iJRASET
International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 4 **Issue:** XI **Month of publication:** November 2016

DOI:

www.ijraset.com

Call: 08813907089 | E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

Improving Trust on Recommendation models using the PCA Recommend based Iterative Analysis against the User trust and Item Rating

Mr. P. Vijayakumar[1], Miss. A. Vinodhini[2]
[1]Head of Dept. of Computer Science, Sri Jayendra Saraswathy Vidyalaya College of Arts & Science, Coimbatore
[2]Research Scholar, Sri Jayendra Saraswathy Vidyalaya College of Arts & Science, Coimbatore

Abstract: ***The recommendation modelling is challenging issue in the research of recommendation model by integrating the information source with sparsity and high dimensional structure against cold start and curse of dimensionality issues. Many existing approaches have been modelled to provide effective recommendation model but it fails in terms of performance if it is been measured against the implicit and explicit functions of collaborating different sources. In order to overcome those issues, we propose a framework named PCA- Recommend which improves the stability on recommendation models using iterative analysis in the rating in terms of trust. According to definition, the trust is computed in the prediction or recommendation using implicit and explicit preference of the data by eliminating the non –influenced attributes of the dataset using Singular Value Decomposition. Principle Component Analysis Recommend is modelled for classified preference to user and item similarity based on the stability scores. The Stability Score is iterated for trust prediction in the personalized Setting. Experimental results is carried on the movie rating dataset that proposed system achieves better results in terms of precision , recall , f- measure and execution timings.***

Keywords – Recommendation System, Collaborative filtering, Principle Component Analysis, Matrix Factorization

I. INTRODUCTION

Recommendation Models is an interesting and significant research area to provide the user or item based on personalized recommendation. The recommender system plays an important role in predicting the rating of user for variety of E commerce based products. Hence it is mandatory to retain the customer in the e commerce application by offering accurate recommendation to enhance the customer contributions. Collaborative filtering is the automatic prediction of interest of the user by collective preference [1]. Usually recommendation system suffers from the problem such as cold start, Preference elicitation and data sparsity issues [2]. Cold start concerns that system cannot conclude the interference for the users even with or without sufficient information. Preference Elicitation refers to the problem in identifies the hidden preferences and eliminating the redundant information. Data sparsity issues leads with dynamic information of the user according to varying situation. This issue degrades the performance of the recommendation system in terms of accuracy and efficiency. Data sparsity is considered as important problem in the current work in modelling the recommendation system. Many existing work has implemented the trust based models in the recommendation system but those models fails as they influenced by the user specific features without considering about the item similarity. Also many work fails in the marginal gains in the predicting accuracy, data correlation between the entities. In this work, we propose a framework named PCA- Recommend which improves the stability on recommendation models using iterative analysis in the rating in terms of trust. According to definition, the trust is computed in the prediction or recommendation using implicit and explicit preference of the data by eliminating the non –influenced attributes of the dataset using Singular Value Decomposition. Principle Component Analysis Recommend is modelled for classified preference to user and item similarity based on the stability scores. The Stability Score is iterated for trust prediction in the personalized Setting. The rest of paper is organized as follows, Section 2 describes the related work, section 3 describes the proposed model in detail, section 4 deals with experimental analysis and finally section 5 is concluded

II. RELATED WORKS

A. S. Komiak and I. Benbasat[3], discussed effects of personalization and familiarity on trust and adoption of recommendation agents, In the context of personalization technologies, such as Web-based product-brokering recommendation agents (RAs) in electronic commerce, existing technology acceptance theories need to be expanded to take into account not only the cognitive

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

beliefs leading to adoption behaviour, but also the affect elicited by the personalized nature of the technology. This study takes a trust-centered, cognitive and emotional balanced perspective to study RA adoption. Grounded on the theory of reasoned action, the IT adoption literature, and the trust literature, this study theoretically articulates and empirically examines the effects of perceived personalization and familiarity on cognitive trust and emotional trust in an RA, and the impact of cognitive trust and emotional trust on the intention to adopt the RA either as a decision aid or as a delegated agent.

B. Y. Koren, R. Bell, and C. Volinsky[4] discussed "Matrix factorization techniques for recommender systems. Matrix factorization models are superior to classic nearest neighbor techniques for producing product recommendations, allowing the incorporation of additional information such as implicit feedback, temporal effects, and confidence levels**.** In fact, it has been demonstrated that incorporating the social trust information of users is able to improve the performance of recommendations.

III. PROPOSED METHOD

In this section, we introduce the concept of rating prediction using matrix factorization and trust relationship determination for rating using PCA recommend determination.

A. SVD++ Based Classification

Initially data records is been classified through data reduction mechanism. The Data is reduced to verify the generality of the data which produces the rating on the positive samples. However, negative samples may be due to the unawareness of items rather than dislike. Hence, this assumption may be invalid in practice.

The Data presuming that an item consumed by an related user is preferred to that related or correlated user to in order to incur the knowledge about the item similarity which is then preferred classify or predict the rating to the item consumed by other users.




Figure 1: Architecture of proposed Framework

The Figure 1 describes the Architecture of the proposed Work in details using the Computation of the Matrix factorization on the iterative analysis for personalized recommendation.

Both ratings of the item similarity and trust are very sparse in general across movie rating data set. In this regard, a trust-aware recommender system that focuses too much on trust (rather than rating) utility is likely to achieve only marginal gains in recommendation performance.

B. PCA Recommend – Trust Model on Rating Prediction

Recommend is based on the two categories such as trust and trust alike. These data is classified using PCA with less execution time. It includes a positive and subjective evaluation about other's ability in providing valuable ratings. Trust can be further split into explit trust and implicit trust. Explicit trust refers to the trust statements directly specified by users. Trust alike relationships predict the social relationships that are similar with, but weaker (or more noisy) than social trust.

Trust can be a complementary information source to item ratings for recommender systems. The similarities are that both kinds of relationships indicate user preferences to some extent and thus useful for recommender systems, while the differences are those trust-alike relationships are often weaker in strength and likely to be noisier.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

Algorithm – Iterative Trust Analysis
Input – User rating for the item (movie)
Output – Similarity Computed for the user on Step 1: Similarity with high Trust
Step 2: Process
For each sample S_k, build model using PCA Recommend
PCA recommend ()
Step 3: Eliminate irrelavent attributes
Step 4: Categorize the Equal sized samples of N ratings
Step 5: For each iteration k € {1, 2...K}
Step 6: Iterate until the Correlation (sample 1=Sample 2) of the user rating
Step 7: Apply Covariance matrix to predict the dissimilarities
Step 8 : Predict the top rated prediction for un-defined user. Predicted Rating $p_{k\,is}$ P_k= T (Sk)

However, in each iteration, instead of building one model for each unknown rating, only one single model is built upon known ratings and predicted ratings in previous iteration. Similarly to the original approach, new predictions are compared with predictions made in the previous iteration and the procedure ends either after a fixed number of iterations or when predictions on unknown ratings converge.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, we conduct an experimental analysis in order to compute the effectiveness of the system against existing approaches using movie rating dataset.

A. Dataset Description

Movie Rating is widely used movie recommendation dataset. It contains 100,000 movies with ratings scale of 1–5 for different varieties of genre. The data set after which transformed into matrix format for further analysis

B. Performance Evaluation

Singular value decomposition (SVD) techniques are used to decompose original rating matrix into the two sub-matrices in an optimal way that minimizes the resulting approximation error.
Cross Validation for performance Comparison and testing using precision, Recall and F- Measure was used. Samples usually contain the rating of records with cold start and data sparsity.
Above mentioned metric were adopted since it gives clearer changes of recommendation performance. The best parameter settings from the previous analysis are taken in this part




Figure 2: Performance Comparison of Precision against the Existing and Proposed technique

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{(\text{Relevant Rating of the User}) \text{n} \ (\text{retrived rating of the User})}{\text{Retrived rating}}$$

The Precision is computed for the sample predicted against the data sparsity and cold start by considering both the explicit and implicit influence of ratings and trust.
Ratings data used in recommender systems for trust evaluation have similar characteristics with user in the movie rating in the

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

Volume 4 Issue XI, November 2016
ISSN: 2321-9653
International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

matrix format, in come case they may or not independent from each other, but rather are related (or linked) to each other. Knowledge inferred from some ratings can be used to assist estimation of other ratings without trust. After the two sub-matrices are learned using the known ratings, each unknown rating is estimated as a dot-product of the corresponding user and item-factors vectors.




Figure 3: Performance Comparison of Recall against the Existing and Proposed technique

It is the fraction of the rating values that are relevant to the user that are successfully retrieved based on the sensitivity of the value which produces the precision results.




Figure 4: Performance Comparison of F-measure against the Existing and Proposed technique

Especially when entire rating space is large (i.e., in settings with large numbers of users and items), the single overall model build in the simplified algorithm should produce outcomes similar to the ones produced by individual models built in the original algorithm, especially in the first iteration.

$$F1=2\frac{\text{Precision}*\text{Recall}}{\text{Precision}+\text{Recall}}$$

It is a measure of a rating accuracy. It considers both the precision *p* and the recall *r* of the test to compute the score: *p* is the number of correct positive results divided by the number of all positive results, and *r* is the number of correct positive results divided by the number of positive results that should have been returned.

Table 1 – Describes the Performance Comparison of the Trust Recommendation models

Metric	Matrix Factorization	Trust SVD	Iterative Trust Analysis
Precision	28.94	33.33	85.69
Recall	40.73	57.00	82.76
F- Measure	33.84	40.00	93.27

Performance of the proposed system has been compared with state-of-the-arts method that have utilized classification on different rating predictions .Table 1 describes the performance comparison of the trust recommendation models indicating the reliability of our approach with respect to the feature dimensionality. It is noted that the predictive accuracy on movie trust decreases along with the increment of trust degrees.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved


V. CONCLUSION

The proposed model is designed and Implemented the trust is computed in the prediction or recommendation using implicit and explicit preference of the data by eliminating the non –influenced attributes of the dataset using Singular Value Decomposition. Principle Component Analysis Recommend is modelled and classified preference to user and item similarity is been evaluated against the stability scores. The Stability Score is iterated for trust prediction in the personalized Setting. The Proposed Model is concluded that proposed approach can better alleviate the data sparsity and cold start problems of recommender systems.

REFERENCES

[1] Y. Huang, X. Chen, J. Zhang, D. Zeng, D. Zhang, and X. Ding, "Single-trial fERPsg denoising via collaborative filtering on fERPsg images," Neurocomputing, vol. 149, Part B, pp. 914 – 923, 2015.
[2] G. Guo, J. Zhang, and D. Thalmann, "A simple but effective method to incorporate trusted neighbors in recommender systems," in Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on User Modeling, Adaptation and Personalization (UMAP), 2012, pp. 114– 125.
[3] S. Komiak and I. Benbasat, "The effects of personalization and familiarity on trust and adoption of recommendation agents," MIS Quart., vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 941–960, 2006.
[4] Y. Koren, R. Bell, and C. Volinsky, "Matrix factorization techniques for recommender systems," IEEE Comput., vol. 42, no. 8, pp. 30–37, Aug. 2009.
[5] M. Jamali and M. Ester, "A matrix factorization technique with trust propagation for recommendation in social networks," in Proceedings of the 4th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems (RecSys), 2010, pp. 135–142.
[6] H. Fang, Y. Bao, and J. Zhang, "Leveraging decomposed trust in probabilistic matrix factorization for effective recommendation," in Proceedings of the 28th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence(AAAI), 2014, pp. 30–36
[7] Y. Koren, "Factor in the neighbors: Scalable and accurate collaborative filtering," ACM Transactions on Knowledge Discovery from Data (TKDD), vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1:1–1:24, 2010.
[8] G. Guo, J. Zhang, and N. Yorke-Smith, "Leveraging multiviews of trust and similarity to enhance clustering-based recommender systems," Knowledge-Based Systems (KBS), vol. 74, no. 0, pp. 14 –27, 2015.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved



10.22214/IJRASET

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)