



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 4 Issue: VII Month of publication: July 2016 DOI:

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🛇 08813907089 🕴 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

# Enhanced Academic Performance Evaluation Technique Using Fuzzy System

V. Anurag Rao<sup>1</sup>, Jageshwer Shriwas<sup>2</sup>, S R Tandan<sup>3</sup>, Shagufta Farzana<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>M.Tech Scholar, Department of Computer Science and Engineering <sup>2,3,4</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Abstract— In this paper, we have proposed fuzzy logic based enhanced academic performance evaluator system by increasing the number of attributes to achieve high degree of reliability and accuracy. In this paper we have added more functionality to obtain better performance, our system is not only combining examination evaluation pattern, but also other parameters related to academic activities are concern for approximate measurement of performance. Keywords— Academic Performance Fuzzy Logic, Membership Function

I. INTRODUCTION

Education system play vital role for the development of society and nation building, Quality factor of educational institution are backbone of social development. Quality parameter of educational system is determined only by the student performance.

Student academic performance evolution is primary concern because they are the future of the nation. Fuzzy logic system is best available methodology to predict the uncertain values i.e student behaviour. Academic performance is mainly concern with those uncertain values like semester exam, curriculum activities, personality development etc.

In student performance evaluation in particular, fuzzy techniques have been adapted for evaluation based on numerical scores obtained in an assessment and for assessing prior educational achievement based on evidence such as academic certificates. Much attention has also been given to adopting fuzzy approaches for the evaluation of teaching using a computer, in particular in Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) and Computer Assisted Instruction (CAI). For instance, in fuzzy approaches were proposed for determining the level of a student's understanding of a certain subject matter in the context of ITS [1].

The focus of attention of this research work is an evaluation of student academic performance. It proposes the use of a fuzzy logic techniques and fuzzy rule induction approach to obtain user-comprehensible knowledge from historical data to justify any evaluation. This research work shows the advantages of the approach in student performance evaluation as it can be built not only based on information in a given dataset but also allowing expert knowledge to be added if such knowledge is available. Information induced from the dataset, especially that not formerly known by experts in the domain, can be very useful in developing fuzzy models for practical applications [2].

### II. RELATED WORK

Evaluation of student academic performance usually consists of several components, each involving a number of judgments often based on imprecise data. This imprecision arises from human (teacher/tutor) interpretation of human (students) performance. Arithmetical and statistical methods have been used for aggregating information from these assessment components. These methods have been accepted by many educational institutions around the world although there are limitations with these traditional approaches. In this proposed study, it is argued that the current method of classifying and grading student academic performance using arithmetical and statistical techniques does not necessarily offer the best way to evaluate human acquisition of knowledge and skills. It is expected that reasoning based on fuzzy models will provide an alternative way of handling various kinds of imprecise data, which often reflects the way people think and make judgments.

Academic performance evaluation using soft computing techniques inspired by the successful application of K-means, fuzzy C-means (FCM), subtractive clustering (SC), hybrid subtractive clustering-fuzzy C-means (SC-FCM) and hybrid subtractive clustering-adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (SC-ANFIS) methods for solving academic performance evaluation problems. Modeling of students' academic performance is a difficult optimization problem. We explore the applicability of K-means and FCM, SC, hybrid SC-FCM and SCANFIS clustering methods to the new student's allocation problem, which allocates new students into some classes that consist of similar students and the number of students in each class not exceeding its maximum capacity. The models were combined with fuzzy logic techniques to analyze the students' results.

**International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering** 

### Technology (IJRASET) III. PROPOSED WORK

#### A. Traditional Approach

The aim and objective of this research is determining students' performance using a fuzzy logic model in place of Traditional pattern, the proposed approach addresses the below mention research questions [1]:





This is existing approach proposed by [1] in which they considered only examination pattern for student academic performance Existing approaches of performance evaluation system only dealt with only examination pattern that is related to (semester exam ) but some more important attributes like sports activities, curriculum and personality development (we can define it as a complete academic development or academic growth) which is missing in traditional evaluation system.

### B. Proposed System

The proposed technique has given extra attention to such attributes because making academic evolution is not only based semester exam, the success of system increase by increasing number of parameter. In the proposed approach we have taken following parameters for performance measurement,

- 1) Semester Exam Performance
- 2) Sports Activities
- 3) Cultural Activities
- 4) Social Awareness



Figure 2: Fuzzy Expert System for Enhanced Model for Academic Performance Evaluation (Single Version)



Figure 3: Fuzzy Expert System for Enhanced Model for Academic Performance Evaluation (Activities Split Version)

www.ijraset.com IC Value: 13.98 Volume 4 Issue VII, July 2016 ISSN: 2321-9653

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering

### **Technology (IJRASET)**

IV. FUZZY LOGIC CONTROLLER

The proposed view of system, this system consist of basic three blocks

- A. Fuzzy Input (Semester Marks, Activities)
- B. Fuzzy Logic Controller
- C. Fuzzy Output : In term of performance

Academic Performance Evaluation with Fuzzy Logic Controller :

- 1) Fuzzification
- 2) Inference Rule



Figure 4: Fuzzy members function for Semester marks



Figure 5: Fuzzy members function for Activities

## **International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering**





Figure 6: Fuzzy members function for Performance



Figure 7: Complete View of Fuzzy Input and Output

#### v. **FUZZY INFERENCE RULE**

Table 1: Fuzzy set of input variable (Semester Marks, Activities)

Linguistic Variable	Interval
Very Poor (VP)	[0.0, 0.0, 0.33]
Poor (P)	[0.0, 0.33, 0.45]
Good (G)	[0.33, 0.45, 0.60]
Very Good (VG)	[0.45, 0.60, 0.80]
Excellent (E)	[0.60, 0.80, 1]

Volume 4 Issue VII, July 2016 ISSN: 2321-9653

## **International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering**

### **Technology (IJRASET)**

Table 2: Fuzzy set of Output variable (Performance)

Linguistic Variable	Interval
Grade C	[0.0, 0.0, 0.45]
Grade B	[0.0, 0.45, 0.75]
Grade A	[0.45, 0.75, 1]

📕 Rule Editor: Ma	in_Works	
File Edit View	/ Options	
1. If (Semester_Ma 2. If (Semester_Ma 3. If (Semester_Ma 4. If (Semester_Ma 5. If (Semester_Ma 6. If (Semester_Ma 8. If (Semester_Ma 9. If (Semester_Ma 10. If (Semester_Ma	rrks is VP) and (Activities is VP) then (Performance is Grade_C) (1) rks is VP) and (Activities is P) then (Performance is Grade_C) (1) rks is VP) and (Activities is G) then (Performance is Grade_C) (1) rks is VP) and (Activities is VG) then (Performance is Grade_C) (1) rks is VP) and (Activities is P) then (Performance is Grade_C) (1) rks is P) and (Activities is VP) then (Performance is Grade_C) (1) rks is P) and (Activities is P) then (Performance is Grade_C) (1) rks is P) and (Activities is G) then (Performance is Grade_C) (1) rks is P) and (Activities is VG) then (Performance is Grade_C) (1) rks is P) and (Activities is VG) then (Performance is Grade_C) (1) arks is P) and (Activities is E) then (Performance is Grade_C) (1)	· ·
If Semester_Marks VP G G E None	and Activities is VP P G G VG E none	Then Performance is Grade_B Grade_A none
Connection or and The rule is added	Weight:   1 Delete rule Add rule Change rule   Hell Hell Hell Hell	<< >>> Close

Figure 8: Fuzzy Rule Set (i)

Rule Editor: Main\_Works

File Edit Vi	iew Optio	ns						
10. If (Semester 11. If (Semester 12. If (Semester 13. If (Semester 14. If (Semester 15. If (Semester 16. If (Semester 17. If (Semester 18. If (Semester 19. If (Semester	'_Marks is P) '_Marks is G) '_Marks is G) '_Marks is G) '_Marks is G) '_Marks is V( '_Marks is V( '_Marks is V( '_Marks is V(	and (Activit and (Activit a) and (A	ies is E) the ties is VP) th ties is P) the ties is G) the ties is C) the vities is E) the vities is VP) vities is VP) vities is G) t vities is VG)	n (Performance nen (Performance en (Performance en (Performance hen (Performance then (Performance hen (Performance hen (Performance hen (Performance)	is Grad ce is Grad e is Grad ce is Grad ce is Grad nce is G ce is Gra ce is Gra ce is Gra	e_C)(1) ide_C)(1) ie_C)(1) ide_C)(1) ade_B)(1) rade_C)(1) ade_C)(1) ade_B)(1) Srade_B)(1)		* III +
If Semester_Mark VP P G VG E none none Connection	and s Ac	tivities is	]				Then Performan Grade_C Grade_B Grade_A none	ce is
and	1	De	lete rule	Add rule	Char	nge rule	<<	>>
Saved FIS "Main	_Works" to f	ile				Help	Clos	se

Figure 9: Fuzzy Rule Set (ii)

IC Value: 13.98 International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

Rule Editor: Main_Works	
File Edit View Options	
15. If (Semester_Marks is VG) and (Activities 17. If (Semester_Marks is VG) and (Activities 17. If (Semester_Marks is VG) and (Activities 18. If (Semester_Marks is VG) and (Activities 20. If (Semester_Marks is VG) and (Activities 21. If (Semester_Marks is E) and (Activities is 22. If (Semester_Marks is E) and (Activities is 23. If (Semester_Marks is E) and (Activities is 24. If (Semester_Marks is E) and (Activities is 25. If (Semester_Marks is E) and (Activities is) and (Activities is) and (Activities is)	Strengtherent of the termination of terminati
If and Semester_Marks Activities is VP A P P G E G E VG E None not not	Then Performance is Grade_C Grade_B Grade_A none
Connection Weight: or and 1 Delete m Saved FIS "Main Works" to file	le Add rule Change rule << >>

Figure 10: Fuzzy Rule Set (iii)



Figure 11: Surface View

### VI. EXPERIMENT RESULTS

We have simulated the proposed work in MATLAB environment. Result set produced by fuzzy system is given below:

www.ijraset.com

www.ijraset.com IC Value: 13.98

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)



Figure 12: Enhanced Performance View\_2

### VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have proposed a system to evaluated students academic performance using fuzzy system. When the results are evaluated from fuzzy system, our developed system outperform than [1] [9]. We have improve the student academic performance by

www.ijraset.com IC Value: 13.98 Volume 4 Issue VII, July 2016 ISSN: 2321-9653

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering

### **Technology (IJRASET)**

considering important academic attributes relevant to students instead of targeting only semester examination based evaluation.

#### VIII. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to thank my research supervisor Mr. Jageshwer Shriwas for his valuable support throughout the research work. I also like to thank Mr. S R Tandan, Ms. Shagufta Farzana for their important suggestions and co-operation received during research work.

#### REFERENCES

- Ramjeet Singh Yadav et al. Modeling Academic Performance Evaluation Using Soft Computing Techniques: A Fuzzy Logic ApproachInternational Journal on Computer Science and Engineering (IJCSE) Vol. 3 No. 2 Feb 2011 pp. 676-686
- [2] D. W. Patterson, "Introduction to Artificial Intelligence and Expert Systems", Prentice Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi, pp. 326-327, 2006.
- [3] [2] The Mathworks, "Fuzzy Logic Toolbox User's Guide", The Mathworks Inc. Retrieved September 10 2009 from http://www.mathworks.com/access/helpdesk/help/pdf\_doc/fuzzy/fuzzy.pdf.
- [4] G. Gokmen, T. C. Akinci, M. Tektas, N. Onat. G. Kocyigit, N. Tektas, "Evaluation of Student Performance in Laboratory Applications Using Fuzzy Logic", Procedia Social and Behavioral Science, pp 902-909, 2010.
- [5] L.A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets," Information and Control," vol 8, pp. 338-353, 1965.
- [6] N.P. Padhy, "Artificial intelligence and Intelligent System," Oxford University Press, p.p. 337, 2005.
- [7] S. Singh, R.S. Yadav, D. Singh, "Fuzzy inference Mechanism and Rule Induction Algorithm for Performance Evaluation", proceedings of National Conference on Advances and Trends Web Based Computing (ATWBC-2010) organized by Department of Computer Science and Applications, M.G. Kashi Vidyapith, Varanasi, India, pp 86-93, 2010.
- [8] S.M. Bai and S.M. Chen, "A new method for students' learning achievement evaluation using fuzzy membership functions," Proceeding of the 11<sup>th</sup> Conference of Artificial Intelligence and Applications, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, Republic of China, pp. 177-184, 2006.
- [9] R.S. Yadav, S. Kumar, "The Effective Utilizations of Fuzzy Logic Approach for Student Academic Performance Evaluation", M.Phil. Dissertation, YCMOU, Nashik, pp 6-7, 2009.











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)