



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 4 Issue: VIII Month of publication: August 2016 DOI:

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🛇 08813907089 🕴 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

# Comparision of Seismic Behaviour of Regular and Vertical Irregular Structure by using Pushover Analysis

Patil Sadhana M.<sup>1</sup>, D.N.Shinde<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Student, Department of Civil Engineering, P.V.P.I.T. Budhgaon, Maharashtra, India <sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, P.V.P.I.T. Budhgaon, Maharashtra India

Abstract—There are different analysis methods to study the seismic behavior of RC building frame. In present study the seismic analysis of building frame is carried out by using pushover analysis. Pushover analysis is non-linear static analysis method in which the structure is subjected to monolithically increasing lateral loads. Now a day's most of the structures are involved with architectural importance, so it is necessary to study the seismic behavior of irregular structure. The present study is concerned with the comparison of seismic responses of regular and vertical irregular structure. The purpose of doing this study is to carry out the pushover analysis of two (regular and vertical irregular) G+7 RC building by using design and analysis software ETABSv9.5.0.and designed as per the Indian standard 456:2000 and 1893:2000.The objective of concerned work is study effect of vertical irregularity on building frame in terms of parameter storey drift, storey displacement, and storeyshear. Keywords – Pushover analysis, Seismic response, storey drift, storey displacement, storey shear

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The behavior of building frame during an earthquake depends upon discontinuity in mass stiffness and the strength. To ensure safety against seismic forces of multistoried building frames, there is necessity to study the seismic analysis to design structure as earthquake resistant.

Now a day's requirement of the latest generation and growing population has made the architects inevitable towards development of irregular building configuration. There are different types of irregularity-plan irregularity and vertical irregularity. Vertical irregularities are again divided into subtypes such as, mass irregularity, stiffness irregularity, vertical geometric irregularity, and discontinuity in capacity, In-plane discontinuity in vertical elements resisting lateral force. Among these the vertical geometric irregularity is considered for present study. When the horizontal dimension of lateral force resisting system in any storey is more than 150% of that adjacent storey, then it is called as vertical irregular structure.

Two G+7RC building frames with and without vertical irregularity are considered for present study. Vertical irregularity is achieved by reducing number of bays in vertical downward direction. The pushover analysis is carried out by using design and analysis software ETABSv9.5.0.and designed as per Indian standard 456:2000 and IS1893:2002.

Type of frame	: RC moment resisting			
	Frame			
Seismic zone	: III			
Number of storey	: G+7			
Live load	$: 4.0 \text{ kN/m}^2$			
Floor finish	$: 1 \text{ kN/m}^2$ .			
Earthquake load	:As per IS-1893(Part-			
	1)2002.			
Type of Soil	: Type II, Medium soil			
	As per IS: 1893:2002			
Storey height	: 3 m.			
Floors	: G.F + 7 upper floors.			

#### II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

www.ijraset.com IC Value: 13.98 Volume 4 Issue VIII, August 2016

ISSN: 2321-9653

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

Size of columns	$\begin{array}{ll} :C_1 = 520X480 \text{mm for ground} & \text{floor}, \\ 1^{\text{st}}, 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ and } 3^{\text{rd}} \text{ floor} \\ C_2 = 340x300 \text{mm for } 4^{\text{th}}, 5^{\text{th}}, 6^{\text{th}} 7^{\text{th}} \text{ floor} \end{array}$
Size of Beams	: $B_1$ =420X380mm for 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2,3 <sup>rd</sup> and 4 <sup>th</sup> floor
	$B_2=340X320mm$ for $5^{th},6^{th},7^{th}$ and $8^{th}$ floor

Walls	: 230mm thick brick			
	Masonry walls.			
Slab thickness	: 150mm thick			
No. of Bays	: 6 along both direction.			
Spacing along X-axis	: 4.5 m			
Spacing along Y-axis	: 3 m			
Materials	: Concrete M30, Steel			
	Fe 415			
Density of concrete	$: 25 \text{kN/m}^3$			
Type of Soil	: Medium			
Damping of structure	: 5%			
M-I -regular building frame				
M-II -irregular building frame (building frame				

Having 200% vertical irregularity).

#### III. MODELING AND PUSHOVER ANALYSIS OF REGULAR BUILDING FRAME (M-I)



Fig. 1 plan elevation and 3D view of model (M-I)



Fig. 2 Pushover curve for model M-I case PUSH-X

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (LIBASET)

Technology (IJRASET)

	Static Nonlinear Case PU	SHY	
	Plot Type		
	Resultant Base Shear vs Monitored Displacement		
tion	C Capacity Spectrum	Color	
Read	Demand Spectrum		
se	Seismic Coefficient Ca	0.4	
ä	Seismic Coefficient Cv	0.4	
	Show Family of Demand Spectra	Color	
	Damping Batios	0001	
20 210 240 270 200			
(2.811E-01, 2142.86)	Show Single Demand Spectrum	Color	
(2184.546, 0.214)	Show Constant Period Lines at	Color 🛙	
( 0.074 , 0.167 )			
( 3.005 , 0.334 )			
	Inherent + Additional Damping	0.05	
	Structural Behavior Type		
	CAGBCCCUser	Modify/Shov	
	6 200 240 220 300 40 <sup>3</sup> (2181E 01, 214286) (2184 546, 0214) (3005, 0334)	Plot Type © Renutari Base Shear vs Monitored C Capacity Spectrum - Demard Spectrum -	

Fig.3 Pushover curve for model M-I case PUSH-Y



Fig.4 Capacity and demand curves of model M-I PUSH X load case



Fig.5 Capacity and demand curves of model M-I PUSH Y load case

The base shear at performance point of model M-I for PUSH X and for PUSH Y case is 1962.778 kN and 2184.546 kN respectively.

www.ijraset.com

IC Value: 13.98

ISSN: 2321-9653

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering

**Technology (IJRASET)** 

#### IV. MODELING AND PUSHOVER ANALYSIS OF VERTICAL IRREGULAR BUILDING FRAME

(M-II)



Fig..6 Elevation and 3D view of model (M-II)











Fig.9 Capacity and demand curves of model M-II PUSH X load case

Volume 4 Issue VIII, August 2016 ISSN: 2321-9653

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering

**Technology (IJRASET)** 



Fig.10 Capacity and demand curves of model M-II PUSH Y load case

For PUSH X and PUSH Y Case base shear at the performance point is 1353.7 kN and 1795.2 kN respectively.

#### A. Storey displacement



#### V. PUSHOVER ANALYSIS

Fig.12 Variation in Storey displacement (Y)

ISSN: 2321-9653

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

#### B. Storey Drift





Fig.14 Variation in Storey drift (Y)

#### C. Storey Shear



Fig.15 Variation in Storey shear (X)

#### ISSN: 2321-9653

### International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)



Fig.16 Variation in Storey shear (Y)

#### VI. ANALYSIS RESULTS

MODEL	M-I		M-II	
PARAMETER	Х	Y	Х	Y
Base shear at performance point (kN)	1963	2184.5	1353.7	1795.2
Displacement at performance point (kN)	0.239	0.214	0.177	0.143
Story displacement (m)	0.021	0.0185	0.026	0.0213
Story Drift (m)	0.001	0.0011	0.0018	0.0016
spectral acceleration (m/s2)	0.067	0.074	0.171	0.212
Spectral Displacement (m)	0.185	0.167	0.104	0.089

#### Table 1-Pushover analysis Result

#### VI. CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions are drawn based on present study:

- A. The building frame with vertical irregularity undergoes maximum storey displacement as compared to the building frame without vertical irregularity.(fig.11 and fig.12)
- B. Due to provision of vertical irregularity there is increase in storey drift. (fig.13 and fig.14)
- C. In case of irregular building frame there is decrease storey shear as compared to the regular building frame. (fig.15 and fig.16)
- D. Vertical irregular structure has less seismic performance as compared to regular structure.(table 1)

www.ijraset.com IC Value: 13.98

ISSN: 2321-9653

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Jonathan Chambers and Trevor Kelly(2004) "Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis The Only Option For Irregular Structures",13th world conference on Earthquake Engineering. vancouver, B.C. Canada
- [2] Alessandro Galasco, Sergio Lagomarsino And Andrea Penna (2006) "The Use of Pushover Analysis For Existing Masonry Buildings" First European Conference on Earthquake Engineering and Seismology ,Geneva, Switzerland.
- [3] T.L. Karavasilisa, N. Bazeosa, D.E. Beskos(2007) "Seismic response of plane steel MRF with setbacks: Estimation of inelastic deformation demands".
- [4] N. fallah, S. Pourze Ynali and M.I. Hafezi (2011) "Accuracy evaluation of the modal pushover analysis method in the prediction of seismic response of vertically irregular frames", IJST, Transactions of Civil Engineering.
- [5] T. Mahdi V. Soltan Gharaie (2011) " Evaluation of the accuracy of quasi-static pushover analysis method" Proceedings of the Ninth Pacific Conference on Earthquake Engineering Building an Earthquake-Resilient Society.
- [6] Konuralp Girgin and Kutlu Darılmaz.(December 2007)" Seismic Response of Infilled Framed Buildings Using Pushover Analysis". Department of Civil Engineering, Istanbul Technical University, 34469, Maslak, Istanbul, Turkey Volume 54, Number 5. 5 December 2007
- [7] IS:456:2000 Plain and Reinforced code of practice, IS: 875 Code of practice for design loads, IS:1893(Part-1):2002 Criteria for earth quake resistant design of structure, IS:13920:1993 Ductile detailing of RCC structure subjected to earth quake force.
- [8] Murthy C.V.R, Learning earthquake design
- [9] Agrawal, Shrikhande Mansih, earth quake resistant design of structures
- [10] Chopra A.K., Dynamics of structures- theory and applications to earthquake engineering











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)