the cluster head depending on the node threshold value. Therefore, this method enables a balanced clustering with LB& avoids premature sensor death.

In Figures 7, 8 & 9 below the present algo consumes resources along with the new algo's energy usage. There are between 100 to 500 sensors. All figures show that the proposed algo consumes less energy than the existing approach with exact SNs.




Fig. 7. Remaining energy of 100 nodes




Fig. 8.Remaining energy of 300 nodes

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved




Fig. 9. Remaining energy of 500 nodes




Fig. 10.Comparison graph of the existing and proposed work for last node dead round




Fig. 11. Comparison graph of the existing and proposed work for first node dead round

V. CONCLUSION

Seeing that resources and life are two main restrictions like every WSN routing system, a lot of work was achieved to accomplish this goal. It is a complicated task to select an EE routing algo that fairly distributes the load throughout the network.

An effective path selection algo has been proposed in this paper for mobile sinks based onthe SA method. The solution requires two steps: a load-balanced clustering that is energy-efficient and successful data collection using mobile SA sinks. The findings indicate that the proposed algo integrates resources through the selection of CHs in each round & decreases cluster members' energy usage in data transmission. Due to their complex CH selection conduct in any test, the CHS algo is applied using an efficient LB technique. The findings show that standard SA for mobile sink routing increases the total network existence of sensors thus maintaining optimum operability. The tests have shown, however, that the efficiency of the proposed SA mobile sink routing cluster head selection algo is better than the current algo.


For the future, MDC will be applied using a self-healing method utilizing certain design methods dependent on software requirements.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

REFERENCES

[1] Liu X. A survey on clustering routing protocols in wireless sensor networks. Sensors. 2012;12:11113–11153.
[2] Latif K., Javaid N., Saqib M.N., Khan Z.A., Alrajeh N. Energy consumption model for density controlled divide-and-rule scheme for energy-efficient routing in wireless sensor networks. Int. J. Ad Hoc Ubiquitous Comput. 2016;21:130–139.
[3] S. Chinnappen-Rimer and G.P. Hancke, "Calculation of an optimum Mobile Sink path in a Wireless Sensor Network", pp.49-70, Chapter3, INTECH,
[4] Shah R.C., Roy S., Jain S., Brunette W. Data MULEs: Modeling a three-tier architecture for sparse sensor networks. Ad Hoc Netw. 2003;1:215–233.
[5] Wang J., Zuo L., Shen J., Li B., Lee S. Multiple mobile sink-based routing algorithms for data dissemination in wireless sensor networks. Concurr. Comput. 2015;27:2656–2667.
[6] Wang J., Yin Y., Zhang J., Lee S., Sherratt R.S. Mobility based energy efficient and multi-sink algorithms for consumer home networks. IEEE Trans. Consum. Electron. 2013;59:77–84.
[7] Ma J., Chen C., Salomaa J.P. mWSN for large scale mobile sensing. J. Signal Process. Syst. 2008;51:195–206.
[8] Khan A.W., Abdullah A.H., Anisi M.H., Bangash J.I. A comprehensive study of data collection schemes using mobile sinks in wireless sensor networks. Sensors. 2014;14:2510–2548.
[9] Niayesh Gharaei, Kamalrulnizam Abu Bakar, Siti Zaiton Mohd Hashim Ali Hosseingholi Pourasl ID, Mohammad Siraj and Tasneem Darwish "An Energy-Efficient Mobile Sink-Based Unequal Clustering Mechanism for WSNs" Sensors 2017, 17, 1858
[10] Nagamalar, T., & Rangaswamy, T. R. (2015). Energy-efficient cluster-based approach for data collection in wireless sensor networks with multiple mobile sinks. 2015 International Conference on Industrial Instrumentation and Control (ICIC).
[11] Chen, T. S., Tsai, H. W., Chang, Y. H., & Chen, C. T. (2013). Geographic convergecast using the mobile sink in wireless sensor networks. Computer communications, 36(4), 445–458.
[12] Xu, J., Liu, W., Lang, F., Zhang, Y., & Wang, C. (2010). Distance measurement model based on RSSI in WSN. Wireless Sensor Networks, 2(8), 606–611.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved



10.22214/IJRASET

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)