iJRASET
International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

**Volume:** 9 **Issue:** X **Month of publication:** October 2021

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2021.38463

www.ijraset.com

Call: 08813907089 | E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)
*ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429*
*Volume 9 Issue X Oct 2021- Available at www.ijraset.com*

# Analysis of Wind Load Effect on C-Shape Tall Building with and Without Shear Wall

Mr. Ankur Srivastava[1], Mr. Vinayak Mishra[2]
[1]*M. Tech Structural Engineering,* [2]*Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Institute of Engineering and Technology, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, INDIA*

***Abstract:*** ***Since land is scarce in metropolitan locations, it's common for tall building to be erected in C form. With the use of software ETABS 2019, this study examines the features of wind-induced story displacement and story drift operating on tall C-shaped models, with and without shear wall. Also, empirical formulae are used for manual calculations and to obtain the wind force and designed wind pressure on C-shaped tall building using codal provisions of IS 875 (Part 3) : 2015, also its applicability has been validated by a case study. For tall C-shaped buildings, this study gives a comparative result on the effect of wind load on building, with & without shear wall on behalf of maximum story displacement and story drift.***

***Keywords:*** ***Plan irregularity, shear wall, wind load, ETABS 19***

## I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, large number of irregular shaped tall structures have been constructed because of shortage of land in metropolitan areas. The structures' uneven forms is more responsive to wind stimulation. In the occurance of wind load, lateral displacement play a significant role in the buildings that soar to a great height. When the centre of mass and the centre of stiffness do not coincide, eccentricities occur in the structures, causing torsion.

It's crucial for structural engineers to analyse loads operating on a structure rationally and effectively, including wind load during design. There are a variety of C-type buildings that have plan anomalies. Seismic loading is made more difficult by the uneven design of the building. In recent years, high-rise constructions have become an essential element of the construction industry. Construction technological advances have led to the transformation of classic rectangular sky scrapers into unusually shaped towers. High-rise buildings face the same vertical pressures as conventional structures, but the lateral loads caused by wind and earthquakes have a higher influence. As a result of its restricted reach in terms of building height and design, its trustworthiness has been questioned.

High-rise structures are affected by both longitudinal and transverse wind forces, and in order to resist the building from transverse wind forces, Shear walls are provided in the structure. Shear wall is the structural panels that can bear lateral forces. Lateral forces are those that run perpendicular to the plane of the wall. Examples of dynamic loadings include wind load, earthquake and other factors. In the absence of perpendicular shear walls, side forces might topple parallel structural panels.

## II. METHODOLOGY

Here certain parameters have been taken into account and graphical depiction of the structure's parameter are illustrated in this technique. The Story displacement and Story Drift parameters are taken into account. These parameters are computed with ETABS Program and the data is obtained via the execution of loadings in the software.

Structures examined for graphical depiction are C type Building with and without shear walls. Each time, the wind blows in the X direction at a velocity of 50 m/sec, the story displacement and story drift value is calculated. And the outcome is displayed in Global X. The results are presented in tabular and graphical formats. Story Height will be graphed against its many characteristics. Take, for example.

Story height vs storey displacement (or) storey drift. In this case, the comparison was carried out by taking into account the highest output of the specific condition.

Also by the help of manual calculation various results are obtained such as designed wind pressure and wind force using IS 875 (Part 3) – 2015. All the result for each story has been shown in the graphical manner. C type structure is the structure having plan shape of "C" shape or it can also be known as rotated "U" shape structure.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

## III. MODELLING

### A. *Descriptions*

| S. No | Structure Part | Dimension |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Locality | Eastern coast of India |
| 2 | Building type | Residential G+30 |
| 3 | Structure Shape | C Shape RC Tall Building |
| 4 | No. of Spans in X-Direction | 40m |
| 5 | No. of Spans in Y – Direction | 35m |
| 6 | Number of bays in X- Direction | 8 Nos.@ 4m |
| 7 | Number of bays in Y- Direction | 7 Nos. @ 4m |
| 8 | Each story height | 3m |
| 9 | Complete height of building | 90m |
| 10 | Slab Thickness | 140mm |
| 11 | Size of column | 600*600mm |
| 12 | Beam | 300*600mm |

Table 1

| S. No | Material | Grade |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Concrete | M 30 |
| 2 | Steel Section | Fe 250 |
| 3 | Rebar | HYSD 500 |
| 4 | Density of Steel | 7850 kg/m cube |
| 5 | Youngs Modulus (E) | 2.1 X 10^5 N/mm^2 |
| 6 | Shear Modulus | 80000 N/mm^2 |
| 7 | Poisson's Ratio | 0.3 |

Table 2

| S No. | Parameters | Value |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Basic Wind speed | 50 m/ sec |
| 2 | Risk Co-efficient (K1) | 1.0 (Clause 6.3.1) |
| 3 | Terrain Category (K2) | 1.2344 Category 2 (Clause 6.3.2) |
| 4 | Topography factor (K3) | 1 (Clause 6.3.3) |
| 5 | Importance Factor (K4) | 1.0 |
| 6 | Wind directionality factor (Kd) | 1 |
| 7 | Area averaging factor (Ka) | 0.8 |
| 8 | Combination Factor (Kc) | 0.9 |
| 9 | Class of Building | Class-B |
| 10 | Windward Co-efficient | 0.8 |
| 11 | Leeward Co-efficient | 0.5 |
| 12 | Pressure coefficient [External] (Cpe) | 0.8 |
| 13 | Pressure coefficient [Internal] (Cpi) | 0.5 |

Table 3

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

*B. Models*

Below shown is the building which is not having shear wall and it's plan having shape "C" . It is the type of irregular structure having the plan irregularities. Here the wind is blowing in X direction and total no. of bays in X direction is 8 and that in Y direction is 7. Building is having height of 90m and the range of projection is within 15-20%.




Fig. 1 Showing building without shear wall

The shear wall is placed at each of the building's eight corners and the building is having plan irregularity of C-shape. The wind is blowing in the direction of X. Clause 4.4.4 of IS 4326 -1993 specifies that the length of its projection must be within 15 to 20 percent range in order to be considered irregular and this building satisfies that condition. The building's total height is 90m, hence it comes under the category of tall buildings.




Fig. 2 Showing Building with shear wall

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

*ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; SJ Impact Factor: 7.429*
*Volume 9 Issue X Oct 2021- Available at www.ijraset.com*

## IV. ANALYSIS

### *A. Manual Calculations*

Here It can be observed from Fig. 3 that as the height of building increases, the effect of wind force is also increased. This result is on the building of genaralised form, where no regularity or irregularity has been considered, only height, terrain category and other various factors has been taken into consideration for the manual calculation. So it gives the general idea about how the wind of particular velocity will effect the entire building while assuming certain parameters. And by using building of fixed shape and dimension, this analysis is to be carried outusing the software (Pd – Designed wind load, F – Wind Force). Here the maximum value of Design wind pressure is 1633.932N/m2 and Wind force is 1235.25x 10^3 N which is at storey 30.





Fig 3. Graphical representation of wind force and designed wind pressure

### *B. Calculations using ETABS 19*

**Note**: Comb1 is 1.5 (DL + IL) ,Comb2 is 1.2[DL + IL + WLx], Comb3 is 1.2[DL + IL + WLy], Comb4 is 0.9[DL+ IL+ WLx] and Comb5 is 0.9[DL + IL+ Wly] .

Where, DL = Dead loading, IL = Imposed loading, WLx = Wind loading in X-direction, WLy = Wind loading in Y-direction.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

1) *Story Drifts in X-direction for Absence and Presence of Shear Wall:* The following are graphs shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 that were created based on the existence of a shear wall. From study on the building with shear wall it has been obtained that in Comb 1 maximum value of story drift is 0.000198, for Comb 2 maximum story drift is 0.001239, Comb 3 maximum story drift is 0.001326, Comb 4 has maximum story drift 0.000929 and Comb 5 has 0.000995 as the highest maximum drift value. The entire value has been plotted on the graph and out of these, maximum value of the story drift is 0.001326 for story 7 in load combination 3 for building without shear wall.





Fig 4. Story drifts for building without shear wall





Fig. 5 Story drifts for building with shear wall

On the other hand, in the case when there is presence of shear wall, the value is significantly less. Maximum story drifts for the load combinations 1,2,3,4 and 5 are 0.000386,0.000732, 0.000823,0.000549 and 0.000617 respectively. Drifts are reduced when there is a shear wall present and the maximum permissible value is 0.0012. From this study it has been obtained that the maximum of the maximum value of story drift is on Story 13 or 12 i.e. 0.000823 for a building with a shear wall.

2) *Story Displacement in X-direction for Absence and Presence of Shear Wall:* X-axis displacement on C-shape building with and without the shear wall: graphs below show displacement generated depending on the presence of a shear wall, such the ones below. According to research done on a building with a shear wall, the maximum story displacement value in Comb 1 is 11.665mm , the maximum story displacemnt value in Comb 2 is 78.813mm , the maximum story displacement value in Comb 3 is 97.477mm, the maximum story displacement value in Comb 4 is 59.109mm, and the maximum story displacement value in Comb 5 is 73.108mm. Fig. 5 depicts the total value as a bar graph. Building without shear wall has the highest-maximum value of story displacement i.e. 97.477mm for story 30 in load combination 3.





Fig. 6 Story displacement for building without shear wall





Fig.7 Story displacement for building with shear wall

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

Secondly for building having shear wall, the value is comparatively less. Maximum displacement for the load combinations 1,2,3,4 and 5 are 21.261mm,31.033,65.012mm,23.248mm and 48.759mm respectively. Displacements are decreased when there is a shear wall present. For another thing, 360mm is inside the permissible range. From study it has been obtained that the maximum of the maximum value of story drift is on Story 30 i.e. 65.012mm for a building with shear wall present.

## V. RESULTS

Highest value of story displacement for the building not having shear wall is 97.4770 mm. Highest story displacement for the building with shear wall is 65.012mm.

Highest story drift for the building not having shear wall is 0.001326. Highest story drift for the building with shear wall is 0.000823.

Maximum wind force 1235.25x 10^3 N which is at 30$^{th}$ storey and the Design wind pressure (Pd) is 1633.932N/m2 at that point.

**Note:**

According to IS 1893 (part 1) 2016, the maximum story displacement value is H/250 [where H is the total construction height].

According to IS 1893 (part 1) 2016,maximum story drift is 0.004h [where h represents the height of one story] (Clause 7.11.1.1)

The results obtained are shown in tabular form as follows:

| S. no. | Parameters | Permissible Value | Building type | Wall Condition | Obtained Values |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 a) | Designed Wind pressure | nil | C-Type | Irrespective of wall condition | 1633.93 N/m^2 |
| b) | Wind Force | nil | C-Type | Irrespective of wall condition | 1235.25x10^3 N |
| 2 a) | Maximum story Displacement | H/250 i.e. 360mm | C-Type | Non-Existence in X- direction | 97.4770 mm |
| b) | Maximum story Displacement | H/250 i.e. 360mm | C-Type | Existence in X-direction | 65.012 mm |
| 3 a) | Maximum Story drift | 0.004xh i.e. 0.012 | C-Type | Non-Existence in X- direction | 0.001326 |
| b) | Maximum Story drift | 0.004xh i.e. 0.012 | C-Type | Existence in X- direction | 0.000823 |

Table 4

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

From analysis it is observed that the story drift and story displacement is maximum for the case when there is no shear wall. And also the value of story drift and displacement is comparatively less for the case in which shear wall is present. Hence purpose of installation of shear wall is fulfilled as it is reducing the effect of wind load.

It is also observed that maximum story drift without shear wall is 37.93% more than that in case of building with shear wall. And the Story displacement without shear wall is 33.30% more than that in case of with shear wall.


Maximum designed wind pressure, wind force and story displacement is coming at top of the building. Hence, it verifies the fact that wind pressure and wind force is maximum at the top of the structure. By performing this study, it has also been observed that shear wall is necessary in every corner; So that it can withstand the lateral load and keep the structure safe and durable.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

## REFERENCES

[1] Mr. S.Mahesh, Mr. Dr.B.Panduranga Rao "Comparison of analysis and design of regular and irregular configuration of multi Story building in various seismic zones and various types of soils using ETABS and STAAD" ISSN: 2320-334X, IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE) Volume 11, Issue 6 Ver. 1 (Nov-Dec 2014), PP 45-52
[2] Souvik Chakraborty, Sujit Kumar Daluiand and Ashok Kumar Ahuja (2014) "Wind load on irregular plan shaped tall building – a case study " ISSN: 1598-6225 Wind and Structures, Vol. 19, No. 1 (2014) 59-73
[3] K Venu Manikanta , Dr. Dumpa Venkateswarlu (2016) "Comparative Study On Design Results Of A Multi-Storied Building Using Staad Pro And Etabs For Regular And Irregular Plan Configuration" ISSN : 2319-6106 International Journal of Research Sciences and Advanced Engineering Vol.2(15), Sep' 2016
[4] Naveen G.M. , Chaya. S (2016) "STUDY ON REGULAR AND IRREGULAR BUILDING STRUCTURES DURING AN EARTHQUAKE" ISSN: 2455-7137, International Journal of Latest Engineering Research and Applications Volume 01, Issue 08, November 2016
[5] Yi Li , Q.S. Li , Fubin Chen (2017) "Wind tunnel study of wind-induced torques on L-shaped tall buildings", Journal of Wind Engineering & Industrial Aerodynamics 167 (2017) 41-50
[6] Mariyam, Sagar Jamle (2019) "Wind Analysis over Multistorey Building Having Flat Slab-Shear Wall Interaction" ISSN: 2456-1908 International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Science (IJAERS) Vol-6, Issue-5, May- 2019
[7] Pranali U. Meshram, Ms. Deepa Telang (2020) "Analysis of wind on tall structure" ISSN : 0731-6755, JAC : A Journal Of Composition Theory Volume XIII, Issue VI, JUNE 2020
[8] IS 875 (Part 1) : 1987, IS 875 (Part 2) : 1987, IS 875 (Part 3) : 2015
[9] IS 800 : 2007 "Code of practice for design load (other than earthquake) for buildings and structures."
[10] IS 4326 : 1993 "Earthquake resistant design and construction of buildings – code of practice"
[11] IS 456 : 2000 "Plain and reinforced concrete – code of practice"
[12] IS 1893 (part 1) : 2016 "" Criteria for earthquake resistant design of structures"

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved



10.22214/IJRASET

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)