iJRASET
International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Volume: 5 **Issue:** VI **Month of publication:** June 2017

DOI:

www.ijraset.com

Call: 08813907089 | E-mail ID: ijraset@gmail.com

Volume 5 Issue VI, June 2017
ISSN: 2321-9653
International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

A Comparative Study of the Effect of Infill Walls between the Full Infilled Frame and Partial Infilled Frame under Lateral Load

Dharmveer Sonkar [1], Mr. Madan Chandra Maurya[2]
[1]*Post Graduate Student, Dept. of Seismic Design Earthquake Engineering, Madan Mohan Malavia University of Technology Gorakhput (up)*
[2]*Assistant Professor, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Madan Mohan Malaviya University of Technology, Gorhkpur(Up)*

Abstract: ***The finite element technique is used for modeling of the infill frames using the ANSYS workbench and the analysis of brickwork infilled plane frames under lateral loads. Two type infill frame modal are design such as full infill frame and partial infill frame and to determine the infilled frame deflection and stress at different lateral load and also analyze the effect of infill walls. Two type infill models conducts a comparative study with respect to infill wall under the lateral loads and studies the failure mode, behaviour of infill frame and walls.***
Keywords: Finite element method, Infilled frame, Infill wall, Lateral load.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. General

It is general observe in developing countries to provide brick masonry infill wall within the columns and beams of reinforced concrete frame structure. Infill wall is made in brick masonry within the columns and beams of reinforced concrete frame. A composite structure formed by the combination of a moment resisting plane frames and infill wall is termed as “Infilled frames”. Infill wall is generally provided for functional and architectural reasons, it is usually considered as non structural elements and their strength and stiffness contributions are ignored in the analysis works but analysis works is available with computational resources.

An infill walls are also indicated to the energy dissipation characteristics under lateral loading as the frame members compress the infill at some locations. When the infill walls are compressed carry a part of the load by providing strut action to the frame. The behavior of reinforced concrete frame with brick is depending upon the complex action of the frame and the infill walls. The structural response is quite complex, it is involves an interaction of infill walls behavior and reinforced concrete frames behavior and length of contact between infill walls and frame.

Infills are provided partially infilled frames with opening and without opening and it is provided for natural lighting and ventilation in room or hospitals. It is created the short column effect or captive column effect.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the lateral stiffness of the brick masonry infilled frame plane using finite element method and to study the comparative result between the full infiil frame and partial infill frame with respect to masonry infill wall and also assume a perfect bond between the masonry unit and the mortar joint.

B. Mathod Of Analysis

The finite element model, which has a finite number of unknowns can the response of the physical system, which has infinite system and the element have a finite number of unknowns so the name finite elements. It is a cast saving, time saving and better quality designs method.

A finite element (FE) technique are developed to model masonry infilled frames using the ANSYS workbench and to determine the infilled frame deflection and stress at different load with different condition and also analyze the effect of infilled frames.

The general process of FEA using software is divided into three main phases shown in fig

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

Volume 5 Issue VI, June 2017
ISSN: 2321-9653
International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)



Fig1- finite element modeling processer

The finite element modal is considers to two type infilled frame structure such as fully infilled frame and partially infilled frame. The size of the infilled frame structure is 1 meter x 1.5 meter and size of the masonry unit is 220 mm x 110 mm x 70 mm, the size of the mortar joint is 220 mm x 110 mm x 10 mm. An infilled frame structure is considered columns (200 mm x 150mm) and beam (150 mm x 150 mm) shown in fig 2.



Fig2- full infilled frame model



Fig 3- Partially infilled frame model

C. Full infilled frame

Infill frame structure is a composite material between the beam-columns and masonry unit. We observe to masonry unit behaviour under the lateral loading condition shown in figure 4 and 5.

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

Volume 5 Issue VI, June 2017
ISSN: 2321-9653
International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)



Fig4 - lateral deformation for infill wall



Fig 5- Lateral deformation graph for infill wall

D. Partial infilled frame

Using the finite element technique, it is design to partial masonry infilled frames for determine the deflection and stress under the lateral load condition shown in fig 6 below.



Fig 6 - lateral deformation for partial infill frame with respect to infill wall

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

Volume 5 Issue VI, June 2017
ISSN: 2321-9653
International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)

We also observed that partial infilled frame' behaviour with respect to infill wall because during the lateral load, infill wall are introduce the in plane failure characteristic so we observed to deformation pattern in fig 6.



Fig 7 -Load deflection graph with respect to infill wall

E. Comparative study of the infilled walls under lateral loads

In this paper are considered to study of the infill wall behavior under the lateral loads and observed to strength of infill wall, it also considered to failure mechanism.

Comparative studies conduct between the all type infill walls and to check deformation pattern in table 1.

TABLE 1- comparative study of the infill walls

Load (KN)	Full infilled wall	Partial infilled wall
10	0.01264 mm	0.0070 mm
15	0.018697 mm	0.0100 mm
20	0.02489 mm	0.013347 mm
25	0.030759 mm	0.016686 mm
30	0.036914 mm	0.020025 mm



©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Infill wall are a brick masonry which is includes beams and columns of the reinforced concrete frame structure so infill walls is termed as “infilled frames”. Due to gravity load, the infill wall adds single self weight and due to seismic load, infill wall tends to interact with frame. Partial Infills walls are only for light in the room. Clear height is reduced of the columns then creates the short column or captive column and it can not possible to avoid but Indian standard IS: 13920-1993 allow to the increase in shear in the case of captive column [1].

Masonry infill frames are a partitions or architectural element. During earthquakes, reinforced concrete frame of the building are more damage due to captive column effect and column is deform laterally. Column is not designed for shear so building is collapse [2].

The behaviour of the infilled frame under loads is playing the important role with column in structure because columns are the primary member of a frame structure that resists the lateral load. Masonry units depends on architectural function and most common type of failure occurring under lateral load, tension failure occurs in column member and shear failure occurs in beams or columns.

In frame member, weak elements are failed due to causes of flexure and shear of the columns and beam. Due to diagonal forces, a principle tensile stress is introduced and which gives to the cracking of the masonry infill material in diagonal tension. Due to compressive loads, the failure of infill material cause of increase in the deflection of the structural member.

In case of the equivalent diagonal strut model, it is provides interaction between the frame members and adjoining masonry infill material and strut model is depending on the finite element method. Material modeling technique is divided in to masonry material model, gap model, the joint material model and the areas of frame material model [3].

During the modeling of infilled frame under lateral load, in which the structure is show, the non linear response cause of the interaction between the masonry walls and frame. Masonry brick work is generally designed to allowable stress and it is carry to design the ultimate strength. In the fair loading condition, the infilled frames are separated to the frame and the infill frame act such as a diagonal strut. The modes of failures are due to shear on the beams and columns, due to tension in the columns. In this case, the lateral load applied to the in infilled frame plane and the upper corner is resisted lateral load by the corner so the diagonal is developed to the upper corners to the bottom corners. In the stress condition, the infilled frame is given to the principal compressive stress along the diagonal and principal tensile stress is developed to the in perpendicular direction [4].

During serious earthquake, shear damage in columns due to captive columns effect. In this research paper are consider the partial masonry infill under lateral load and reduce captive column effect by provided to strength of the infill frame using the retrofitting with the glass fiber reinforced polymer. The partially infill frame failed flexural hinges and diagonal shear crack in the columns.

In this case consider, increased the shear strength of the column with used the bond between concrete and GFRP interface and this results is show the behavior of the strength, stiffness and ductility characteristics [5]. In this paper, finite element technique are used for the analysis of brick work infill frame under lateral loads and using finite element technique, the influence of the masonry opening in the reduction of the infilled frame stiffness are investigation.

In this research paper is study of the behavior infilled frame subjected to in plane loading such as the increase in the opening to decrease on the lateral stiffness of infilled frames and also study the overall action between the frame and the infill are affected as the opening position and contribution to the stiffness and lateral resistance frame [6]. In this research paper is study of the effect of infill wall on the ductility and behavior of high strength reinforced concrete frames under the cyclic loading and determine the ductility factor $R\mu$. Ductility factor means $\Delta f/\Delta y$ where Δf is indicate the displacement at failure and Δy is indicate the yield displacement and also study the thickness of infill wall and type of infill walls[7]. In this paper is study based on available finite element method and calculates the initial lateral stiffness of infill wall with opening using macro modeling of masonry walls and single strut models [8]. In this paper are present the architectural region in which occurs the effect on building response and short column subjected to earthquake effect are discussed. These effects are observed by an engineer, architecture, and structure because their clarification can only be achieved by an integrated approach to building design that recognizes the interaction of these three disciplines.

The accidental variation to the original structural design leading to a captive column by restricting its freedom to deform laterally due to the presence of nonstructural elements that partially confine it is presented. The cracking in captive column usually initiates from window headers and sill level. The short column effect arises frequently due to accidental modification to the original structural configuration by restricting its freedom to deform laterally due to the presence of non structural elements that partially confine it. The non structural elements keep some portion of the column captive and only the free section of the column is able to deform laterally. Captive and short columns effect can developed in open corridors of the building, building on sloping ground and

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved

partially buried basement [9].

In this paper are investigations on the equivalent diagonal strut model, due to influence of vertical load and the effect may produce inaccuracy because the axial deformations of the columns and can produce variation in the contact region between infill and frame.

An equivalent diagonal strut model based on finite element method discretization of the infill frame and is evaluated to the lateral stiffness of infilled frame. This analysis based on mechanical properties and geometrical properties of the system and also disuse the equivalent pin jointed strut, lateral stiffness of the equivalent braced frame, lateral stiffness of the infilled frame by a micro model approach, equivalent strut cross-section and the parameter [10].

In this paper are considered to an experimental study on the behaviour of reinforced and unreinforced masonry walls subjected to axial and in-plane shear load using finite element modeling and in which the mechanical properties brick and mortar. Behaviors of the reinforced and unreinforced brick masonry are based on numerically analyzed using ANSYS. Three approaches modeling is numerically representation depending on the micro level modeling, meso level modeling and macro level modeling and also study of Compressive strength of the brick masonry, Shear strength of the brick masonry.

In this result are the finite element model indicates to the crack and the stress distribution patterns in the masonry units and the mortar. The applied stress intensity is an increase that shows the development of the crack and the crack propagation in the masonry prism. The crack pattern of the finite element model developed to vertical splitting cracks in the prism such as vertical splitting cracks in the masonry prisms tested for compressive strength [11].

III. CONCLUSIONS

Conclusions drawn from the current report are presented in the some section; using the finite element modeling of the infill frame structure and the second part are to estimate the lateral loads and lateral deformations behaviour of the masonry infilled frames.

6.2.1 Using the finite element technique

Using the finite element technique for build the full infill model, partial infill model and full infill model with opening,

A. The comparative results are present the partial infill frame with respect to masonry wall are more damage compare to other type of the infiil frame and the stress distribution behaviour show the maximum stress value considered compare to the two infill frames.

B. The comparative observation of the result between the full infiil frame and full infill frame with respect to brick work are show the approximate some change of the deformation and stresses under the lateral load.

C. In this investigation, overall observed the full infiil frame are suitable for the use of the building structure compare to the partial infill frame.

D. In this type investigation, I think to avoid to the partial infill frame because it is more damage problem.

REFERENCES

[1] P. M. Pradhan, P. L. Pradhan and R. K. Maskey [2011] conducted an experimental study “a review on partial infilled frames under lateral loads”.

[2] Dr. c. Jayaguru and dr. k. Subramanian (2012), “seismic strengthening of captive columns in partially infilled reinforced concrete frames” ISET golden jubilee symposium, department of earthquake engineering building IIT Roorkee.

[3] Syed azmat ali shah, salman afzal (2013) “ Behaviour of infill walls under lateral loads in reinforced concrete frame- a review” international journal of advanced structures and geotechnical engineering, ISSN 2319-5347, Vol.03.

[4] P.G, Asteris (2011) “failure modes of infilled frames” Electronic journal of structural engineering 11(1).

[5] C. Jayaguru and K. Subramanian (2012), “Retrofit of RC frames with captive-column defects” KSCE journal of civil engineering 16(7): 1202-1208.

[6] P.G, Asteris (2003), “Lateral stiffness of brick masonry infilled plane frames” j. structural engineering, 129(8), 1071-1029.

[7] Ahmed sayed Ahmed Tawfik Essa (2014), “effect of infill wall on the ductility and behavior of high strength reinforced concrete frames” HBRC journal 10, 258-264.

[8] Panagiotis G. Asteris, “masonry infilled reinforced concrete frames with openings” 3 ECCOMAS thematic conference on computational methods in struc. Dynamic and earthquake engg, 2011.

[9] L. Teresa Guevara, “The captive and short column effects” earthquake spectra, volume 21, 2005.

[10] G. Amato, “Infilled frames: influence of vertical load on the equivalent diagonal strut model” the 14th world conference on earthquake engineering 2008, Beijing, china.

[11] C. Freeda christy and dr. D. Tensing, thesis report on **“**An experimental study on the behaviour of reinforced and unreinforced masonry walls subjected to axial and in-plane shear load”(2014)

©IJRASET: All Rights are Reserved



10.22214/IJRASET

45.98

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.129

IMPACT FACTOR: 7.429

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH

IN APPLIED SCIENCE & ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Call : 08813907089 (24*7 Support on Whatsapp)