



iJRASET

International Journal For Research in
Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 4

Issue: III

Month of publication: March 2016

DOI:

www.ijraset.com

Call:  08813907089

E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Microbiological and Chemical Quality Assessment of Six Fish Species of Bangladesh during Freeze Storage

G. M. M. Anwarul Hasan¹, Dr. Md. Sabir Hossain², Dr. Sahana Parveen³, Dr. Farha Matin Juliana⁴

¹Scientific Officer, ³Principle Scientific Officer, Institute of Food Science & Technology (IFST),
Bangladesh Council of Scientific & Industrial Research (BCSIR), Dr Quadrat-I- Khuda Road, Dhaka-1205, Bangladesh

²Professor, ⁴Associate Professor, Dept. of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology
Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka

Abstract— The following six Bangladeshi fish species, Rui (*Labeo rohita*), Catla (*Catla catla*), Tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*), Pangas (*Pangasianodon hypophthalmus*), Mrigal (*Cirrhinus cirrhosus*) and Carfu (*Cyprinus carpio*) were assessed for quality changes for 30 days of freezing (-10°C) storage. The bacteriological quality, chemical changes of fish fats of these six species of cultured ponds and different wholesale & retail markets of Dhaka city were analysed. Microbial load was highest in local retail markets samples and were lowest in cultured ponds samples. In total, 54 samples were analysed and they differ from species to species and with environment. For all sample, TVBC ranged from 2.75×10^5 to 6.29×10^6 cfu/g in first days. But they raised upto 9.96×10^8 cfu/g for local retail market samples in 30th day. We extracted fish fats of this six species and examined 5 days interval upto 30 days and found that FFA and POV increased & Iodine value decrease day by day and with environmental condition.

Keywords—rui, catla, tilapia, pangas, mrigal, carfu, Bangladesh

I. INTRODUCTION

Fish, an integral part of the diet in Bangladesh, is a major source of animal protein to its population [1]. The present scenario of fish trade in Bangladesh presents non-satisfactory returns from the catch due to quantitative and qualitative loss. Quantitative losses include fish, which are rejected because of low commercial value while qualitative losses occur through spoilage or insect attack. Qualitative losses consist of losses in commercial value, but not in physical biomass, through loss of quality [2]. Freezing is the method for preserving fresh fish and freezing of fish, is at present one of the most effective ways for long term method of preservation. Freezing can preserve the highly perishable fish without much change in its quality for a certain length of storage period in which the deteriorative actions of micro-organism and enzymes are partially or completely arrested [3][4]. Though freezing at -10°C may prevent bacterial spoilage, other undesirable changes still occurs resulting in deterioration of the quality of fishes. Chemical tests can measure the amounts of break-down products derived from enzymatic, bacterial or oxidation activity and have also been used for the assessment of the fish quality [5].

This study designed to investigate the quality changes of freeze storage of six selected fish species using microbiological and chemical assessment.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fishes were collected from 3 different cultured ponds of Comilla, 3 wholesale market of Dhaka city and 3 retail local market of Dhaka city. We used a sterile aseptic container together with ice for collecting samples to maintain the temperature. The fish were sampled immediately after being delivered to the laboratory on elapsed day 1 and after 5 days intervals up to 30 days.

A. Microbiological Assessments

The total bacterial count (TBC)/ Standard plate count (SPC) of fishes were measured 5 days interval from 0 days to up to 30 days. To measure Total Viable bacterial count we used pour plate method where we incubated them at 37°C for 24 hours. Total bacterial counts were expressed in colony forming unit per gram (cfu/g).

B. Chemical Analysis

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

1) *Fat Extraction*: Total fat of fishes muscle were extracted using the Bligh and Dyer [6] procedure with slight modification to adjust the final proportion of chloroform: methanol: water to 2:2:1.8 (Hansen and Olley, 1963) [7]. The concentrated fat extracts were quantitatively transferred to 250 ml volumetric flask and the volume were made up to the mark with CHCl_3 . Aliquots (2ml each) were evaporated to dryness to determine the fat content of the muscle. Rest of the samples were transferred to an amber coloured bottle, kept under nitrogen atmosphere in refrigerator (3-5°C) with the addition of few crystals of BHT to stop further oxidation.

2) *Chemical Assessment*: Chemical assessment like Free Fatty acid (as oleic) present, Peroxide Value, m. eq. kg^{-1} (POV), Iodine value (Hanus) of the fish fat were determine by AOCS, (1971)[8]; and IUPAC, (1979)[9].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Microbiological Assessments

We stored 54 samples of these six species of fishes in (-10°C) for 30 days. We studied -Total Viable Bacterial Counts (TVBC) of these six species of fish samples. The Study reveals that bacterial counts were higher in local retail markets fish samples and was comparatively lower in ponds fish samples.

Table1: Bacteriological quality assessments of Rui (*Labeo rohita*) fish samples collected from ponds, wholesale market and local retail markets for 5 days interval up to 30days:

Days	Parameters	Comilla pond-1 (cfu/g)	Comilla pond-2 (cfu/g)	Comilla pond-3 (cfu/g)	Whole sale market-1 (cfu/g)	Wholesale market-2 (cfu/g)	Wholesale market-3 (cfu/g)	Local Retail market-1 (cfu/g)	Local Retail market-2 (cfu/g)	Local Retail market-3 (cfu/g)
0	*TVBC	2.75×10^5	3.10×10^5	3.90×10^5	4.60×10^5	5.23×10^5	4.88×10^5	1.89×10^6	2.78×10^6	5.10×10^6
5	*TVBC	3.56×10^5	4.11×10^5	5.98×10^5	5.55×10^5	6.12×10^5	5.34×10^5	2.45×10^6	4.56×10^6	6.23×10^6
10	*TVBC	4.56×10^5	5.22×10^5	6.29×10^5	6.44×10^5	7.44×10^5	7.37×10^5	3.56×10^6	5.33×10^6	7.29×10^6
15	*TVBC	5.32×10^5	6.32×10^5	7.93×10^5	7.21×10^5	7.99×10^5	8.33×10^5	4.00×10^6	6.98×10^6	8.34×10^6
20	*TVBC	6.33×10^5	7.42×10^5	8.11×10^5	8.29×10^6	8.00×10^6	9.23×10^6	5.36×10^7	7.23×10^7	8.87×10^7
25	*TVBC	7.12×10^7	8.32×10^7	9.36×10^7	9.54×10^7	9.36×10^7	9.36×10^7	6.69×10^8	8.55×10^8	9.32×10^8
30	*TVBC	8.36×10^7	9.23×10^7	9.38×10^7	9.55×10^8	9.49×10^8	9.50×10^8	9.83×10^8	9.74×10^8	9.89×10^8

*Total Viable Bacterial Counts(TVBC)

In case of Rui (*Labeo rohita*) fishes, Ponds samples ranged from 2.75×10^5 to 3.90×10^5 cfu/g in first day and reached up to 9.62×10^7 cfu/g in 30th days. In wholesale market samples Total viable bacterial counts ranged from 4.60×10^5 to 5.23×10^5 in first day and reached up to 9.50×10^8 cfu/g at the 30th day. Local Retail Market always shows higher counts than other two environmental conditions. It started from 1.89×10^6 to 5.10×10^6 cfu/g in first day and reached up to 9.89×10^8 cfu/g in local retail market samples. So, it shows higher range of Total Bacterial Counts in local retail market samples

Table 2: Bacteriological quality assessments of Catla (*Catla catla*) fish samples collected from ponds, wholesale market, local retail markets for 5 days interval up to 30days.

Days	Parameters	Comilla pond-1 (cfu/g)	Comilla pond-2 (cfu/g)	Comilla pond-3 (cfu/g)	Whole sale Market-1 (cfu/g)	Wholesale Market-2 (cfu/g)	Wholesale Market-3 (cfu/g)	Local Retail Market-1 (cfu/g)	Local Retail Market-2 (cfu/g)	Local Retail Market-3 (cfu/g)
0	TVBC	3.50×10^5	3.30×10^5	3.82×10^5	4.62×10^5	4.36×10^5	4.52×10^5	4.03×10^6	3.50×10^6	3.60×10^6
5	TVBC	4.69×10^5	5.36×10^5	5.12×10^5	5.50×10^5	6.02×10^5	7.34×10^5	5.15×10^6	4.59×10^6	6.23×10^6

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

10	TVBC	5.36x10 ⁵	6.22x10 ⁵	5.29x10 ⁵	6.44x10 ⁵	6.44x10 ⁵	7.937x10 ⁵	7.56x10 ⁶	6.33x10 ⁶	7.33x10 ⁶
15	TVBC	6.12x10 ⁵	7.32x10 ⁵	8.93x10 ⁵	8.21x10 ⁵	7.64x10 ⁵	8.36x10 ⁵	9.61x10 ⁶	7.98x10 ⁶	8.14x10 ⁶
20	TVBC	6.96x10 ⁵	7.42x10 ⁵	9.11x10 ⁵	8.29x10 ⁷	8.33x10 ⁷	8.93x10 ⁷	8.36x10 ⁷	8.23x10 ⁷	8.87x10 ⁷
25	TVBC	7.92x10 ⁷	9.32x10 ⁷	9.26x10 ⁷	9.22x10 ⁸	9.23x10 ⁸	9.16x10 ⁸	9.31x10 ⁸	9.55x10 ⁸	9.32x10 ⁸
30	TVBC	9.36x10 ⁷	9.98x10 ⁷	9.82x10 ⁷	9.29x10 ⁸	9.37x10 ⁸	9.25x10 ⁸	9.82x10 ⁸	9.93x10 ⁸	9.67x10 ⁸

In first day, Total Viable Bacterial Count of Catla (*Catla catla*) ranged from 3.30x10⁵ to 3.82x10⁵ cfu/g for ponds samples. In 30th days it ranged upto 9.98x10⁷ cfu/g in Comilla ponds sample-2 fishes. In case of Wholesale market sample of Catla (*Catla catla*) counts ranged from 4.36x10⁵ to 4.62x10⁵ cfu/g and ranged upto 9.79x10⁸ cfu/g in wholesale market-2 samples of Catla (*Catla catla*). These Wholesale market samples always shows relatively higher microbial load than Ponds samples. For Local Retail Market samples lowest counts found is 3.50x10⁶ cfu/g Local Retail Market-2 Sample and highest 4.03x10⁶ cfu/g in Local Retail Market-1. It shows up to 9.59x10⁸ cfu/g counts in 9.63x10⁸ cfu/g in Local Retail Market-2 sample.

Table 3: Bacteriological quality assessments of Tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*) fish samples collected from ponds, wholesale market, local retail markets for 5 days interval up to 30days.

Days	parameters	Comilla pond-1 (cfu/g)	Comilla pond-2 (cfu/g)	Comilla pond-3 (cfu/g)	Whole sale market-1 (cfu/g)	Wholesale market-2 (cfu/g)	Wholesale market-3 (cfu/g)	Local Retail market-1 (cfu/g)	Local Retail market-2 (cfu/g)	Local Retail market-3 (cfu/g)
0	TVBC	3.21x10 ⁵	3.75x10 ⁵	3.92x10 ⁵	5.75x10 ⁵	5.72x10 ⁵	5.75x10 ⁵	5.60x10 ⁶	4.72x10 ⁶	6.29x10 ⁶
5	TVBC	3.63x10 ⁵	4.51x10 ⁵	4.98x10 ⁵	6.55x10 ⁵	6.02x10 ⁵	6.34x10 ⁵	6.45x10 ⁶	4.96x10 ⁶	6.63x10 ⁶
10	TVBC	4.06x10 ⁵	5.82x10 ⁵	5.19x10 ⁵	6.94x10 ⁵	6.44x10 ⁵	7.37x10 ⁵	7.56x10 ⁶	5.23x10 ⁶	7.11x10 ⁶
15	TVBC	4.22x10 ⁵	6.32x10 ⁵	6.23x10 ⁵	7.31x10 ⁵	7.25x10 ⁵	7.23x10 ⁵	7.88x10 ⁶	6.18x10 ⁶	8.04x10 ⁶
20	TVBC	5.63x10 ⁵	6.42x10 ⁵	7.01x10 ⁵	8.19x10 ⁷	7.56x10 ⁷	7.53x10 ⁷	8.36x10 ⁷	7.89x10 ⁷	8.67x10 ⁷
25	TVBC	6.12x10 ⁷	7.32x10 ⁷	8.26x10 ⁷	8.22x10 ⁸	8.36x10 ⁸	8.46x10 ⁸	8.69x10 ⁸	8.05x10 ⁸	9.02x10 ⁸
30	TVBC	7.36x10 ⁷	8.03x10 ⁷	9.22x10 ⁷	8.92x10 ⁸	8.79x10 ⁸	9.00x10 ⁸	9.62x10 ⁸	9.83x10 ⁸	9.96x10 ⁸

Among the 3 ponds samples of Tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*) of different ponds of Comilla, TVBC ranging from 3.21x10⁵ to 3.92x10⁵ cfu/g which is within the limit 1x10⁶ cfu/g set by International Commission on Microbiology Specifications for Foods but it ranged up to 9.22x10⁷ cfu/g to Comilla pond-3 sample. In Wholesale Market samples, Total Viable Bacterial counts ranged from 5.72x10⁵ to 5.75x10⁵ cfu/g and the highest bacterial presence was found 9.79x10⁸ cfu/g in Wholesale Market-2 Tilapia samples in 30th day. In first day, Total Viable Bacterial counts ranged from 4.72x10⁶ to 6.29x10⁶ cfu/g and highest 9.36x10⁸ cfu/g found in Local Retail market-3 tilapia samples in 30th days. Total bacterial counts gradually increase in all samples day by days.

Table 4: Bacteriological quality assessments of Pangas (*Pangasianodon hypophthalmus*) fish samples collected from ponds, wholesale market, and local retail markets for 5 days interval up to 30th day.

Days	parameters	Comilla pond-1 (cfu/g)	Comilla pond-2 (cfu/g)	Comilla pond-3 (cfu/g)	Whole sale market-1 (cfu/g)	Wholesale market-2 (cfu/g)	Wholesale market-3 (cfu/g)	Local Retail market-1 (cfu/g)	Local Retail market-2 (cfu/g)	Local Retail market-3 (cfu/g)
0	TVBC	3.14x10 ⁵	2.9x10 ⁵	3.55x10 ⁵	4.60x10 ⁵	6.50x10 ⁵	7.13x10 ⁵	2.39x10 ⁶	3.66x10 ⁶	5.60x10 ⁶
5	TVBC	3.66x10 ⁵	3.11x10 ⁵	4.98x10 ⁵	4.55x10 ⁵	6.70x10 ⁵	7.34x10 ⁵	2.85x10 ⁶	4.56x10 ⁶	6.13x10 ⁶
10	TVBC	4.26x10 ⁵	4.32x10 ⁵	5.29x10 ⁵	5.14x10 ⁵	7.14x10 ⁵	7.37x10 ⁵	3.46x10 ⁶	5.03x10 ⁶	6.29x10 ⁶

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

15	TVBC	4.52x10 ⁵	5.12x10 ⁵	6.73x10 ⁵	5.21x10 ⁵	7.89x10 ⁵	8.33x10 ⁵	4.43x10 ⁶	6.58x10 ⁶	8.24x10 ⁶
20	TVBC	5.33x10 ⁵	6.42x10 ⁵	7.18x10 ⁵	6.29x10 ⁷	8.05x10 ⁷	8.24x10 ⁷	5.26x10 ⁷	7.03x10 ⁷	8.88x10 ⁷
25	TVBC	6.12x10 ⁷	7.32x10 ⁷	8.86x10 ⁷	7.22x10 ⁷	9.34x10 ⁷	8.36x10 ⁷	6.11x10 ⁸	8.15x10 ⁸	9.12x10 ⁸
30	TVBC	7.36x10 ⁷	8.23x10 ⁷	9.62x10 ⁷	9.69x10 ⁷	9.98x10 ⁷	1.59x10 ⁸	6.19x10 ⁸	9.63x10 ⁸	9.68x10 ⁸

Pangas (*Pangasianodon hypophthalmus*) samples of Comilla ponds shows relatively lowest Bacterial compared to other to environmental variations. Total Bacterial Counts started from 2.9x10⁵ cfu/g to 3.55x10⁵ cfu/g in first days and shows highest values 8.23x10⁷ cfu/g in Comilla pond-2 in all ponds samples of Pangas (*Pangasianodon hypophthalmus*) in 30th days. For Wholesale Market samples of Pangas bacterial counts ranged from 4.60x10⁵ to 7.13x10⁵ cfu/g in first days and highest bacterial counts found in 9.91x10⁸ cfu/g in Wholesale market-2 Pangas samples at 30th day. In Local Market samples of Pangas total bacterial counts ranged from 2.39x10⁶ to 5.60x10⁶ cfu/g in first days and highest bacterial counts found in 9.68x10⁸ cfu/g in Local Retail Market-3 Pangas samples at 30th day.

Table 5: Bacteriological quality assessments of Mrigal (*Cirrhinus cirrhosus*) fish samples collected from ponds, wholesale market, local retail markets for 5 days interval up to 30th day.

Day	parameters	Comilla pond-1 (cfu/g)	Comilla pond-2 (cfu/g)	Comilla pond-3 (cfu/g)	Whole sale market-1 (cfu/g)	Wholesale market-2 (cfu/g)	Wholesale market-3 (cfu/g)	Local Retail market-1 (cfu/g)	Local Retail market-2 (cfu/g)	Local Retail market-3 (cfu/g)
0	TVBC	3.61x10 ⁵	3.60x10 ⁵	4.22x10 ⁵	5.32x10 ⁵	6.26x10 ⁵	5.22x10 ⁵	3.06x10 ⁶	3.92x10 ⁶	4.71x10 ⁶
5	TVBC	3.89x10 ⁵	4.36x10 ⁵	5.23x10 ⁵	5.63x10 ⁵	6.50x10 ⁵	5.63x10 ⁵	3.45x10 ⁶	4.06x10 ⁶	5.23x10 ⁶
10	TVBC	4.23x10 ⁵	5.36x10 ⁵	6.19x10 ⁵	6.36x10 ⁵	7.22x10 ⁵	7.37x10 ⁵	3.56x10 ⁶	4.33x10 ⁶	6.29x10 ⁶
15	TVBC	4.32x10 ⁵	5.92x10 ⁵	6.93x10 ⁵	7.63x10 ⁵	7.69x10 ⁵	8.13x10 ⁵	4.88x10 ⁶	5.98x10 ⁶	7.84x10 ⁶
20	TVBC	5.33x10 ⁵	6.42x10 ⁵	7.11x10 ⁵	8.19x10 ⁷	8.10x10 ⁷	8.23x10 ⁷	8.66x10 ⁷	6.23x10 ⁷	8.87x10 ⁷
25	TVBC	6.11x10 ⁷	7.32x10 ⁷	8.06x10 ⁷	8.22x10 ⁷	8.36x10 ⁷	9.06x10 ⁷	6.67x10 ⁸	7.57x10 ⁸	9.09x10 ⁸
30	TVBC	7.36x10 ⁷	8.23x10 ⁷	9.23x10 ⁷	9.39x10 ⁷	9.29x10 ⁷	9.59x10 ⁷	7.72x10 ⁸	8.63x10 ⁸	9.69x10 ⁸

We found 3.60x10⁵ to 4.22x10⁵ cfu/g Total Bacterial Counts in Comilla pond samples of Mrigal (*Cirrhinus cirrhosus*) in first day and ranged up to 9.23x10⁷ cfu/g in 30th days. In wholesale market samples shows relatively microbial load and ranged upto 9.59x10⁸ cfu/g In Local market samples of Mrigal (*Cirrhinus cirrhosis*) Total bacterial loads ranged upto 9.69x10⁸ cfu/g Local Retail Market-2 sample.

Table 6: Bacteriological quality assessments of Carfu (*Cyprinus carpio*) fish samples collected from ponds, wholesale market, local retail markets for 5 days interval up to 30th day.

Days	parameters	Comilla pond-1 (cfu/g)	Comilla pond-2 (cfu/g)	Comilla pond-3 (cfu/g)	Whole sale market-1 (cfu/g)	Wholesale market-2 (cfu/g)	Wholesale market-3 (cfu/g)	Local Retail market-1 (cfu/g)	Local Retail market-2 (cfu/g)	Local Retail market-3 (cfu/g)
0	TVBC	3.31x10 ⁵	3.91x10 ⁵	4.62x10 ⁵	6.10x10 ⁵	4.32x10 ⁵	7.45x10 ⁵	3.52x10 ⁶	4.12x10 ⁶	5.92x10 ⁶
5	TVBC	4.06x10 ⁵	4.21x10 ⁵	5.08x10 ⁵	6.55x10 ⁵	5.12x10 ⁵	7.84x10 ⁵	3.75x10 ⁶	4.56x10 ⁶	6.22x10 ⁶
10	TVBC	4.56x10 ⁵	4.62x10 ⁵	6.11x10 ⁵	6.74x10 ⁵	5.49x10 ⁵	7.89x10 ⁵	3.96x10 ⁶	5.33x10 ⁶	6.49x10 ⁶

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

15	TVBC	5.12×10^5	5.32×10^5	6.93×10^5	7.91×10^5	6.99×10^5	8.13×10^5	4.11×10^6	5.98×10^6	7.34×10^6
20	TVBC	5.33×10^5	7.52×10^5	7.17×10^5	8.79×10^7	7.06×10^7	8.23×10^7	9.36×10^7	9.13×10^7	9.87×10^7
25	TVBC	6.67×10^7	8.12×10^7	8.46×10^7	9.12×10^7	8.36×10^7	9.34×10^7	6.49×10^8	7.55×10^8	8.32×10^8
30	TVBC	7.36×10^7	9.43×10^7	9.22×10^7	9.89×10^7	9.29×10^7	9.50×10^7	7.62×10^8	8.63×10^8	8.89×10^8

From this study, it shows that among the 3 samples of Carfu (*Cyprinus carpio*) TVBC started from 3.31×10^5 to 4.62×10^5 in ponds sample in first day they ranged upto 9.43×10^7 cfu/g in 30th day. In wholesale market samples and Local Retail Market samples TVBC ranged up to 9.89×10^8 cfu/g and TVBC 8.89×10^8 cfu/g respectively.

B. Chemical Analysis

FFA (Free Fatty Acid), POV (Per-oxide Value), IV (Iodine Value) is used to estimate the breakdown components and hydrolytic and oxidative degradation of the fatty components of fish during storage [10]. Average FFA is highest in Local retail market samples. We can see that FFA increased with the environmental conditions and day by Day. POV is also increased with environmental condition and day by day in all selected samples. But Iodine value is decreased with environmental condition and day by day.

Table 7: Changes in chemical parameters of fats of Rui (*Labeo rohita*), Catla (*Catla catla*), Tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*) upto 30 days

Days	Parameters	Rui			Catla			Tilapia		
		CP AV	WM AV	LM AV	CP AV	WM AV	LM AV	CP AV	WM AV	LM AV
0	FFA (% as oleic)	0.46	0.47	0.49	0.48	0.52	0.63	0.46	0.47	0.51
	POV (m. eq. Kg ⁻¹)	7.89	8.10	8.12	8.30	8.52	8.66	7.93	8.13	8.14
	IV	84.86	84.50	84.22	84.49	83.21	78.23	84.88	83.88	82.74
5	FFA (% as oleic)	0.55	0.59	0.61	0.65	0.72	0.75	0.55	0.65	0.69
	POV (m. eq. Kg ⁻¹)	14.58	18.78	18.78	18.78	18.78	18.78	14.58	18.78	18.78
	IV	80.41	78.23	75.12	80.96	76.32	74.25	80.41	78.63	76.32
10	FFA (% as oleic)	0.59	0.88	1.00	0.88	0.95	1.12	0.59	0.78	0.88
	POV (m. eq. Kg ⁻¹)	14.31	16.40	16.40	16.40	16.40	16.40	14.31	16.40	16.40
	IV	80.13	76.22	73.26	79.43	75.44	73.12	80.13	79.85	72.32
15	FFA (% as oleic)	0.66	0.95	1.23	0.95	1.32	1.52	0.66	0.95	1.00
	POV (m. eq. Kg ⁻¹)	15.96	19.74	19.74	19.74	19.74	19.74	15.96	19.74	19.74
	IV	78.92	74.23	72.65	75.35	73.11	72.56	78.92	75.35	72.65
20	FFA (% as oleic)	0.75	1.33	1.45	1.33	1.48	1.53	0.75	1.33	1.52
	POV (m. eq. Kg ⁻¹)	17.50	22.16	22.16	22.16	22.16	22.16	17.50	22.16	22.16
	IV	74.32	71.88	70.33	71.87	70.96	69.22	74.32	71.87	70.22
25	FFA (% as oleic)	0.82	1.40	1.54	1.40	1.45	1.58	0.82	1.40	1.56

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

	POV (m. eq. Kg ⁻¹) 1)	20.21	16.49	16.49	16.49	16.49	16.49	20.21	16.49	16.49
	IV	71.52	70.11	69.36	66.11	63.23	62.89	71.52	66.11	65.32
30	FFA (% as oleic)	1.02	1.45	1.57	1.45	1.49	1.52	1.02	1.45	1.53
	POV (m. eq. Kg ⁻¹) 1)	12.27	15.84	15.84	15.84	15.84	15.84	12.27	15.84	15.84
	IV	69.69	69.04	64.70	64.89	62.29	61.08	69.58	65.05	64.88

From the results we can see that FFA Average of Rui, Catla, tilapia started at 0.46 (% as oleic) at the first days and ranged upto 1.57, 1.52, 1.53 (% as oleic) respectively in 30th day. POV Average ranged from 7.89 to 15.84 (m. eq. Kg⁻¹) in Rui, 8.30 to 15.82 (m. eq. Kg⁻¹) in Catla and 7.93 to 5.84 (m. eq. Kg⁻¹) in Tilapia. Iodine value Average ranged from 84.86 to 63.88 in all three samples.

Table 8: Changes in chemical parameters of fats of Pangas (*Pangasianodon hypophthalmus*), Mrigal (*Cirrhinus cirrhosus*) and Carfu (*Cyprinus carpio*) upto 30 days

Days	Parameters	Pangas			Mrigal			Carfu		
		CP AV	WM AV	LM AV	CP AV	WM AV	LM AV	CP AV	WM AV	LM AV
0	FFA (% as oleic)	0.48	0.56	0.71	0.46	0.47	0.50	0.48	0.49	0.56
	POV (m. eq. Kg ⁻¹) 1)	8.30	8.54	8.66	7.73	8.21	8.24	8.30	8.62	8.66
	IV	84.49	83.17	80.23	84.88	82.36	80.63	84.49	82.63	80.85
5	FFA (% as oleic)	0.65	0.72	0.75	0.55	0.58	0.62	0.65	0.68	0.71
	POV (m. eq. Kg ⁻¹) 1)	18.78	18.78	18.78	8.30	8.93	9.10	18.78	18.78	18.78
	IV	80.96	78.32	76.32	80.41	78.36	75.65	80.96	79.65	74.36
10	FFA (% as oleic)	0.88	1.02	1.22	0.59	0.75	0.87	0.88	1.02	1.22
	POV (m. eq. Kg ⁻¹) 1)	16.40	16.40	16.40	9.56	10.54	11.36	16.40	16.40	16.40
	IV	79.43	76.35	72.32	80.13	79.43	72.36	79.43	78.22	76.35
15	FFA (% as oleic)	0.95	0.98	1.22	0.66	0.93	1.00	0.95	0.98	1.23
	POV (m. eq. Kg ⁻¹) 1)	19.74	19.74	19.74	12.32	15.36	16.56	19.74	19.74	19.74
	IV	75.35	73.23	71.65	78.92	75.35	74.32	75.35	73.26	72.39
20	FFA (% as oleic)	1.33	1.42	1.58	0.74	1.33	1.34	1.33	1.39	1.42
	POV (m. eq. Kg ⁻¹) 1)	22.16	22.16	22.16	13.23	16.42	17.65	22.16	22.16	22.16
	IV	71.87	68.52	67.32	74.32	71.87	69.33	71.87	70.22	69.32
25	FFA (% as oleic)	1.40	1.63	1.72	0.83	1.45	1.52	1.40	1.52	1.63
	POV (m. eq. Kg ⁻¹) 1)	16.49	16.49	16.49	14.58	17.31	18.62	16.49	16.49	16.49
	IV	66.11	63.21	62.11	71.52	69.32	66.11	66.11	65.32	64.65
30	FFA (% as oleic)	1.45	1.63	1.72	1.02	1.54	1.63	1.45	1.77	1.85
	POV (m. eq. Kg ⁻¹) 1)	15.84	15.84	15.84	15.36	18.96	19.68	15.84	15.84	15.84
	IV	65.16	62.11	61.22	69.89	66.36	64.36	65.06	63.32	62.19

Form the Table 8 we can see that that FFA Average of Pangas, Mrigal, Carfu started at 0.48, 0.46, 0.48 (% as oleic) at the first days and ranged upto 1.63, 1.63, 1.77, 1.53 (% as oleic) respectively in 30th day. POV ranged from 8.30.89 to 15.84 (m. eq. Kg⁻¹) in

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

Pangas, 8.30 to 15.82(m. eq. Kg⁻¹) in Mrigal and 7.93 to 5.84 (m. eq. Kg⁻¹) in Carfu within 30 days. Within 30 days Iodine value ranged from 84.88 to 62.19 in Pangas, Mrigal, Carfu.

IV. CONCLUSION

The results revealed that microbiological and chemical quality of the fishes influenced by initial quality of the fishes. Initial quality of the fish to begin with should be as good as possible for its use as a raw material for frozen storage. From the results, it can be concluded that fishes from cultured ponds are always good in quality in respect to the microbiological, chemical test even after 30 days storage in freeze.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahmed, Mahfuzuddin, Md. Abdur Rab, and Mary A. Bimbao. Household socioeconomics, resource use and fish marketing in two thanas of Bangladesh. Manila, Philippines: International Center for Living Aquatic Resources Management, 1993. pp 1.
- [2] Rasel, A.S.M., 2002. "Shelf Life of Tilapia (*Tilapia nilotica*) and Bhetki (*Latescalcarifer*) Stored in Ice and Ambient Temperature." M.Sc. Thesis: Fisheries and Marine Resource Technology Discipline, Khulna University, Khulna. p. 3
- [3] Dyer, W., and Dingle, J.R., 1961."Fish Proteins with Special Reference to Freezing." pp 275-327.In Fish as Food, Vol 1,edited by Georg Borgstrom, New York/London: Academic Press.
- [4] J.J. Connell, 1964. Fish muscle protein and some effects on them of processing.In 'Symposium on Foods' : Protein and their reactions.Eds. H. W. Schultz and A. F. Anglemier.The AVI publishing Co. Inc., Westport, Conn, : 255
- [5] Carlos Riquixo, 1998. "Evaluation of Suitable Chemical Methods for Seafood Products in Mozambique" Fisheries training programme, The United Nations University, Skulagata 4 120 Reykjavik, Iceland, p.14
- [6] Bligh,E.G. and Dyer,W.J. 1959. A rapid method for total lipid extraction and purification. Can. J. Biochem.Physiol. 37:911-917.
- [7] Hansen, S. W. F., and J. Olley, 1963. Application of the Bligh and Dyer Method of Lipid Extraction to tissue homogenates. Biochem. J. 89: 101-102.
- [8] AOCS (1971) "Official and Tentative Methods of the American Oil Chemists' Society", Vol.1 3rd edn., Champaign, IL.
- [9] Paquot, C., 1979, "Standard methods for the analysis of oils, fats, and derivatives." Oxford New York, Pergamon Press Int. Uni.Pure. App. Chem. P.170.
- [10] Dyer, W. J., 1968. "Deterioration and storage life of frozen fish. In low temperature biology of foodstuffs. Eds. J. Hawthorne and E.J. Rolfe. Oxford, Pergamon Press, pp. 429-447



10.22214/IJRASET



45.98



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.129



IMPACT FACTOR:
7.429



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089  (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)