



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 2 Issue: VI Month of publication: June 2014 DOI:

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🛇 08813907089 🕴 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

### INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH IN APPLIED SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY (IJRASET)

## An Efficient Priority Based Task Management In Grid Computing-2

Bhawna(student)<sup>#1</sup>, Asst.prof. Kompal Ahuja<sup>\*2</sup> Delhi Institute Of Technology And Management / DCRUST, Sonepat, Gannaur

Abstract - Grid computing can integrate and utilize heterogeneous computing resources which are connected through networks without the limitation of geography. In this research paper we have proposed a priority based task scheduling algorithm that can handle heterogeneous work flow. The algorithm will represent workflow in DAG then assign the priority to each level DAG. The proposed algorithm will be implemented in java.

Keywords- Grid computing, resource scheduling, DAG, Fault tolerance, Task management

#### I. INTRODUCTION

#### A. Grid Computing

The term Grid is increasingly appearing in computer literature, generally referring to some form of system framework into which hardware or software components can be plugged, and which permits easy configuration and creation of new functionality from existing components. Grids enables the sharing, selection, and aggregation of a wide variety of resources including supercomputers, storage systems, data sources, and specialized devices that are geographically distributed and owned by different organizations for solving large-scale computational and data intensive problems in science, engineering, and commerce and also in many fields.

### II. GRID CHARACTERISTICS

• *Heterogeneity*: A grid hosts both software and hardware resources that can be very varied ranging from data, files, software components or programs to sensors, scientific instruments, display devices, personal digital organizers, computers, supercomputers and network

• *Geographical Distribution*: Grid's resources may be located at distant places. The main advantage

of Grid computing in terms of its characteristics is that we can connect different resources which are a far distinct placed from each other.

• *Resource Sharing*: Resources in a grid belong to many different organizations that allow other organizations (i.e. users) to access them. Non local resources can thus be used by applications, promoting efficiency and reducing costs. By sharing the resources we save the idle time of the resources by providing jobs automatically to the resource which are kept free from a longer time. Load balancing let the resources to be shared .Sharing of resources provide a better way to provide the good synchronization between the different resources so that the idle time of Processor should be saved.

• *Multiple Administrative Domains*: Each organization may establish different security and administrative policies under which their owned resources can be accessed and used. As a result, the already challenging network security problem is complicated even more with the need of taking into account all different policies.

• *Consistent Access*: A grid must be built with standard services, protocols and inter-faces thus hiding the heterogeneity of the resources while allowing its

### INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH IN APPLIED SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY (IJRASET)

scalability. Without such standards, application development and pervasive use would not Be possible

#### III. PROBLEM AREAS IN GRID COMPUTING

*Security:* Since the number of users within a system is increased, new security mechanisms are needed to ensure that malicious code cannot legitimate services running on the grid.

*Fault Tolerance*: In a heterogeneous system like the Grid, failure is inevitable. Failures of system resources have adverse effects on applications performance. Failures can make a process run slower than normal or even stop it.

*Resource Scheduling*: A grid federates a large number of resources contributed by individual machines into a greater total virtual resource. For applications that are grid-enabled, the grid can offer a resource balancing effect by scheduling grid jobs on machines with low utilization. This feature can prove invaluable for handling occasional peak loads of activity in parts of a larger organization.

*Load Balancing*: Load Balancing is crucial to computational grids. It is a mapping strategy that efficiently equilibrates the task load into multiple computational resources in the network based on the system status to improve performance.

*Resource Discovery*: Grid environment is an environment system in which applications are composed of the large set of hardware and software resources distributed among many locations. Hence, the problem of resource discovery can be profoundly complex due to the size and the complexity of s

### IV. RESOURCE SCHEDULING

Grid is a large, dynamic, heterogeneous and collaborative environment. Grids integrate networking, communication, computation and information to provide a virtual platform for computing and data management.

#### V. GRID WORKFLOW MANAGEMENT

Grid workflows are an emerging research field in the Grid community. Actually there is an ongoing effort to define a standard meaning of workflow for the Grid. A workflow has three dimensions: *1) The process*: The process dimension refers to the creation and the possible modification of the process description.

2) *The case*: The case dimension refers to a particular instance of the workflow when the attributes required by the process enactment are bound to specific values.

*3)The resource*: The resource dimension refers to discovery and allocation of resources needed for the enactment of a case.

Workflow enactment is the process of carrying out the activities prescribed by the

process description for a particular case. At least four important issues can be identified in order to enable workflows for the Grid:

- User Environments or Workflow IDE (Integrated Development Environment).
- Representation and language express workflow.

VI. OBJECTIVES

1) Workflow management.

2) Workflow representation through DAG.

3) Priority based task scheduling in workflow.

4) The main advantage is that it eliminates the problem of assigning priority for workflow. The main objective is to propose a priority based task scheduling algorithm that can handle heterogeneous work flow.


5)The proposed algorithm will represent workflow in DAG then assign the priority to each level DAG.

6) The proposed algorithm will be implemented in java.

### VI. PROPOSED WORK


A. Generic grid architecture

### INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH IN APPLIED SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY (IJRASET)



Algo 1 : proposed algorithm for adaptive load balancing in computational grid

### INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH IN APPLIED SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY (IJRASET)



### IX.RESULT

Following parameters are used during simulation optionity calculation algorithm:

Table 4.1: Execution Environment

Execution Environment Java Net Beans6.7earlier

| Table 4.2: Simulation Parameter |
|---------------------------------|
|---------------------------------|


| No. of Workflow           | ₽.v |
|---------------------------|-----|
| Workflow Division in task | 10  |

Table 4.3: Workflow Representation

| V1  | Entry Task |
|-----|------------|
| V10 | Exit Task  |

The table 4.1 shown the execution environment is java net beans 6.7. The table 4.2 showed the simulation parameter. The table 4.3 represent the workflow. The estimated values are calculated by the algorithm and shown in table 4.4. The table 4.5 contains the task is according to its level and after apply the algorithm it will sort the task according to its priority and shown in table 4.6. Table 4.4 Dag Representation

| d     | Vertex | Successor      | Predecessor |  |  |
|-------|--------|----------------|-------------|--|--|
|       | V1     | V2,V3,V4,V5,V6 | None        |  |  |
|       | V2     | V8,V9          | V1          |  |  |
|       | V3     | V7             | V1          |  |  |
|       | V4     | V8             | V1          |  |  |
|       | V5     | V9             | V1          |  |  |
|       | V6     | V8             | V1          |  |  |
|       | V7     | V10            | V3          |  |  |
| ion o | V8     | V10            | V4          |  |  |
|       | V9     | V10            | V5          |  |  |
| 7     | V10    | None           | V7,V8,V9    |  |  |
|       |        |                |             |  |  |




Workflow representation with the help of DAG

### INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH IN APPLIED SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY (IJRASET)

| Level | Task       | DLC | ULC | LC  | ACC<br>PRIORITY |   |
|-------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|---|
| 1     | <b>V</b> 1 | 0   | 18  | 18  | 13              | 1 |
| 2     | V2         | 18  | 19  | 55  | 16              | 1 |
| 2     | <b>V</b> 3 | 12  | 23  | 53  | 14              | 3 |
| 2     | <b>V</b> 4 | 9   | 27  | 54  | 12              | 2 |
| 2     | V5         | 11  | 13  | 42  | 11              | 5 |
| 2     | V6         | 14  | 15  | 47  | 16              | 4 |
| 3     | <b>V</b> 7 | 23  | 17  | 93  | 11              | 1 |
| 3     | V8         | 27  | 11  | 93  | 10              | 2 |
| 3     | <b>V</b> 9 | 23  | 13  | 91  | 16              | 3 |
| 4     | V10        | 17  | 0   | 110 | 14              | 1 |

Table 4.6 Task List sorted by Level and then by Priority

| Level | Task       | DLC | ULC | LC  | ACC<br>PRIOR | ITY |
|-------|------------|-----|-----|-----|--------------|-----|
| 1     | V1         | 0   | 18  | 18  | 13           |     |
| 2     | <b>V</b> 2 | 18  | 19  | 55  | 16           | 1   |
| 2     | <b>V</b> 4 | 9   | 27  | 54  | 12           | 1   |
| 2     | V3         | 12  | 23  | 53  | 14           | 1   |
| 2     | V6         | 14  | 15  | 47  | 16           | 2   |
| 2     | V5         | 11  | 13  | 42  | 11           | 2   |
| 3     | V7         | 23  | 17  | 93  | 11           | 3   |
| 3     | V8         | 27  | 11  | 93  | 10           | 3   |
| 3     | V9         | 23  | 13  | 91  | 16           | 4   |
| 4     | V10        | 17  | 0   | 110 | 14           | 5   |
|       |            |     |     |     |              |     |



### INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH IN APPLIED SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY (IJRASET)

#### CONCLUSION

The scheduling of DAG-structured application onto the HMCS is explored in this thesis with an objective to minimize the schedule length or energy consumption or both, based on the weights given for minimizing the schedule length or energy. As the execution of an application on HMCS demands both the compile-time and runt-time support, a new two-phase task scheduling algorithm namely, HPSM has been proposed and developed, which considers either the minimization of the schedule length or the energy utilization or both, at the compiletime based on the needs and rescheduling of tasks whenever a node leaves the HMCS or the energy of the node exhausted at the run-time. The performance of the HPSM algorithm is compared with the PETSM and HEFTM, the modified versions of the PETS and HEFT algorithms respectively. Simulation experiments have been conducted to study the effectiveness of the algorithms in terms of the schedule length and energy consumption using a large set of randomly generated task graphs. The experimental results show that the HPSM algorithm significantly performs better than the PETSM and the HEFTM algorithms.

#### FUTURE WORK

The future work can extend to priority based distributed environment. This research work can extend priority base cloud computing. Where we have to manage large clouds and priority assignment is complicated task.

### REFERENCES.

- [1] Ian Foster,"What is the grid? A three point checklist", Grid Today, vol. 1, pp.6-12 2002.
- [2] Ehsan Ullan Munir and Jian-Zhong Li, "Performance Analysis of Task Scheduling Heuristics in grid", Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Machine Learning and Cybernetics ,Hong Kong, August 2007.
- [3] Ladislau L.boloni and Muthucumaru Maheswaran, "A Comparison of Eleven Static Heuristics for Mapping a Class of Independent Tasks onto heterogeneous Distributed Computing Systems", Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing 61, pp. 810-837, 2001.

- [4] Task Scheduling for Heterogeneous Computing", IEEE Trans. on Parallel and Distributed Systems, vol. 13, No.3, Feb' 2002.
- [5] M.Rahman,S.Venugopal and R.Buyya. A dynamic critical path algorithm for scheduling scientific workflow applications on global grids. Proceedings of the 3<sup>rd</sup> IEEE International Conference on e-Science and Grid Computing Bangalore,India,2007.
- [6] Gizem, Aksahya & Ayese, Ozcan (2009) Coomunications & Networks, Network Books, ABC Publishers.
- [7] Talukder A. K., and R. R. Yavagal, Mobile Computing: Technology, applications and servicecreation, Tata McGraw Hill, 2006.
- [8] Zeng H., C. Ellis, A. Leveck and A. Vahdat. Ecosystem: Managing energy as a first class operating system resource. Proceedings of the Architectural Support for Programming Languages and Operating Systems (ASPLOS), 2002, pp. 123-132.
- [9] Weiser M., B. Welch, A. Demers and S. Shenker. Scheduling for reduced CPU energy," Proceedings of the 1st symposium on operating systems design and implementation, 1994, pp. 13-23.
- [10] Mishra R., N. Rastogi, Z. Dakai, D. Mosse and R. Melhem. Energy aware scheduling for distributed real-time systems. Proceedings of the International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium (IPDPS'03) 2003.











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)