



IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 5 Issue: I Month of publication: January 2017 DOI:

www.ijraset.com

Call: 🛇 08813907089 🕴 E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

## **International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering**

**Technology (IJRASET)** 

## **Fixed Point Results in Quasimetric Spaces**

#### Dr. C Vijender

Dept of Mathematics, Sreenidhi Institute of Science and Technology, Hyderabad

Abstract: In the setting of quasimetric spaces, we prove some new results on the existence of fixed points for contractive type maps with respect to Q-function. Our results either improve or generalize many known results in the literature. Keywords: Quasimetric space, contractive maps, fixed point, Q-function.

#### I. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a metric space with metric d. We use S(X) to denote the collection of all nonempty subsets of X, cl(X) for the collection of all nonempty closed subsets of X, CB(X) for the collection of all nonempty closed bounded subsets of X and H for the Hausdorff metric on CB(X) that is,

 $H(A, B) = \max \{ \sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A) \}, A, B \in CB(X),$ 

Where  $d(a, B) = \inf \{ d(a, b) : b \in B \}$  is the distance from the point *a* to the subset *B*.

For a multivalued map  $T: X \to CB(X)$ , we say

(a) *T* is *contraction* [1] if there exists a constant  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ , such that for all *x*,  $y \in X$ ,  $H(T(x), T(y)) \le \lambda d(x, y)$ ,

(b) *T* is *weakly contractive* [2] if there exist constants  $h, b \in (0,1), h < b$ , such that for any  $x \in X$ , there is  $y \in I_b^x$  satisfying  $d(y, T(y)) \le hd(x, y)$ ,

where  $I_{b}^{x} = \{ y \in T(x) : bd(x, y) \le d(x, T(x)) \}.$ 

A point  $x \in X$  is called a *fixed point* of a multivalued map  $T: X \to S(X)$  if  $x \in T(X)$ . We denote  $Fix(T) = \{x \in X : x \in T(X)\}$ .

A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is called an orbit of T at  $x_0 \in X$  if  $x_n \in T(x_{n-1})$  for all integer  $n \ge 1$ . A real valued function f on X is called *lower* semi continuous if for any sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  with  $x_n \to x \in X$  implies that  $f(x) \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} f(x_n)$ .

Using the Hausdorff metric, Nadler Jr. [1] has established a multivalued version of the well-known Banach contraction principle in the setting of metric spaces as follows.

#### A. Theorem

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, then each contraction map  $T:X \rightarrow CB(X)$  has a fixed point.

Without using the Hausdorff metric, Feng and Liu [2] generalized Nadler's contraction principle as follows.

#### B. Theorem

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let  $T: X \rightarrow cl(X)$  be a weakly contractive map, then T has a fixed point in X provided the real valued function f(x) = d(x, T(x)) on X is a lower semicontinuous.

In [3], Kada et al. introduced the concept of  $\omega$ -distance in the setting of metric spaces as follows.

A function  $\omega: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  is called a  $\omega$ -distance on X if it satisfies the following:

(w1)  $\omega(x, z) \le \omega(x, y) + \omega(y, z)$ , for all x, y, z $\in$ X

(w2)  $\omega$  is lower semicontinuous in its second variable;

(w3) for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$ , such that  $\omega(z, x) \le \delta$  and  $\omega(z, y) \le \delta$  imply  $d(x, y) \le \epsilon$ .

Note that in general for  $x, y \in X, \omega(x, y) \neq \omega(y, x)$ , and not either of the implications  $\omega(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$  necessarily

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

holds. Clearly, the metric *d* is a  $\omega$ -distance on X. Many other examples and properties of  $\omega$ -distances are given in [3]. In [4], Suzuki and Takahashi improved Nadler contraction principle (Theorem 1.1) as follows.

#### C. Theorem

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let  $T: X \to cl(X)$ . If there exist a  $\omega$ -distance  $\omega$  on X and a constant  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , such that for each x,  $y \in X$  and  $u \in T(x)$ , there is  $v \in T(y)$  satisfying

 $\omega(u,v) \leq \lambda \omega(x,y),$ 

then T has a fixed point.

Recently, Latif and Albar [5] generalized Theorem 1.2 with respect to  $\omega$ -distance (see, Theorem 3.3 in [5]), and Latif [6] proved a fixed point result with respect to  $\omega$ -distance (see, Theorem 2.2 in [6]) which contains Theorem 1.3 as a special case.

A nonempty set X together with a quasimetric d (i.e., not necessarily symmetric) is called a quasimetric space. In the setting of a quasimetric spaces, Al-Homidan et al. [7] introduced the concept of a q-function on quasimetric spaces which generalizes the notion of a  $\omega$ -distance.

A function  $q: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a q-function on X if it satisfies the following conditions:

(Q1)  $q(x, z) \le q(x, y) + q(y, z)$ , for all x, y, z $\in$ X,

(Q2) If  $\{y_n\}$  is a sequence in X such that  $y_n \rightarrow y \in X$  and for  $x \in X$ ,  $q(x, y_n) \le M$  for some M=M(x)>0, then  $q(x, y) \le M$ ,

(Q3) for any  $\epsilon \!\!>\!\!0,$  there exists  $\delta\!\!>\!\!0,$  such that  $q(x,y)\!\leq\!\!\delta$  and  $q(x,z)\!\leq\!\!\delta$  imply  $d(y,z)\!\leq\!\!\epsilon.$ 

Note that every  $\omega$ -distance is a q-function, but the converse is not true in general [7]. Now, we state some useful properties of q-function as given in [7].

#### D. Lemma

Let (X, d) be a complete quasimetric space and let q be a Q-function on X. Let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  be sequences in X. Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  be sequences in  $\{0, \infty\}$  converging to 0, then the following hold for any x, y,  $z \in X$ .

if  $q(x_n, y) \le \alpha_n$  and  $q(x_n, z) \le \beta_n$  for all  $n \ge 1$ , then y=z in particular, if q(x, y) = 0 and q(x, z) = 0, then y=z, if  $q(x_n, y_n) \le \alpha_n$  and  $q(x_n, z) \le \beta_n$  for all  $n \ge 1$ , then  $\{y_n\}$  converges to Z;

if  $q(x_n, x_m) \le \alpha_n$  for any n,m $\ge 1$  with m>n, then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence;

if  $q(y, x_n) \le \alpha_n$  for any  $n \ge 1$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Using the concept Q-function, Al-Homidan et al. [7] recently studied an equilibrium version of the Ekeland-type variational principle. They also generalized Nadler's fixed point theorem (Theorem 1.1) in the setting of quasimetric spaces as follows.

#### E. Theorem

Let (X, d) be a complete quasimetric space and let  $T: X \to cl(X)$ . If there exist Q-function q on X and a constant  $\lambda \epsilon(0, 1)$ , such that for each x, y $\epsilon X$  and  $u \epsilon T(x)$ , there is  $v \epsilon T(y)$  satisfying

 $q(u, v) \leq \lambda q(x, y),$ 

then T has a fixed point.

In the sequel, we consider X as a quasimetric space with quasimetric d.

Considering a multivalued map  $T: X \to S(X)$ , we say

T is weakly q-contractive if there exist Q-function q on X and constants h,  $b \in (0, 1)$ , h<br/>b, such that for any x \in X, there is  $y \in J_h^x$  satisfying

$$q(y,T(y)) \le hq(x,y),$$

where  $J_{h}^{x} = \{y \in T(x) : bq(x, y) \le q(x, T(x))\}$  and  $q(x, T(x)) = \inf\{q(x, y) : y \in T(x)\}$ ,

T is generalized q-contractive if there exists a Q-function q on X, such that for each x,  $y \in X$  and  $u \in T(x)$ , there is  $y \in T(y)$  satisfying

Volume 5 Issue I, January 2017 ISSN: 2321-9653

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

 $q(u,v) \le kq(x,y),$ 

where k is a function of  $[0, \infty)$  to [0, 1), such that  $\lim \sup_{r \to t^+} k(r) < 1$  for all t $\ge 0$ .

Clearly, the class of *weakly* q- contractive maps contains the class of weakly contractive maps, and the class of generalized q-contractive maps contains the classes of generalized  $\omega$ -contraction maps [6],  $\omega$ -contractive maps [4], and q-contractive maps [7]. In this paper, we prove some new fixed point results in the setting of quasimetric spaces for weakly q-*contractive and* generalized q-contractive multivalued maps. Consequently, our results either improve or generalize many known results including the above stated fixed point results.

#### II. THE MAIN RESULTS

First, we prove a fixed point theorem for weakly q-contractive maps in the setting of quasimetric spaces.

#### A. Theorem

Let X be a complete quasimetric space and let  $T: X \to cl(X)$  be a weakly q-contractive map. If a real valued function f(x) = q(x, T(x)) on X is lower semicontinuous, then there exists  $y_0 \in X$ , such that  $q(y_0, T(y_0))=0$  Further, if  $q(x_0, y_0)=0$ , then  $y_0$  is a fixed point of T.

#### B. Proof

Let  $x_0 \in X$ , Since T is weakly contractive, there is  $x_1 \in J_b^{x_0} \subseteq T(x_0)$ , such that

$$q(x_1, T(x_1)) \le hq(x_0, x_1),$$

where h<br/>b Continuing this process, we can get an orbit {x<sub>n</sub>} of T at x<sub>0</sub> satisfying  $x_{n+1} \in J_b^{x_n}$  and

$$q(x_{n+1}, T(x_{n+1})) \le hq(x_n, x_{n+1}), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Since  $bq(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le q(x_n, T(x_n))$ , and h<b<1, thus we get

$$q(x_{n+1}, T(x_{n+1})) \le q(x_n, T(x_n)),$$

If we put  $a = \frac{h}{h}$ , then also we have

$$q(x_{n+1}, T(x_{n+1})) \le aq(x_n, T(x_n)),$$

Thus, we obtain

$$q(x_n, T(x_n)) \le a^n q(x_0, T(x_0)), n = 0, 1, 2, ...,$$

and since 0 < a < 1, hence the sequence  $\{f(x_n)\} = q(x_n, T(x_n))$ , which is decreasing, converges to 0. Now, we show that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Note that

 $q(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le a^n q(x_0, x_1), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$ 

Now, for any integer n,  $m \ge 1$  with m > n, we have

$$q(x_n, x_m) \le q(x_n, x_{n+1}) + q(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + q(x_{m-1}, x_m)$$
  
$$\le a^n q(x_0, x_1) + a^{n+1} q(x_0, x_1) + \dots + a^{m-1} q(x_0, x_1)$$
  
$$\le \frac{a^n}{1-a} q(x_0, x_1),$$

and thus by Lemma 1.4,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Due to the completeness of X, there exists some  $y_0 \in X$ , such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = y_0$ . Now, since f is lower semicontinuous, we have

$$0 \le f(y_0) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf f(x_n) = 0,$$

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

and thus,  $f(y_0) = q(y_0, T(y_0)) = 0$ . It follows that there exists a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $T(y_0)$ , such that  $q(y_0, y_n) \to 0$ . Now, if  $q(y_0, y_n) = 0$ , then by Lemma 1.4,  $y_n \to y_0$ . Since  $T(y_0)$  is closed, we get  $y_0 \in T(y_0)$ . Now, we prove the following useful lemma.

#### C. Lemma

Let (X, d) be a complete quasimetric space and let  $T: X \to cl(X)$  be a generalized q-contractive map, then there exists an orbit  $\{x_n\}$  of T at  $x_0$ , such that the sequence of nonnegative numbers  $\{q(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$  is decreasing to zero and  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

#### D. Proof

Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary but fixed element of x and let  $x_1 \in T(x_0)$ . Since T is generalized as a q-contractive, there is  $x_2 \in T(x_1)$ , such that  $q(x_1, x_2) \le kq(x_0, x_1)$ ,

Continuing this process, we get a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X, such that  $x_{n+1} \in T(x_n)$  and

 $q(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le kq(x_{n-1}, x_n),$ 

Thus, for all  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$q(x_n, x_{n+1}) < q(x_{n-1}, x_n),$$

Write  $t_n = q(x_n, x_{n+1})$ . Suppose that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = \lambda > 0$ , then we have

#### $t_n\!\leq\!k\;t_{n\text{-}1}$

Now, taking limits as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  on both sides, we get

$$\lambda \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup k(t_{n-1})\lambda < \lambda,$$

which is not possible, and hence the sequence of nonnegative numbers  $\{t_n\}$  which is decreasing, converges to 0. Finally, we show that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Let  $a = \lim_{r \to 0^+} \sup k(r) < 1$ . There exists real number  $\beta$  such that  $\alpha < \beta < 1$ . Then for sufficiently large n,  $k(t_n) < \beta$ , and thus for sufficiently large n, we have  $t_n < \beta t_{n-1}$ . Consequently, we obtain  $t_n < \beta^n t_0$ , that is,

 $\begin{aligned} q(x_n, x_{n+1}) &< \beta^n q(x_0, x_1), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ \text{Now, for any integers n, } m \ge 1, m > n, \\ q(x_n, x_m) &\leq q(x_n, x_{n+1}) + q(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + q(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq \beta^n q(x_0, x_1) + \beta^{n+1} q(x_0, x_1) + \dots + \beta^{m-1} q(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \frac{\beta^n}{1 - \beta} q(x_0, x_1), \end{aligned}$ 

and thus by Lemma 1.4,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Applying Lemma 2.2, we prove a fixed point result for generalized q-contractive maps.

#### E. Theorem

Let (X, d) be a complete quasimetric space then each generalized q-contractive map  $T: X \rightarrow cl(X)$  has a fixed point.

#### F. Proof

It follows from Lemma 2.2 Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such decreasing that there exists а that the sequence  $\{q(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$  converges to 0. Due completeness of X, to the there exists some  $y_0 \in X$  such

## International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = y_0$ . Let n be arbitrary fixed positive integer then for all positive integers m with m > n, we have

$$q(x_n, x_m) \leq \frac{\beta^n}{1 - \beta} q(x_0, x_1),$$

Let  $M = \frac{p}{1-\beta}q(x_0, x_1)$ , then  $M \ge 0$ . Now, note that

$$q(x_n, x_m) \leq M \Longrightarrow q(x_n, y_0) \leq M$$
,

Since n was arbitrary fixed, we have

$$q(x_n, y_0) \le \frac{\beta^n}{1-\beta} q(x_0, x_1)$$
, for all positive integers  $n$ ,

Note that  $q(x_n, y_0)$  converges to 0. Now, since  $x_n \in T(x_{n-1})$  and T is a generalized q-contractive map, then there is  $u_n \in T(y_0)$ , such that

$$q(x_n, u_n) \le kq(x_{n-1}, y_0),$$

And for large n, we obtain

$$q(x_n, u_n) \le kq(x_{n-1}, y_0) < \beta q(x_{n-1}, y_0),$$

thus, we get

$$q(x_n, u_n) < \beta q(x_{n-1}, y_0) \le \frac{\beta^n}{1-\beta} q(x_0, x_1),$$

Thus, it follows from Lemma 1.4 that  $u_n \rightarrow y_0$ . Since  $T(y_0)$  is closed, we get  $y_0 \in T(y_0)$ . Corollary 2.4.

Let (X, d) be a complete quasimetric space and q a Q-function on X. Let  $T: X \to cl(X)$  be a multivalued map, such that for any x, y $\in X$  and u  $\in T(x)$ , there is v  $\in T(y)$  with

 $q(u,v) \le kq(x,y),$ 

Where k is a monotonic increasing function from  $(0, \infty)$  to [0, 1), then T has a fixed point.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Nadler SB Jr.: Multi-valued contraction mappings. Pacific Journal of Mathematics 1969, 30: 475-488.
- [2] Feng Y, Liu S: Fixed point theorems for multi-valued contractive mappings and multi-valued Caristi type mappings. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 2006,317(1):103–112. 10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.12.004
- [3] Kada O, Suzuki T, Takahashi W: Nonconvex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces. Mathematica Japonica 1996,44(2):381–391.
- [4] Suzuki T, Takahashi W: Fixed point theorems and characterizations of metric completeness. Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis 1997,8(2):371–382.
- [5] Latif A, Albar WA: Fixed point results in complete metric spaces. Demonstratio Mathematica 2008,41(1):145–150.
- [6] Latif A: A fixed point result in complete metric spaces. JP Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications2007,2(2):169–175.
- [7] Al-Homidan S, Ansari QH, Yao J-C: Some generalizations of Ekeland-type variational principle with applications to equilibrium problems and fixed point theory. Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications 2008,69(1):126–139. 10.1016/j.na.2007.05.004
- [8] Siddiqi AH, Ansari QH: An iterative method for generalized variational inequalities. Mathematica Japonica 1989, 34(3):475-481.
- [9] Kaneko H: Generalized contractive multivalued mappings and their fixed points. Mathematica Japonica1988,33(1):57-64.











45.98



IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129







# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 🕓 (24\*7 Support on Whatsapp)