



IJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 4 Issue: III Month of publication: March 2016

DOI:

www.ijraset.com

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

(1, 2) – Double Domination in Graphs

P.Murugaiyan¹, P.Padma², Dr.Paul Dayabaran³

¹Research scholar, ³Principal, Bishop Heber College, Trichy INDIA.

²Assistant Professor, PRIST University, Thanjavur, INDIA.

Abstract - In this paper , we introduced the (1, 2) – double domination number and also we discussed about its properties .

Keywords - (1, 2) – double domination number , independent double dominating set , independent triple dominating set .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification - 05C69

I. INTRODUCTION

Dominating queens is the origin of the study of dominating set in graphs . Berge [1] and Ore [7] were the first to define dominating sets. A new type of dominating set, (1, 2) – dominating set is introduced by Steve Hedetniemi and Sandee Hedetniemi [8] . In this paper , we introduced the (1, 2) – double domination number and also we discussed about its properties .

II. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1 : A graph is said to be complete if each of its vertices is adjacent to every other vertex.

Definition 2.2 : A graph is said to be regular if each of its vertices has the same degree.

Definition 2.3 : A graph is said to be cubic graph if each of its vertices is of degree three.

Definition 2.4 : A bipartite graph is a graph in which vertices can be divided into two disjoint sets A and B such that every edge connects a vertex in A to one in B.

Definition 2.5 : A (1, 2) – dominating set in a graph $G = (V,E)$ is a set S having the property that for every vertex v in $V - S$ there is atleast one vertex in S at distance 1 from v and a second vertex in S at distance atleast 2 from v .

Definition 2.6 : The order of the smallest (1,2)- dominating set of G is called the (1,2) – domination number of G and we denote it by $\gamma(1,2)$.

Remark 2.1 : From the definition of 2.1 , we see that a (1,2) – dominating set contains atleast 2 vertices, (1,2) – domination number of a graph will be always ≥ 2 and (1,2) – dominating sets occur in graphs of order atleast 3.

Definition 2.7 : For each vertex x in a graph G, we introduce a new vertex x' and join x and x' by an edge. The resulting graph is called the *corona* of G.

III. (1, 2) – DOUBLE DOMINATING SET

Definition 3.1 : A (1, 2) – double dominating set in a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a set S having the property that for every vertex v in $V - S$ there is atleast two vertex in S at distance 1 from v and a second vertex in S at distance atleast 2 from v .

Definition 3.2 : The order of the smallest (1, 2) - double dominating set of G is called the (1,2) – double domination number of G and we denote it by $\gamma_d(1,2)$

From the definition of (1,2) – double dominating sets, we see that a (1,2) – double dominating set contains atleast 2 vertices, (1,2) – double domination number of a graph will be always ≥ 3 and (1,2) – double dominating sets occur in graphs of order atleast 3.

Example 3.1 : Consider the graph




Fig. 1

In Fig. 1, { 1, 4, 3 } , { 1, 4, 2 } is a (1,2) – double dominating set.

Definition 3.3 : A dominating set S is an independent double dominating set if no two vertices in S are adjacent, that is, S is an independent set. The independent double domination number $i_2(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum cardinality of an independent double dominating set. Thus, $i_2(G) = \min\{ |S| \text{ dominates and } \Delta(\{S\}) \}$.

Example 3.2 : In example 3.1 [9] , $i_2(G) = 3$.

Definition 3.4 : A double dominating set S is called a perfect double dominating set if for every vertex , The perfect double

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

domination number is denoted as $u \in V$, $|N[u] \cap S| = 1$. The perfect double domination number is denoted as $\gamma_{pd}(G)$.

Definition 3.5 : A double dominating set S is called an efficient double dominating set if for every vertex $u \in V - S$, $|N(u) \cap S| = 1$. Equivalently, a dominating set is efficient if the distance between any two vertices in S is at least three, that is, S is a packing.

We note that, if a graph has an efficient double dominating set, then all efficient double dominating sets in G have the same cardinality namely $\gamma(G)$.

Theorem 3.1 : All (1,2) – double dominating sets are dominating sets.

Proof : The result is trivial from the definition of (1,2) – double dominating sets.

But the converse need not be true.

Example 3.2 : In example 3.1, $\{1,4\}$ is a dominating set.

But it is not a (1,2) – dominating set.

$\{2,3,4\}$ is a (1,2) – dominating set.

$\{1,4,3\}$ is a (1,2) – double dominating set and it is a dominating set also.

IV. (1,2) – DOUBLE DOMINATION IN COMPLETE GRAPHS

Theorem 3.2.1 : (1,2) – double domination is not possible in complete graphs.

Proof: In a complete graph, each vertex is adjacent to every other vertices. So we cannot find a (1,2) – double dominating set. No vertex can be found at a distance atmost 2 from any other vertex. Let G be a complete graph with n vertices. Then it will have $nC2$ edges and each vertex is of degree $n - 1$. The minimum number of edges to be deleted so as to become the resulting graph (1,2) – double dominating is $n - 2$. If we delete $n - 2$ edges from a complete graph, then in the resulting graph, we can find a (1,2) – double dominating set.

Lemma 3.2.1 : If a graph G with n vertices, has a vertex of degree $n - 1$, we cannot find a (1,2) – dominating set.

Example 3.2.1 : In this graph, we cannot find a (1,2) – double dominating set since each vertex is adjacent to all other vertices.




Fig. 2

In graph Fig. 2, we cannot find a (1,2) – double dominating set since each vertex is adjacent to all other vertices.

V. RELATION BETWEEN DOMINATION NUMBER AND (1,2) – DOUBLE DOMINATION NUMBER

In this section we consider different types of graphs and find out their domination number, (1,2) - domination number and (1,2) – double domination number and check the relation between them.

Example 3.3.1 :



Fig. 3

In Fig. 3,

$\{1,3\}$, $\{1,4\}$, $\{2,4\}$, $\{2,3\}$ are all dominating sets. $\gamma(G) = 2$.

$\{1,4\}$ is a (1,2) – dominating set.

$\gamma(1,2) = 2$.

$\{1,3,4\}$ is a (1,2) – double dominating set and double dominating set.

$\gamma_{d(1,2)} = \gamma_d = 3$.


$\therefore \gamma(1,2) < \gamma_{d(1,2)}$.

$\gamma < \gamma_{d(1,2)}$.

$\gamma_{d(1,2)} = \gamma_d$.

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

Example 3.3.2 :



In Fig. 4,

$\{1,3\}, \{1,4\}, \{2,4\}, \{2,3\}$ are all dominating sets. $\gamma(G) = 2$.

$\{2,3\}$ is a $(1, 2)$ – dominating set.

$\gamma(1,2) = 2$.

$\{2, 4\}$ is a double dominating set.

$\{2, 3, 4\}$ is a $(1, 2)$ – double dominating set.


$\gamma_d(1,2) = 3$.

$$\therefore \gamma(1,2) < \gamma_d(1,2) .$$

$$\gamma < \gamma_d(1,2) .$$

$$\gamma_d < \gamma_d(1,2) .$$

Example 3.3.3 :



In Fig. 5 ,

$\{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 4, 6\}$ are dominating sets.

$\gamma(G) = 3$.

$\{1, 4, 6\}$ is a $(1, 2)$ – dominating set.

$\gamma(1,2) = 3$.

$\{1, 5, 3\}$ is a double dominating set.

$\{1, 3, 4, 6\}$ is a $(1, 2)$ – double dominating set.


$\gamma_d(1,2) = 4$

$$\therefore \gamma(1,2) < \gamma_d(1,2) .$$

$$\gamma < \gamma_d(1,2) .$$

$$\gamma_d < \gamma_d(1,2) .$$

Example 3.3.4 :



In Fig. 6 ,

$\{1,2,3,4\}, \{5,6,7,8\}$ are dominating.

$\gamma(G) = 4$.

$\{1,2,3,4\}$ is a $(1,2)$ – dominating set.

$\gamma(1,2) = 3$.

$\{4, 8, 2, 6\}$ is a double dominating set.

$\{1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$ is a $(1, 2)$ – double dominating set.

$\gamma_d(1,2) = 6$

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

$$\therefore \gamma(1,2) < \gamma_{d(1,2)} .$$

$$\gamma < \gamma_{d(1,2)} .$$

$$\gamma_d < \gamma_{d(1,2)} .$$

Example 3.3.5 : Consider the bipartite graph G



Fig. 7

In Fig. 7 ,

{1,2} is a dominating set.

$$\gamma(G) = 2.$$

{1,4,5} is a (1,2) – dominating set.

$$\gamma(1,2) = 3.$$

{3, 4, 5 } is a double dominating set.

{ 2, 3, 4, 5 } is a (1, 2) – double dominating set.

$$\gamma_{d(1,2)} = 4$$

$$\therefore \gamma(1,2) < \gamma_{d(1,2)} .$$

$$\gamma < \gamma_{d(1,2)} .$$

$$\gamma_d < \gamma_{d(1,2)} .$$

Example 3.3.6 : Consider the cubic bipartite graphs G ,




Fig. 8

In Fig. 8 ,

{ 1, 5 }, { 2, 6 } is a dominating set.

$$\gamma(G) = 2.$$

{ 1, 5 } is a (1,2) – dominating set.

$$\gamma(1,2) = 2.$$

{ 2, 4, 6, 5 } is a (1, 2) – double dominating set.

$$\gamma_{d(1,2)} = 4$$

$$\therefore \gamma(1,2) < \gamma_{d(1,2)} .$$

$$\gamma < \gamma_{d(1,2)} .$$




Fig. 9

In Fig. 9,

{ 1, 6 } is a dominating set.

$$\gamma(G) = 2.$$

{ 1, 6 } is a (1,2) – dominating set.

$$\gamma(1,2) = 2.$$

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

$\{ 1, 3, 6, 7, 8 \}$ is a $(1, 2)$ – double dominating set.

$$\gamma_{d(1,2)} = 5 .$$

$$\therefore \gamma(1,2) < \gamma_{d(1,2)} .$$

$$\gamma < \gamma_{d(1,2)} .$$

Remark 3.3.1 : In all the above examples , we conclude the following

- i) domination number is less than $(1, 2)$ – double domination number .
- ii) double domination number is less than $(1, 2)$ – double domination number .
- iii) $(1, 2)$ – domination number is less than $(1, 2)$ – double domination number .

From the above examples we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3.1 : In a graph G , domination number is less than or equal to $(1, 2)$ – double domination number.

Proof : Let G be a graph and D be its double dominating set. Then every vertex in $V - D$ is adjacent to a vertex in D . That is, in D , for every vertex u , there is a 2 vertex which is at distance 1 from u . But it is not necessary that there is a second vertex at distance atmost 2 from u . So if we find a $(1, 2)$ – dominating set, it will contain more vertices or atleast equal number of vertices than the dominating set. So the domination number is less than or equal to $(1,2)$ – domination number.

Theorem 3.3.2 : In a graph G , $(1, 2)$ – domination number is less than or equal to $(1, 2)$ – double domination number.

Proof : Similar to theorem 3.3.1.

Theorem 3.3.3 : In a graph G , double domination number is less than or equal to $(1, 2)$ – double domination number.

Proof : Similar to theorem 3.3.1.

Theorem 3.3.4 : If G is a 2-regular graph, then the $(1, 2)$ – double domination number of the corona of G is equal to the number of vertices of G .

Proof : Let G be a 2- regular graph. Then each of its vertices will be of degree 2. In the corona of G , for each vertex x , we introduce a new vertex and join them. Consequently, an edge is added to each of its vertices. By the definition of $(1,2)$ – double dominating set each vertex v in $V - S$ has atleast two vertex in S at distance 1 from v and a second vertex in S at distance atmost 2 from v . Hence $(1,2)$ – double dominating set of the corona of G will consist of all the vertices of G .

Theorem 3.3.5 : If in a graph G , an edge e is added, $\gamma_{d(1,2)}(G + e) \geq \gamma_{d(1,2)}(G)$.

Proof: Let G be a graph. Let S be the $(1, 2)$ – double dominating set of G . If we add an edge to a vertex in S , that will not affect the cardinality of S . If we add an edge to a vertex in $V - S$, the cardinality of $(1, 2)$ – double dominating set will increase. Therefore, $\gamma_{d(1,2)}(G + e) \geq \gamma_{d(1,2)}(G)$.

Theorem 3.3.6 : If G is a complete bipartite graph, then the $(1, 2)$ – double domination number $\gamma_{d(1,2)}$ is 3.

Proof: Let G be a complete bipartite graph. Then $V(G)$ can be partitioned in to 2 disjoint sets X and Y and each edge has one end in X and other end in Y . Since G is complete bipartite, each vertex of X is joined to every vertex in Y . A set of 2 vertices, one from X and another from Y will constitute a $(1, 2)$ – double dominating set. Therefore, $\gamma_{d(1,2)} = 3$.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We considered the problem of finding a $(1, 2)$ – double dominating set in graphs and compared them with the domination number. Also some preliminary theorems on $(1, 2)$ - dominating sets are proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Berge, Graphs and Hypergraphs, North Holland Amsterdam,1973
- [2] Haynes T.W , Hedetniemi S.T. and Slater P.J.: Fundamentals of domination in Graphs.Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [3] Haynes , T.W., “ Paired domination in graphs “ , congr. Number 150 , 2001 .
- [4] N. Murugesan , Deepa.S.Nair , The domination and independence of some cubic bipartite graphs, Int.J.Contemp.Math.Sciences,Vol.6,2011,no.13,611-618 .
- [5] N. Murugesan and Deepa.S.Nair , $(1, 2)$ – Domination In Graphs , J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2 (2012), No. 4, 774-783 , Issn: 1927-5307.
- [6] Narsingh Deo, Graph Theory with Applications to Engineering and Comp.Science, Prentice Hall,Inc.,USA ,1974.
- [7] O.Ore, Theory of Graphs,Amer.Maths.Soc.Colloq.Pub.,38,1962
- [8] Steve Hedetniemi , Sandee Hedetniemi ,(1,2)- Domination in Graphs
- [9] P . Padma and P . Murugaiyan , D . Pauldayabaran “ A note on varieties of double domination “ , Asian Journal of Current Engineering and Mathematics 1 : 4 Jul - Aug (2012) , 230 - 232 .
- [10] Pious Missier, A. Anto Kinsley, M. Evangeline Prathibha Fernando , Algorithms To Determine The Independent, Efficient And Paired Dominating Sets Of The Extended Star Graph , Asian Journal of Current Engineering and Maths 2 : 5 September – October (2013) 320 - 325.
- [11] P . Murugaiyan , P . Padma and D . Pauldayabaran , “ Triple domination in graphs “ , [Preprint] .



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)